



Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2008 with funding from Microsoft Corporation











NOTES ON THE GREEK ANTHOLOGY



NOTES ON THE GREEK ANTHOLOGY

BY

T. W. LUMB, M.A. OXON.

ASSISTANT MASTER AT MERCHANT TAYLORS' SCHOOL, E.C.

RIVINGTONS

34 KING STREET, COVENT GARDEN LONDON

1920

TO MY WIFE

7519

PREFACE

minimum of change in the original. Considerations of space have made it unprofitable to discuss fully editors' suggestions: these are mentioned only when they are attractive.

The Anthology is rarely read in England.
The author hopes that what he has written may meet with some approval, and perhaps criticisms (with suggestions involving even less alteration of the Greek text), from those who are familiar with the original as a whole.

The Didot and the Teubner editions have been consulted throughout. Of the latter it is enough to say that its reconstructions are usually beneath contempt.

LONDON, October 1920.



CONTENTS

Notes on the Greek Anthology	PAGE 1
EPIGRAMS IN VOL. III. OF THE DIDOT ANTHOLOGY	105
TRAGIC AND EPIC FRAGMENTS	157
COMIC FRAGMENTS	164



NOTES ON

THE GREEK ANTHOLOGY

A. P. i. 12. Juliana has restored a Church of the Trinity: the piece ends (lines 8-10):—

δῶκεν Ἰουλιανή, καὶ ὑπέρτατον ὤπασε κῦδος μητέρι καὶ γενέτη καὶ ἀγακλέϊ μητρὶ τεκούσης, κόσμον ἀεξήσασα παλαίτερον ἐσδ' ἐμὸν ἔργον.

In line 10 the semicolon is a later addition. We may read ἐς τόδ' ἐνεργοῦ='to this pitch of efficiency,' cf. Thuc. iii. 17.

A. P. i. 8. A Church dedicated to Peter and Paul.

Line 5 reads ἐνθάδε κάλλει ψυχῆι καὶ ὅμμασι κέρδος ἐτοῖμον. κάλλει may be due to the next line, which begins εὐχαίσιν μὲν ἕκαστος, where the letters ἑκα may have caught the scribe's eye, causing him to write κάλλει: cf. for similar errors, A. P. i. 77. 1; i. 83. 1. If so, the true reading may be ἐνθάδ' ὁμῆ= 'here both for soul and eyes.' For ὁμῆ, cf. A. P. ii. 314. The hiatus is not uncommon in Byzantine verse.

A. P. i. 21. An Epigram on the Church of the Virgin.

The Virgin is said to work miracles in the church $(\epsilon r \tau a \hat{r} \theta a,$ line 6), especially by baptism. The piece ends:

ένταθθα νικήσασα τοὺς έναντίους ἀνείλεν αὐτοὺς ἀντὶ λόγχης εἰς ὕδωρ.

As the reference is to the rite of baptism, $\tilde{\epsilon}\delta\omega\rho$ is genuine. All that is necessary is to read $\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\sigma'$ ($\epsilon\tilde{\iota}\sigma\sigma$)='she routs her foes by easting water, not darts,' *i.e.* her foes are not carnal but spiritual, as described in lines 6-9.

A. P. ii. 54.

ή στρατὸν οἰκτείρων Ἑλληνικὸν, ἢ ἔτι θυμῷ δειμαίνων βασιλῆα πολυχρύσοιο Μυκήνης.

Editors accept $\mathring{\eta} \acute{\epsilon} \tau \iota$. A more idiomatic turn would be given to the line by reading $\mathring{\eta} \ \ddot{\circ} \ \gamma \epsilon$. Cf. L. and S. $\mathring{\circ} \gamma \epsilon$.

A. P. ii. 58. On a statue of Pyrrhus, wishing to grasp.

τεύχεα χαλκηέντα, τάμιν δι ὅπασε τέχνη γυμνὸν γάρ μιν ἔτευξε.

In line 56 Pyrrhus is called $\sigma\kappa\dot{\nu}\mu\nu\nu\nu$: this indicates the true reading, $\tau\dot{a}$ $\mu\dot{\eta}$ $\nu\dot{\epsilon}\varphi$. Pyrrhus as a mere boy has no armour.

A. P. ii. 261.

θεσπίζων ὅτι πὰσι βοόκτιτος ἀνδράσι Θήβη ἀνδράσιν ᾿Λργείοισιν ὑπότροπον ἦμαρ ἀλέσσει.

For the first àrôpáoi we may read àr ôpvoi='among the trees.' Dict. of Geog. ii. 1150° says 'Thebes . . . contains better gardens than any other city in Greece. It is a most agreeable residence . . . in consequence of . . . its large gardens . . . its verdant appearance, and the quantity of summer and autumnal fruits.'

A. P. ii. 374-5. On a statue of Thucydides.

ώς πριν ἀείδων

Σπάρτης πικρον "Αρηα καὐτῶν Κεκροπιδάων.

Pl. rai αὐτῶr. The true reading is καὶ αὐτοῦ K.='and his own fellow-countrymen.' The corruption is due either to assimilation (cf. ii. 40, and often), or to the common confusion of ν and ν.

A. P. iii. 3. 1:

' Αλκιμέδη ξύνευνον ' Αμύντορα παιδὸς ἐρύκει Φοίνικος ἡ δ' ἐθέλει παῦσαι χόλον γενέτου.

In the Cyzicene epigrams which compose Book 3, $\partial \theta \delta \lambda \omega$ appears in three metrically imperfect lines, the above being the first. We may read $\Phi o i \nu \iota \kappa o s$ $\pi a \hat{\nu} \sigma a \iota \lambda \hat{\eta}$ $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \chi \delta \lambda o \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau o \nu$. It is almost certain that there should be a stop after $\Phi o i \nu \iota \kappa o s$.

A. P. iii. 4. 3, 4.

Κλειοπάτρη δ' έπὶ τοῖσιν ἀγάλλεται, ἡ πρὶν ἐπεῖδεν τὰν Φινέως γαμετὰν δαμναμένην ὁσίως.

Read $\hat{y} \phi \rho \epsilon \nu i \tau' \circ \hat{i} \partial \epsilon \nu = \text{`knows in her heart that } . . .'$

A. P. iii. 5. 1, 2.

Κρεσφόντου γενέτην πέφνες τὸ πάρος, Πολυφόντα, κουριδίης ἀλόχου λέκτρα θέλων μιάναι.

 θ έλω again in a faulty line. Query, λέκτρα θ ' έλε $\hat{\imath}$ ν μέμονας?

A. P. iii. 6. Apollo and the Python. ll. 4-6:-

σκῦλαι γὰρ ἐθέλει πινυτὰν θεόν· ἀλλὰ γε τόξφ θῆρα καθαιμάσσει Φοῖβος ἀπὸ σκοπιῆς.

Δελφον δ' οὐ θήσει τρίπον ἔνθεον, ἐκ δὲ δρακόντων πικρον ἀποπνεύσει ῥοῖζον ὀδυρόμενος.

We should read $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \iota = \text{her}$, the wise goddess,' to save the metre. $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \dot{\delta} \nu$ is interpreted as an adjective, and $\alpha \dot{\delta}$ is read for $\alpha \dot{\delta}$. Editors think that the serpent is the subject of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \sigma \epsilon \iota$, and that he is *crying*.

On this view & δὲ δρακόντον is corrupt. But why should a serpent cry? Why should not Apollo be the subject of both verbs? A better text could be obtained by reading δr θήτει='he will set up his tripod,' and ἐναιρόμενος='and he. Apollo, will cause a bitter hiss to breathe forth from serpents, as he kills them.' For this transitive use of ἀποπιεύω, cf. the exact parallel quoted in L. and S. b. Apollo is δρακοντολέτης in ix. 525.

A. P. iii. 7. 5.

άγε καὶ ἐκ ταύροιο καθάπτετε δίπλακα σειρήν.

Read ϵia $\kappa ai = \cdot$ Come and.' The vowels have perhaps been transposed, γ supplanting ι .

A. P. iii. 8. 3, 4. On Odysseus.

αλλά σε νῦν 'Αχέροντος ἐπὶ ἡηγμῖνι γεγῶσαν θαμβεῖ αν αγλυκερὰν ματέρα δερκόμενος.

Editors read ἀνὰ γλεκεράν='seeing again,' a rare meaning and construction. Probably we should read ἐἀν='his own mother.'

A. P. iii. 9. 1, 2.

Μη τέρα τρηχείοισιν έπι σπείρημα, σιδήρωι, Σαλμωνεί γενέτα τήνδ' ὑποτασσομένην.

σέρε would explain the second word (cf. v. 41, 1. Σιδηροί, vocative, is also certain.

τρη μέσσε is evidence that σπείρησε was the original; the cribe thought that σε Σε was corrupt, and altered accordingly. L. 2 is thus corrected by the edd, from a mase, dat, singular.

A. P. iii. 10. Eurydice and her sons.

Φαΐνε, Θόαν, Βάκχοιο φυτὸν τόδε· ματέρα γάρ σου ρύση τὸν θατέρου οἰκέτιν Ύψιπύλαν·

ἃ τὸν ἀπ' Εὐρυδίκης ἔτλη χόλον, ἦμος ἀφοῦ θαρ ὕδρος ὁ γᾶς γενέτας ὥλεσεν ᾿Αρχέμορου.

στείχε δὲ καὶ σὺ λιπὼν 'Ασωπίδος νέαν κούραν γειναμένην ἄξων Λῆμνον ἐς ἠγαθέην.

In line 2 Jacobs read τοῦ θανάτου: but how was such a simple reading corrupted? τάνδ' ἄπορον is nearer the MS.

In lines 3 and 5 the scribe has made a curious mistake. He has put in line 3 part of the true reading of line 5, which ended:

'Ασωπίδος ἀφνεὸν οὖθαρ.

As véov remained, he inserted $\chi \omega \rho a \nu$, which became véar κούραν. He made the mistake because line 3 ended with a word whose first syllable resembled the first syllable of $d\phi \nu \epsilon \delta \nu$, viz. $O\phi \epsilon \lambda \tau \eta \nu$, the child whose death caused the Theban war. This word should accordingly be restored at the end of line 3.

A. P. iii. 14. 3, 4. Zeus and Tityos.

ος σε δη αίματι φυρσε κατάξια, θηρσι δε βορραν και πτηνοις επι γη είασε νυν δσίως.

For βορράν Dindorf read βορὰν δέ. The true reading is ἐπαυρεῖν or ἀπαυρᾶν. The infinitive is epexegetic='for beasts to enjoy.' For εἴασε we may read ἤλασε (cf. vii. 295. 7, οὕτε καταιγὶς ἤλασε: vii. 12. 3, ἤλασεν εἰς ᾿Αχέροντα . . . Μοῖρα.)

A. P. iii. 15. Bellerophon.

Οὐτέτι προίτου παιδὸς φόνον ἔσχεθε Βελλεροφόντης τοῦδ΄ ἐκ τοῦ παιδὸς τειρόμενον θάνατον.

Γλαύκου κρανταγένους Ἰοβάτου δ' ὑπαλυξει οὕτως γὰρ Μοιρῶν ἐπέκλωσε λίνα.

καὶ σὺ πατρὸς φόνον αὐτὸς ἀπήλασας ἐγγύθεν ἐλθὼν καὶ μύθων ἐσθλῶν μάρτυς ἐπεφράσατο.

The main idea of this corrupt epigram is clearly that Bellerophon would not have escaped death from Proetus and his son had not Glaucus helped him. Haιδός is corrupt in either line 1 or line 2. The epigram should begin with an unfulfilled condition, the second line opening with οὖτε. Read then:

οὕτε κε τοῦ Προίτου φόνον ἔσχεθε Βελλεροφόντης οὕτὶ ἐκ τοῦ παιδὸς τεινόμενον θάνατον.

B. would have escaped neither death from Proetus nor destruction aimed at him from his (Proetus') son.' See L. and S. $\tau \epsilon i r \omega$, i. 4.

Destiny now steps in. κράντα is clearly some part of κράντω, as Μοιρών shows. This latter word has ousted a word of one syllable referring to both B. and Glaucus, his son by Iobates' daughter. Read then:

Γλαύκου δὲ κράνθη γένος Τοβάτου σ' ὑπαλύξαι, οὕτως γὰρ Μοιρῶν σφῷν ἐπένησε λίνα.

But it was decreed thou shouldst escape by Glaucus, born of lobates, yelos being, as often, practically absolute.

A slight change completes the sense. Read:

Καὶ σῦ πατρὸς φόνον αὐτὸς ἀπήλασας ἐγγύθεν ἐλθών,

χώ μόχθων έσθλών μάρτυς έπεφράσατο.

· You raved him; he witnessed and noted your good deeds.'

A. P. iii. 16, 4.

The epigram begins with Αἴολε καὶ Βοιωτέ, and should end with some form of the names. It reads:

δς μεν ἀστ' Λιολίης δς δε Βοιωτίης.

The last word will not scan; to substitute another word would destroy the point. We should read: Βοιωτιέης.

A. P. iii. 18. 3-4. On Cydippe and her two sons.

ήδὺ χάρις γὰρ ἔην σκοπὸς ἄνδρασιν ώριος, οὖτος μητρὸς ἐπευσεβίη κλεινὸν ἔθεντο πόνον.

ήδυχαρής in agreement with Cydippe is certain; as the title shows, the epigram referred to her famous prayer for the best gift from the gods. Line 4 has been corrupted by $\tilde{\epsilon}n\tilde{\iota}$ $\epsilon\tilde{\nu}\sigma\epsilon\beta\tilde{\iota}\eta$ in line 5. Read:

ήδυχαρής γὰρ έοῦν στέφος ἔρνεσιν οὔριον ἤτει, μητρὸς ὅτ' εὖρυβίη, κ.τ.λ.

'She prayed for a meed of fortune for her two youths in that they by their great strength, etc.' econolists appears A. P. vii. 599.

A. P. iii. 19. 1, 2. Romulus and Remus.

Τόνδε σὰ μὲν παίδων κρύφιον πόνον "Αρεϊ τίκτεις 'Ρῆμοντε ξυνῆ καὶ 'Ρώμυλον λεχέων.

In the title Remus' name is spelled $P\hat{\eta}\mu\omega$ s. Kai is certainly corrupt. It is a gloss on the rarer word $l\delta\hat{\epsilon}$, which it ousted. The true text is:

'Ρημον, ιδέ ξυνην 'Ρωμύλου ήλικίαν.

A. P. iv. 3a. 32.

ταυτὶ μὲν οὖν ἐρεῖ τις οὐδὲ τῶν σοφωτάτων.

In this verse of seven feet it is probable that $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu$ has crept in as elsewhere (r.g. v. 211.1; vii. 96.3; vii. 289.3), an accent being misread for a shorthand sign. If so, the reading will be: $\tau a \mathring{\nu} \tau'$ o $\mathring{\nu} \acute{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \mathring{\nu}$ τis .

A. P. iv. 3^b. 71. (Contents of Agathias' Anthology.) ἀλλὰ πάλιν μέτ ἐκεῖνα παλαίτερον εὖχος ἀρήγει ὅσσαπερ ἢ γραφίδεσσι χαράξαμεν, κ.τ.λ.

The whole piece is written without elisions, making correction less uncertain. $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha' i \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma v$, if not corrupt, must agree with $i \kappa \epsilon i r a$, and should almost certainly be $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha' i \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ = 'secondly, after these older pieces.' $i \gamma \epsilon' i \rho \epsilon a$ is the correction of a copyist: it is strange that the original reading has not suggested $i \rho \epsilon \xi \epsilon r$, and $\kappa i \delta \sigma s$, the word which Agathias probably wrote. Read then: $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha' i \tau \epsilon \rho a$, $\kappa i \delta \sigma s$ $i \rho \epsilon \xi \epsilon v$.

A. P. v. 18. [Rufinus. Mistress or Maid?]

Μάλλον τῶν σοβαρῶν τὰς δουλίδας ἐκλεγόμεσθα, οἱ μὴ τοῖς σπαταλοῖς κλέμμασι τερπόμενοι.

ταις μεν χρώς ἀπόδωδε μύρου, σοβαρόν τε φρύαγμα και μέχρι κινδύνου έσπομένη σύνοδος.

ταῖς δὲ χάρις καὶ χρὼς ἴδιος καὶ λέκτρον έτοῖμον, δώροις ἐκ σπατάλοις οὐκαλεγιζόμενον.

In line 6 we should read $\epsilon \kappa \pi a \tau i o is$ $\delta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon a \xi \delta \rho \epsilon v o r = 'not$ baited with great bribes.' The first four lines are in contrast with the third couplet; the point is the danger and the cost of an intrigue with a proud dame. We should read then in line $4: \pi \rho \eta \sigma \sigma o \rho \epsilon v \eta = '$ won by intrigue.'

A. P. v. 20. 3, 4. [Honestus. What wife to choose.]

εἴη μήτ' ὄμφαξ μήτ' ἀσταφίς· ἡ δὲ πέπειρος ἐς Κύπριδος θαλάμους ὥρια καλλοσύνη.

The last words do not scan or make sense. The author wrote:

ή δὲ πεπείρω

ές Κύπριδος θαλάμους ώρια κάλλα συνή.

'One who, being ripe for wedlock, is at her prime in other things as well.' Cf. L. and S., σύνειμι, I.

A. P. v. 25. 5, 6. [Philodemus. To Cydilla.]

Recognising that he is playing with fire, he says:

άλλὰ τί μοι πλέον. ἐστι γὰρ θρασὺς ἠδ᾽ ὅταν ἔλκη πάντοτ᾽ Ἔρως, ἀρχὴν οὐδ᾽ ὄναρ οἶδε φόβου.

Pl. emended $\pi\lambda \acute{\epsilon}ov$; $\check{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota$ $\gamma\grave{a}\rho$ $o\check{v}\iota$ $\theta\rho\sigma\sigma\acute{v}\dot{s}$. The original reading was $\pi\lambda \acute{\epsilon}o\nu$ $\check{\epsilon}\sigma\tau'$; $\mathring{\eta}$ $\gamma\grave{a}\rho$ $\theta\rho\sigma\sigma\acute{v}\dot{s}$, 'in very sooth Eros is bold.' The strong asseveration (cf. v. 103. 3) agrees with the tone of the piece.

A. P. v. 27. 5. [Rufinus. To an aged έταίρα.]

After saying she was once proud and stiff-neeked, with 'bells on her toes,' he continues:

νθν πενιχρή ψαφαρή τε κόμη, παρά ποσσί τραχεία.

Pl. reads $\kappa \delta \mu \eta \tau' \epsilon \pi i \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \beta \rho a \chi \epsilon i a$. Ellis suggests $\pi a \rho a \pi \sigma \sigma \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \chi \rho \epsilon \iota a$. In the closely parallel epigrams, v. 273, vi. 283, Nemesis appears to punish the sinner. May we look for a similar reference here too, and read: $\pi \acute{a} \rho a \pi \acute{a} \sigma' \Lambda \tau \rho \acute{e} \kappa \epsilon \iota a = 'Justice is beside thee, in plenitude of power'?$

The failure to understand $\pi \hat{a}\rho a$ would account for P's $\pi a \rho \hat{a} = \pi a \sigma \hat{a}$; the failure to understand $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a$ explains the variant in Pl.

A. P. v. 30. 5, 6. [Antipater. A diatribe on harlots.]

ὧ πλεονέκται

οί πλοῦτου πενίην ώς άδικεῖτ' ἄνομοι.

Schmidt read $\delta \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \omega$, ruining the contrast between wealth and poverty. The author wrote $\delta \delta \pi \lambda \omega \tau \omega$, $\pi \epsilon \nu i \eta \nu \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.

A. P. v. 40. | Nicarchus. Advice to a wife or mistress.]

The man is a thorough scoundrel. He says, 'Once I get away, then' (lines 3-4):

τῶν καταπαιζόντων μὴ σχῆς λόγον, ἀλλεκείνους ἐμπαίξασ' ἄρξαι πλεῖον ἐμοῦ τι ποεῖν.

As έρπαίζω takes a dative, or is used absolutely, we should read ἀλλὰ καὶ εὐθύς, v having ousted εν.

After advising the woman to keep herself and to write to him of her amours, he proceeds (lines 8-9):

εὐτακτεῖν πειρώ τὸ ἐνοίκιον, ἦν τι περισσὸν γίνηται, καὶ ἐμοὶ φρόντισον ἱμάτιον.

iroistor and iμίττοι are supposed to be accusatives after φρώττωτοι. But why should she contrive to get a coal for the man? The author wrote ημάτιοι. The same error is in ix. 651. 2. 'If you have any money over, then contrive daily lodging-money for me, loo,' i.e. send me some of your earnings. This adds point to line 5:

πάντα λίθον κίνει σαυτήν τρέφε.

A. P. iv. 41. 5. 6. [Rufinus. A woman turned out by her husband.]

πλην ἀπὸ νῦν ὅταν ἐστὶν ἔσω, κεῖνος δ' ὅταν ἔξω τὸ πρόθυρον σφήνου μὴ πάλι ταὐτὸ πάθης.

The μοίχος appeared in line 3. The author wrote ὅταν ὑτον ἔσω, 'when you two are in and the husband out.'

A. P. v. 101. 3. [A dialogue.]

(α) Ἐλπίζειν έξέστι; (b) Ζητεῖς δὲ τί; (c) Νύκτα.

The scholiast says we should write $\tilde{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\sigma\tau'$; $a\partial\tau\tilde{\epsilon}is$. Reiske wrote $\theta\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\iota s$. May we not rather read $\chi\alpha\tau\tilde{\epsilon}is$? The word is near the MS, reading, and χ may have lost its cross-stroke. There is only one parallel, apparently, of $\chi\alpha\tau\tilde{\epsilon}i\nu$ c. acc., but the case would not be unusual in a dialogue.

A. P. v. 104. 3, 4. | Marcus Argentarius. A woman's dress.]

οὔ σε περισφίγγει λεπτὸς στολιδώμασι πέπλος πάντα δέ σου βλέπεται γυμνὰ καὶ οὐ βλέπεται.

The lines should be written as a question.

A. P. v. 112. 5, 6. [Philodemus. Age brings wisdom.] καὶ παίζειν ὅτε καιρὸς. ἐπαίξαμεν ἡνίκα καὶ νῦν οὐκέτι, λωιτέρης φροντίδος ἁψόμεθα.

An easy correction would be $\kappa \epsilon i \omega r =$ such follies,' in dependence upon $\kappa \alpha \iota \rho \delta s$ ($\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota$).

A. P. v. 120. 3. 4. [Philodemus. A woman to her lover.]

τοὖνεκ' ἐν ἀπρήκτοισι καθήμεθα, κοὐχὶ λαλεῦντες εὕδομεν, ὡς εὕδειν τοῖς φιλέουσι θέμις;

For λαλεῦντες we may perhaps read χαλῶντες. Cf. v. 99. 2. τὴν ὑπάτην κροῦσαι τὴν δὲ μέσην χαλάσαι.

A. P. v. 162. 3, 4. [Asclepiadas. To Philaenion.]

The girl has wounded him, though the wound is invisible. Therefore:

οἴχομ' "Ερωτες, ὅλωλα, διοίχομαι: εἰς γὰρ ἐταίραν υυστάζων ἐπέβην ἠδ' ἔθιγον τ' ἀΐδα.

Ludwich ruins the point of the epigram, reading δαΐδος. Mr. W. R. Paton reads οἶο', ἔθεγόν τ' 'Aίδα. A better reading would be οἶδα θεγίον δ' 'Aίδα=' I trod on it in my sleep, but I know to my cost that I have touched Death.' For this idiomatic meaning of οἶδα with a participle, see L. and S., οἶδα, 3.

A. P. 166. 1, 2. [Meleager. To Heliodora.]

³Ω Νύξ, ὧ φιλάγρυπνος ἐμοὶ πόθος Ἡλιοδώρας καὶ σκολιῶν ὀρθῶν κνίσματα δακιχαρή.

ορθρων is a later 'correction'; a copy reads δακρυχαρή. κτύτρατα is probably genuine, as Meleager uses κτίζω in v. 177. 4. δακρυχαρή is probably genuine also, as in A. P. append. 98.

 $\delta_{\rho}\theta_{\rho}$ ων, invented to balance N \acute{e} ξ, may conceal $\acute{\rho}$ εθέων. An easy correction of the first words would be κἀσκελέων, the seme then being, 'and scratchings of my withered face which

bring the tears they love.'

A. P. v. 167. [Asclepiadas.]

'Υέτος ἦν καὶ νὺξ καὶ τρίτον ἄλγος ἔρωτι οἶνος καὶ Βορέης ψυχρὸς, ἐγὼ δὲ μόνος.

άλλ' ὁ καλὸς Μόσχος πλεὸν ἴσχυεν' καὶ σὰ γὰρ οὕτως

ήλυθες οὐδὲ θύρην πρὸς μίαν ἡσυχάσας. τῆιδε τοσαῦτ' ἐβόησα βεβρεγμένος—ἄχρι τίνος, Ζεῦ;

Ζεῦ φίλε, σίγησον, καὐτὸς ἐρᾶν ἔμαθες.

In line 1 a copy reads correctly καὶ τὸ τρίτον ἄλγος. The main idea of the piece is clear, but what is οἶνος (line 2)? who is σύ (line 3)? what did the man say? what has Zeus to do with what he said?

As the point of the epigram is the long distance between himself and Mosehus, we should read of post instead of the irrelevant word of ros='rain, night, third and worst, a long journey.'

There is only one person $\sigma \hat{\nu}$ can refer to, viz. Zeus. As P sometimes inserts a termination wrongly (cf. 174.1, $\sigma \hat{\nu} \hat{\sigma} = \sigma \hat{\nu}$), we should read $\tilde{\nu} \sigma \chi \nu \epsilon$, $\kappa \hat{a} \nu \sigma \hat{\nu} \gamma \hat{a} \rho = \hat{\nu}$ Why, even you, Zeus, would have come in such conditions, without sheltering in a single doorway.' The speech ends at this point.

Line 5 should begin with $\tau \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon$ (cf. v. 226. 3, $\tau \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon$ first word in the line). 'This I exclaimed when far away from Moschus and wet through.' The last words mean, 'How long, Zeus, shall I be drenched. Dear Zeus, do stop raining.' See L. and S., $\partial \mu \beta \rho \hat{\epsilon} \omega$ and $\sigma \iota \gamma \hat{a} \omega$, 2.

A. P. v. 178. 5. [**M**eleager. **D**escription of **E**ros.] πρὸς δ΄ ἔτι λοιπὸν ἄτρεπτον ἀείλαλον ὀξὺ δεδορκός.

These words are in agreement with a neuter $\tau \circ \hat{v} \tau \circ$. $\lambda \circ \iota \pi \circ \nu$ is extremely weak. Meleager is too economical to use four words to mean 'besides.' The true reading is $\pi \rho \circ s \circ \tilde{s} \circ \tilde{\tau} \circ \tilde{\tau$

A. P. v. 181. 1, 2; 9-12. [Asclepiadas. Preparations for a banquet.]

τῶν καρίων ἡμῖν λαβὲ κώλακας ἀλλὰ πόθ΄ ἥξει καὶ πέντε στεφάνους τῶν ῥοδίνων. τί τὸ πάξ; νῦν δὲ πρὸς Αἴσχραν τῆν μυρόπωλιν ἰὼν πέντε λάβ' ἀργυρέας

εἰπε δε σημείου βάκχων ὅτε πέντ' ἐφίλησεν έξης, ὧν κλίνη μάρτυς ἐπεφράσατο.

What is the slave to bring from the μερόπωλις? For καρίωτ read καχρίωτ (part. gen.)='Some rosemary,' as the next line shows. The original underlying κώλακας will probably appear if ἀργιρέας were satisfactorily explained. Would it be possible to read κὅλλικας (=καὶ ὅλλικας)? The end of the line might well be ἀλλ' ἐποθήσει;='what, are του going to interrupt me with your objections and advice?'

In lines 11-12 it is clear that the sign Aeschra wanted must be something the slave's master did; he, not she, is the subj. of $i\alpha i\alpha prec$: it is also clear that $\pi irre$ means 'five,' not 'five times.' It is useless then to treat $\beta i\kappa \chi \omega r$ as an accusative of Bacchon, a proper name. The master wants have growns for $i\alpha person$ whom Aeschra knew. We may, therefore, read $pi\chi \lambda \omega r$, fem., 'five of the wanton crew.'

A. P. v. 188. 5, 6. [Leonidas. To Eros the Archer.]

χώ θνητὸς τὸν ἀλιτρὸν ἐσώκει. θνητὸς ὁ δαίμων τίσομαι ἐγκλήμων δ' ἔσσομ' ἀλεξόμενος ;

ἐσώκει is nonsense. As κ is often written for ισ, we can reconstruct ἐσωις (i.e. ἴσοις), εἰ, κ.τ.λ., translating, 'And I, a mortal, will pay back the rascal tit for tut—if the God is mortal.'

A. P. v. 191. 3, 4. [Meleager.]

λρά γε τὴν φιλάσωτον ἔτ' ἐν κοίταισιν ἀθρήσω ἄγρυπνον, λύχνω πόλλ' ἀποδαομένην ;

He means to say that it is getting late, and wonders whether there is a chance of seeing her even yet. Meleager wrote $d\pi\omega\delta\nu\omega\mu\acute{\epsilon}\nu\eta\nu=$ she who often shows herself to the light, i.e. in the $\tau\acute{\epsilon}\lambda\eta$ K $\acute{\nu}\pi\rho\iota\acute{\epsilon}$ os, which the $\lambda\acute{\nu}\chi\nu$ os invariably connotes.

A. P. v. 208. [Meleager. Woman's love the best.]

οὔ μοι παιδομανὴς κραδία· τί δὲ τερπνὸν, "Ερωτες, ἀνδροβατεῖν, εἰ μὴ δούς τι λαβεῖν ἐθέλοι;

ά χειρ γὰρ τὰν χειρα· καλὰ μέν ειν παράκοιτις εἰν πᾶς ἄρσην ἀρσενικαις λαβίσιν.

The key to this epigram lies in $\lambda a \beta i \sigma i v$, a wrestling term. $\Pi a \iota \delta o \mu a v i a$ cannot ensure $\delta \delta s \lambda a \beta \epsilon$; see xii. 204. Yet it can assure another thing, viz., $\mu \epsilon v \epsilon i v$, to hold out. Cf. xii. 206. 5:

όχλοῦ καὶ μένε, Κῦρι, καὶ ἐμβάλλοντος ἀνασχοῦ.

If woman's love can $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \iota r$, then it is perfect. We should read then:

καλὰ μένη ἄν παράκοιτις.

For the position of αv , cf. xi. 29. 5: $\alpha v \alpha \rho \mu \rho v \rho \sigma \delta \lambda \lambda \eta$.

In the last line another wrestling term is needed. May we read εἴκειν ('give place')?

A. P. v. 209, 1, 2. To Nico.

ἐνπαφίη Κυθέρεια παρήϊονι δὲ Κλέανδρος Νικοῦ σὰ ἐν χοροποῖς κύμασι νηχομένην.

Read Έν Παφίη, Κυθέρεια, and Νικώ σήν, with παρ' ἠιόν' είδε as Planudes.

A. P. v. 210. 1, 2. | Asclepiadas. To Didyme. |

τῷ θαλλῷ Διδύμη με συνήρπασεν ὅμοι, ἐγὰ δὲ τήκομαι ὡς κηρὸς πὰρ πυρὶ, κάλλος ὁρῶν.

Editors think $\theta a \lambda \lambda \hat{\phi}$ refers to the practice of shaking a bough before cattle to lead them on. May we read $\tau \omega \theta a \sigma \mu \hat{\phi}$ (='by her taunts')?

A. P. v. 211. [Poseidippus.]

Δάκρυα μὲν καὶ κῶμοι, τί μὰ ἐγείρετε, πρὶν πόδας ἄραι

έκ πυρός, εἰς έτέρην Κύπριδος ἀνθρακίην; Λήγω δ' οὔποτ' ἔρωτος· ἀεὶ δέ μοι ἐξ' Λφροδίτης ἄλγος ὁ μὴ κρίνων κοινὸν ἄγοντι πόθος.

In line 1 Pl. omits μέν: its presence is probably due to a compendium (cf. iv. 3. 32, supra). We may read Βακχισκοί κώμω. In the last line read ὁ μηκότων, carrying on the idea λήγω δ' οὔποτ' ἔρωτος, and καινὸν ἄγει τι (Bosch).

A. P. v. 213. 3, 4. [Posidippus. **To** Pythias.] εἰπὲ δὲ σημεῖον μεθύων ὅτι καὶ διακλυπῶν

ήλθεν "Ερωτι θρασεί χρώμενος ήγεμόνι.

A later hand writes $\kappa\lambda\omega\pi\hat{\omega}v$ in line 3. A reference to robbers is possible, but not natural here. It would mean that the man always passed through a band of thieves when he visited his mistre s. She needs some proof of what he trequently did. We may then read: $\kappa ai \ \delta i \chi a \ \lambda\omega\pi\hat{\omega}v = {}^{\epsilon}$ without his robes. Cf. $\delta i \chi a$, preceding the genitive, xii. 183-4; $\lambda\omega\pi\eta$, v. 294. 9.

A. P. v. 237. 5. [Agathias. To Rhodanthis.]

The swallows awaken him when he tries to sleep; therefore

όμματα δ' οὐ λάοντα φυλάσσεται.

For οὐ λάοντα Tucker reads οἰδάοντα. There is no parallel quoted for the use of the participle of the rare verb οἰδάω. A simpler correction would be ὅμματα δὲ κλάοντα.

A. P. v. 237. 7. [Macedonius.]

The epigram says that the lover's sword is his companion, and he looks at himself in it, using it as a mirror:

καὶ κάλος ώς ἐν ἔρωτι· σὰ δ' ἢν ἀπ' ἐμεῖο λάθηαι τὸ ξίφος ήμετέρην δύσεται ές λαγόνα.

Should we not read: Βουκόλος ως εν ερωτι (= 'like a rustic in love')? Cf. Polyphemus looking at himself in the water, Theoc. vi. 34.

In any case read: ἐπ' ἐμεῖο λάθηαι=ἐπιλάθηαι, ἀπό is harbarous.

A. P. v. 266. 5. 6. [Paulus Silentiarius.]

σην γαρ έμοι και πόντος έπήρατον είκονα φαίνει και ποταμών δίναι και δέπας οἰνοχόον.

i.e. olvóxoov='into which wine is poured.'

A. P. v. 285. 3, 4. [Agathias.]

έγω δέ τις ώς όχετηγος

άρχην είς έτέρην είλκον έρωτος ύδωρ.

As there seems to be no parallel for $d\rho\chi\dot{\eta}\nu$ in this sense, may we read $\alpha \dot{v} \lambda \hat{\omega} v'$? $(\rho = v)$.

A. P. v. 286. 1-4. [Paulus Silentiarius. To Cleophantis.]

φράζεό μοι, Κλεόφαντις, ὄση χάρις, ὅπποτε δοιοὺς λάβρον ἐπαιγίζων ἶσος Ἔρως κλονέει.

ποίος ἄρης ἢ τάρβος ἀπείριτον ἢὲ τίς αἰδὼς τοίσδε διακρίνει πλέγματα βαλλομένοις;

In line 4 a later hand wrote $\tau o \acute{v} \sigma \delta \epsilon$, retaining the last word. The true reading is indicated by line 2, which contains three words for 'rushing excitement.' Read $\tau o i \sigma \delta \epsilon$ and $\pi a \lambda \lambda \delta \rho \rho \acute{\epsilon} v \sigma v s$ (cf. L. and S. $\pi \acute{a} \lambda \lambda \delta \omega$, ii.)='of the twain quivering with desire.'

Lines 7-8:

μοῦνον ἐγὼ, χαριέσσα, τεὸν δέμας ἀγκὰς ἑλίξας θελγοίμην ἐπὶ σοῖς ἄψεσι βουλόμενος.

The author probably wrote $\phi\iota\lambda\dot{\alpha}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma s=$ 'in love.' Cf. $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\dot{\iota}\lambda\sigma\sigma$, v. 289. 9, and $\phi\iota\lambda\alpha\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\sigma$, ix. 790. 5.

A. P. v. 296. 5, 6. [Agathias.]

τοὺς δὲ μεθυστὰς

καλλείψω λατάγων πλέγμασι τερπομένους.

The point is the distinction between the omens from sounds ($\pi \lambda a \tau a \gamma \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a \tau \dot{\eta} \chi \dot{\epsilon} \tau a \beta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \beta a s$, line 1) and the more tangible proof of affection. We should therefore read $\phi \theta \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota$.

A. P. v. 300. 1. | Paulus Silentiarius. |

ό θρασὺς ύψαύχην τε καὶ ὀφρύας εἰς εν ἀγείρων. Should we read ὁ ξυναγείρων?

A. P. v. 301. 1, 2. [Paulus Silentiarius.]

εἰ καὶ τηλοτέρω Μερόης τεὸν ἴχνος ἐρείσεις πτηνὸς Ἔρως πτηνὸν κεῖσε με ὥστε φέρειν.

This reading has supplanted $\pi \tau \eta \nu \hat{\omega} \iota \kappa' \epsilon \hat{\iota}_s \epsilon \mu \epsilon \omega \mu \epsilon \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota$. Planudes reads $\kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma \epsilon \tau \acute{a} \chi \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \phi \acute{e} \rho \epsilon \iota$. What reading will explain $\tau \acute{a} \chi \epsilon \iota$? Some word suggested by $\pi \tau \eta \nu \acute{o} s$. We may therefore reconstruct:

πτηνὸν κεῖσ' ἔμ' ἂν ὧκα φέροι.

A. P. v. 306. 3, 4. [Philodemus. A girl to a lover.]

ταῦτα μέν ἐστιν ἐρῶντος· ὅταν δ' εἴπω "Παράκειμαι

καὶ σὺ μένεις ; " άπλῶς οὐδὲν ἐρῶντος ἔχεις.

As $\dot{\alpha}\pi\lambda\hat{\omega}_s$ qualifies order, her speech ends at $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\iota s$. Retaining the MS. reading, we must explain $\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\epsilon}\iota\nu$ as a wrestling term, as in v. 208 (cf. $\ddot{\alpha}\pi\tau\eta$, line 2 in this epigram), and translate: 'I'm quite ready; can you last out a bout?'

It is just possible that $\pi \alpha \rho \acute{\alpha} \kappa \epsilon \iota \mu \alpha \iota$ is corrupt. If so, we might read $\pi \alpha \rho \acute{\epsilon} \chi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$; [cf. L. and S. A. ii. 2, and $\pi \alpha \rho \acute{\epsilon} \chi \omega$, xii. 200], 'Am I to offer?' No instance of $\pi \alpha \rho \acute{\epsilon} \chi \omega$ in the Middle voice is cited, bearing the same sense: otherwise $\pi \alpha \rho \acute{\epsilon} \chi \omega \mu \alpha \iota$ might be possible.

A. P. vi. 5. 10. [Philippus.]

πολλοῖς αἰθόμενος καμάτοις.

Reiske transferred βριθόμετος from vi. 27. 8. Read σαττόμετος weighed down.' The corruption is due to haplography of σ.

A. P. vi. 10. 3, 4. [Antipater. To Pallas.]

βωμόν τοι κεραούχον έδείματο τόνδε Σέλευκος Φοιβείαν ἰαχὰν φθεγγομένου στόματος.

The reference to Phoebus is irrelevant. The original reading was ισχαν or ινχαν, 'corrected' by a late hand. This original reading at once gives us άνισχαν. Pallas is called ἡνιόχος αἰγιῶςς by Aristophanes: she is here similarly called 'the director of the speaking mouth,' exactly what the Greeks believed her to be. The remainder of the word Φοιβείαν conceals a reference to Lake Boebe, where Pallas is said to have bathed. We should therefore read:

Βοίβη, ἀνιόχφ φθεγγομένου στόματος.

'In Boebe to thee, director of the speaking voice.'

A. P. vi. 21. 2. [Julian. A dedication to Pan.]

καὶ δρεπάνην, καυλών ἄσκυλον ἐκτομίδα.

The true reading is a $\xi v \lambda \sigma v$ (cf. vi. 297. 2, $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \hat{v} \chi \hat{\eta} \rho \sigma v$ $\epsilon \lambda a \hat{v} \epsilon \sigma v$) = 'the scythe without its handle.' In vi. 205. 5 an axe is dedicated with its handle— $\epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon v \sigma s$.

A. P. vi. 30. 7, 8. [Macedonius. An old fisher to Poseidon.]

θρόψον έτι σπαίρον το γερόντιον, άλλ' ἀπὸ γαίης ώς ἐθέλεις, μεδέων καὶ χθονὶ καὶ πελάγει.

There hould be ome reference to the will or power of the god, not of the man. May we read $airobe\lambda ijs$ (A. P. 9.79)='by thy sovereign will'?

A. P. vi. 70. 5. [Macedonius. Dedication by a sailor.]

πάντα δ' ἀπειπάμενος φόβον ελπίδα πόρον ἀέλλας.

Pl. reads πόντον. A combination of the two readings would give φόρτον = 'merchandise.' The word occurs A. P. vii. 392. 4, etc.

A. P. vi. 99. 6, 7. Philippus. To Pan, from a shepherd.

After saying he has dedicated a he-goat, he continues:

άνθ' ὧν ἐν σηκοῖς διδυμητόκοι αἶγες ἔσονται γαστέρα, φεύγουσαι τρηχὺν όδόντα λύκου.

An optative is necessary in place of econtai, for the goatherd wants two goats for the one he has sacrificed. Some word is required to express the idea 'filling their bellies'; if such a word can refer also to the birth of two kids, so much the better. Such would be argoro (see L. and S. ἀσάω).

A. P. vi. 103. 5, 6. [Philippus.]

μιλτοφυρή τε σχοίνον.

Compounds of φύρω take a long v. We are compelled to coin a compound. Would not μιλτοφριή ('red-browed') be possible?

A. P. vi. 104. 7. [Philippus.]

γυία πεπηρωθείς Αυσίξενος αὔλακι πολλή.

Should we not read γυί ὑπὸ πηρωθείς! ὑπό= beneath him,' as in the common Homeric phrase γυί' ὑπέλυσε.

A. P. vi. 112. 3, 4. [Perses.]

às ἔλον ἐξ ἴππων γυ γερῶι χαῖρε Δαΐλοχός τε καὶ Προμένης, ἀγαθοῦ τέκνα Λεοντιάδου.

äs=the heads of three Arcadian stags. How many persons are dedicating the spoils, two or three? May not $\Sigma \nu \acute{\alpha} \gamma \rho o \nu$ $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon$ be the true reading? (Syager, Dict. Biog.)

A. P. vi. 122. 3, 4. [Nicias. Dedication of a spear.]

" Μήνιος ἢ γὰρ τοῦ παλάμας ἄπο ρίμφα θοροῦσα ἐν προμάχοις ἰδρύσας δήιον ἃμ πέδιον."

ôήων is a noun, and some verb in the second person is concealed under iδρύσας. The whole epigram is addressed to a spear. The first couplet asks 'who dedicated thee?' The second says, 'Menios, for thou . . .' For ἰδρύσας a slight change would restore στρῶσας, with δήων as its object='thou didst lay low the foeman along the plain.'

A. P. vi. 126. 3, 4. [Dioscorides. On a shield.]

Γόργονα τὰν λιθοεργὸν ὁμοῦ καὶ τριπλόα γοῦνα γραψάμενος, δήεις. τοῦτο δ' ἔοικε λέγειν.

For δήεις Tyrwhitt suggested δηίοις. The author wrote Δείοις (without the comma before the word)='three-legged Fear.' Gorgo and Fear were common devices on shields (see L. and S. δείμος for references).

A. P. vi. 128. [Mnasalcas. To a shield.]

°Πσο κατ' ἠγάθεον τόδ' ἀνάκτορον, ἀσπὶ φαεννά, ἄνθεμα Λατώᾳ δήιον 'Αρτέμιδι.

πολλάκι γὰρ κατὰ δῆριν 'Αλεξάνδρου μετὰ χερσὶν μαρναμένου χρυσέαν οὐ κεκονισσεγένυν.

The author in vi. 264 wrote a second epigram on this same shield, in which he names Alexander's father, Phylles. Hecker dreamt of Alexander the Great! $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu \nu \nu$ destroys the construction. $\chi \rho \nu \sigma \acute{\epsilon} a \nu$ clearly agrees with $\mathring{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \acute{\epsilon} \delta a$; $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu \nu \nu$ is the original text, the foeman's battle-axe having cut the shield to pieces in the warrior's hand. Because it is broken, it is dedicated. This epigram has probably been copied in vi. 84 by Paùlus Silentiarius, who writes in line 4:

χερμας καὶ ξιφέων έξεκόλαψε γένυς,

from which we should re-transfer the last two words.

A. P. vi. 147. 3. [Callimachus.]

ην δ' άρα λαθηι καί μιν ἀπαιτῆς.

The true reading is λάθηαι (cf. v. 237, 7 supra).

A. P. vi. 184. 5, 6. [Zosimus.]

τοὔνεκα, Πάν, τὸν μέν τε δι' αἰθέρος, ὃν δ' ἀπὸ λόχμης,

τὸν δὲ δι' αἰγιαλῶν θὲς πολυαγρότερον.

Should we not read τa $\delta i'$ $ai\theta \epsilon \rho os$? Cf. for an almost exact parallel, vii. 172. 7, τa $\kappa a \tau'$ $ai\theta \epsilon \rho a$, and xi. 34. 4, τa $\pi \rho a \delta s$ $\mu a \nu i \eta \nu$.

A. P. vi. 190. 9, 10. [Gaetulicus.]

εὶ δ' ὧς μευ βαρύγυιον ἀπώσαο νοῦσον, ἐλάσσεις καὶ πενίην, δάσει πιαλέον χίμαρον.

Pl. reads δώσω. The original of this epigram is vi. 300 (below), which concludes with the words δέξο χιμαιροθύτην. We can restore δέξει for δάσει.

A. P. vi. 203. 5-7. [Laco or Philippus.]

οἶκτος δὲ Νύμφας εἶλεν, αἴτ' ἐρινόμου Λἴτνης παρωρείησι Συμαίθου πατρὸς ἔχουσι δινηέντος ὑγρὸν οἰκίον.

Some reference should be made to the cause of the hot springs of Aetna. The name of the river gives us the clue; the second part of it (-aillos) suggests at $\pi \nu \rho o \nu \mu \acute{\epsilon} v o v$. . . $\pi a \tau \rho \acute{\epsilon} s =$ 'who keep the home of their burning father Symaethus.'

A. P. vi. 207. 3. | Archias. A fan. |

ξανθά δ' 'Αντίκλεια νόθον κεύθουσαν ἄημα ριπίδα, τὰν μαλερὸν θάλπος ἀμυνομέναν.

There is no reason to object to κεύθουσαν on grammatical grounds. Would not κυέουσαν carry on the metaphor in νόθον more certainly than κεύθουσαν? ε and θ are often confused='a fan, in travail with a bastard breeze.'

A. P. vi. 218. 5. | Alcaeus. On Gallus met by a lion. |

δείσας δ΄ ωμηστέω θηρός μόρον ώς αὐ δάξαι τύμπανον ἐξ ἱερᾶς ἐπλατάγησε νάπης.

Suida- reads δ , δr $\delta \delta f a$. As r and r are frequently confused, a imple correction is δs $\delta r \tau'$ ($\delta r \tau a$) $i \not \xi \epsilon$. Cf. vi. 220, 7 $\tau o \hat{v}$ $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ $\lambda \hat{\epsilon} \omega r$ $\delta \rho o v \sigma \epsilon$, on the same subject.

A. P. vi. 219. 7, 8. [Antipater. Same subject.]

The priest is driven into the cave by excessive cold:

τὸν δέκ εν ἀρρίγητος ἐπρίσθορε ταυροφόνος θὴρ εἰς τὸν ἑὸν προμολὼν φωλεὸν ἑσπέριος.

Assuming that $\partial \rho \rho i \gamma \eta \tau \sigma s$ is genuine, we should read: $\tau \sigma \tilde{v} \delta' \tilde{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \kappa' = '$ for that reason too,' *i.e.* because of the cold. By an identical corruption in vi. 233. 2, $\nu \epsilon \kappa \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$ has ousted the genuine $\gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$.

A. P. vi. 220. 13. [Dioscorides. Same subject.]

τον βαρύν οὐ μείνας ἀκοῆς ψόφον ἐκ δὲ βονῆς.

 $\epsilon \kappa \delta \epsilon \delta \omega r \hat{\eta}$ s was probably the reading before the scribe altered the MS, to its present form. A simple correction would be $\hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \delta \epsilon \delta \omega v \dot{\omega}$ s='the man cried aloud.'

A. P. vi. 226. 1-3. [Leonidas.]

τοῦτο Κλείτωνος ἐπαύλιον ἥ τ' ὀλιγόλαυξ σπείρεσθαι λιτός θ' ὁ σχεδὸν ἀμπελεῶν τοῦτο τερω παιειν ὀλιγόξυλον.

Jacobs reads $\tau o \hat{v} \tau' \delta \lambda i \gamma o r$ in line 1, Bernhardy $\delta \lambda i \gamma a \hat{v} \lambda a \xi$. But what is $\dot{\eta}$? with what does it agree? We should read: $\dot{\eta} \delta' = \dot{v}$ and one with few furrows for sowing, otherwise $\sigma \pi \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ has no construction. In line 3 we should read perhaps: $\tau o \hat{v} \tau \delta \tau \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \omega \pi \epsilon \delta \iota o \nu$ (quadrisyll.).

A. P. vi. 227. 1, 2. [Crinagoras. A pen.]

'Αργύρεόν τοι τόνδε γενέθλιον ές τεον ημαρ Πρόκλε, νεόσμηκτον δουρατίην κάλαμον.

In the next line the pen is called εξροον and σύμπνουν, i.e. it is possessed of speed and activity. May we not read νεόσμηκτον δ' έργατίνην κάλαμον? Cf. vi. 228. 1, έργατίνην βοῦν: for δ' in this position, cf. vi. 260. 4, etc.

A. P. vi. 232. 5. [Crinagoras.]

καὶ πότιμοι γέλγιθες ἰδ' ὁ ελακυ κάδες ὄγχναι.

Unless we read iδè γλανκώπιδες (a word used='gleaming,' of olives=cf. A. P. vi. 250, γλανκή ἔαλος), some compound should probably be coined here. As the compounds of ἕαλος appear in the Anthology, Crinagoras perhaps used one here. ἑελοπωπάδες='glassy-faced,' makes good sense.

A. P. vi. 234. 3. [Erucius Dedication by a priest of Cybele.]

τῆ παρὰ Σαγγαρίω τάδε ματέρι τύμπανα ταῦτα θήκατο.

May we read $\tau e\kappa \tau d$! The word is used by Homer of cowhide, of which the drums were made.

In line 6 we have:

έκ λύσσης ἄρτι ἀναπαυσάμενος.

Meineke suggested $\delta \rho \tau \alpha =$ 'just now,' the very opposite of what the author meant. The only adverb from $\delta \rho \tau \omega s$ would be $\delta \rho \tau \omega s$. An easy correction would be $\delta \rho \sigma \omega s$ in bimbs resting,' a variant of the forms $\gamma \omega a \delta a \mu e is$ (vi. 107) or $\gamma \omega a \pi \eta \rho \omega \theta e is$ (vi. 104), etc.

A. P. vi 238. 4. [Apollonides.]

εὶ μι δ' ἐξ ὀλίγων ὀλίγη χόρις.

May we not read $i\lambda a\theta \iota \ \delta \cdot \ \delta \xi \ \delta \lambda i\gamma \omega v, \kappa.\tau.\lambda. =$ Be gracious: the gift is small from a small store ?

A. P. vi. 239. 1. [Apollonides]

Σμήνεος έκ με ταμών γλυκερον θέρος αμφινομέων γηραίος Κλείτων σπείσε μελισσοπόνος.

It is possible to read dphi Naprior = in the district of Nemea.

A. P. vi. 260. [Geminus. Phryne and the Eros of Praxiteles.]

Φρύνη τὸν πτεροέντα, τὸν εὐτέχνητον Ἐρωτα μισθὸν ὑπὲρ τέκνων ἄνθετο Θεσπιέσιν. Κύπριδος ἡ τέχνη ζηλούμενον οὐκ ἐπιμεμφὲς δῶρον. ἐς ἀμφοτέρους δ' ἔπρεπε μισθὸν Ἐρως. δοιῆς ἐκ τέχνης αἰνέω βροτὸν, ὅς γε καὶ ἄλλοις δοὺς θεὸν ἐν σπλάγχνοις εἶχε τελειότερον.

Such is the epigram as the editors punctuate it, with $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \rho \omega \nu$ in line 2 (Orelli). The author, however, probably wrote $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \pi \nu \dot{\omega} \nu =$ 'dalliance'; for the corruption; cf. vi. 71. 2, $\pi \lambda a \sigma \tau \dot{a}$ for $\kappa \lambda a \sigma \tau \dot{a}$.

Retaining the accepted punctuation, we must fix (i) the construction of $K\acute{\nu}\pi\rho\iota\delta\sigma$; (ii) that of $\delta\sigma\iota\hat{\eta}s$ $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ $\tau\dot{\epsilon}\chi\nu\eta s$; and (iii) justify $\ddot{\epsilon}\pi\rho\epsilon\pi\epsilon$ with $\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}s$ and an accusative.

- (i) Hecker construed $K\acute{e}\pi\rho\iota\delta\sigma$ s with $\delta\hat{\omega}\rho\sigma\nu$. Taking $\dot{\eta}$ $\tau\acute{e}\chi\nu\eta=$ 'a concrete work of art,' he rendered 'the work of art, enviable and not contemptible, is the gift of Cypris.' But it is the gift of Praxiteles to Phryne, and of her to Thespiae: it eannot be a gift of Cypris unless we make Cypris='of an affectionate person.'
- (ii) δοιής ἐκ τέχνης is supposed to go with αἰνέω= 'I praise for two forms of art.' What are they? Can ἐκ mean 'for'?
- (iii) ès ἀμφοτέρους cannot be equivalent to ἀμφοτέρους: the line is corrupt somewhere.

The key to the correct interpretation is in xvi. 205, also by Geminus. There he concludes:

ταρβεί δ΄ οὐκέτι που τον Κύπριδος, ἀλλὰ τὸν ἐκ σοῦ,

Πραξίτελες, τέχνην μητέρ' ἐπισταμένη.

These lines make it clear that we must (a) put a semicolon in vi. 260 after $K\acute{e}\pi\rho\iota\acute{o}os$, construing the word either with $E\rho\sigma\tau a$ or with $\tau\epsilon\rho\pi\tau \acute{o}v$, preferably the former; (b) make $\tau\acute{e}\chi\nu\eta$ in line 3 refer to the artistic skill of Praxiteles; (c) explain $\acute{o}o\imath\acute{q}s$ $\acute{e}\kappa$ $\tau\acute{e}\chi\nu\eta s$ as referring both to the objective statue $E\rho \omega s$ Praxiteles made, and to the subjective passion $E\rho \omega s$ that inspired him and Phryne. We must, therefore, put a semicolon after $\tau\acute{e}\chi\nu\eta s$, line 5. In line 4 we should read $\acute{e}\tau\rho\epsilon\pi\epsilon$ (cf. L. S. 41, 3, $\acute{e}\tau\rho\epsilon\pi\epsilon \nu$ $\kappa\epsilon i\nu\sigma\nu$ $\mu u\tau\theta \acute{\omega}$, Pind. P. 3, 97), changing $\acute{e}s$ to $\acute{e}s$. The epigram now reads:

Φρύνη τον πτεροέντα τον εὐτέχνητον "Ερωτα.

μισθον ὑπὲρ τερπνῶν, ἄνθετο Θεσπιέσιν.

Κύπριδος: ἡ τέχνη ζηλούμενον, οὐκ ἐπιμεμφὲς
δῶρον: ὃς ἀμφοτέρους δ' ἔτρεπε μισθὸς. "Ερως
δοιῆς ἐκ τέχνης: ἀινέω, κ.τ.λ.

The interpretation of $\partial \mu \phi \sigma \epsilon \phi \sigma v$ is contained in the previous line and is made certain by $\partial \omega \hat{\eta} v$ in line 5. The art (of Praxiteles) was a thing to be admired, and the gift tof Phryne, is beyond reproach: he who prevailed upon them was Eros by his double art, i.e., the endptured Eros, the product of one art (that of Praxiteles) prevailed on Phryne, and the feeling of Eros, the child of another art (the profession of an Eraé $\rho \sigma$), prevailed on Praxiteles. This subtle contract of the two arts is well unmed up in xvi. 203.

καὶ γὰρ ἐρῶντας ὁῶρον "Ερωτι φέρειν αὐτὸν "Ερωτα θέμις.

A. P. vi. 262. [Leonidas.]

Τον νομίην καὶ ἔπαυλα βοῶν καὶ βώτορας ἄνδρας σινόμενον, κλαγγάν τ' ούχὶ τρέσαντα κυνών.

Salmasius read μονιόν, Brunek μόνιον; the former with $\sigma \hat{v}_{\ell}$ would give good sense. σ and τ are often confused.

A. P. vi. 267, 5, 6. [Diotimus.]

άλσος δ' "Αρτεμι, τοῦτο καὶ αν Χαρίτεσσι θεούσαις είη ἐπ' ἀνθεμίδων σάμβαλα κουφά βαλείν.

In a wish $\ddot{a}\nu$ is corrupt, while $\ddot{a}\lambda\sigma\sigma\sigma$ $\theta\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu$ is hard. A slight correction gives:

τοῦτ' ἀκέων Χαρίτεσσι κιούσαις 'May the Graces come silently to this grove.'

A. P. vi. 268. [Mnasaleas.]

τοῦτό τοι, "Αρτεμι δία, κλεώνυμος είσατ' ἄγαλμα τοῦτο σὺ δ' εὐθήρου τοῦ θ ὑπέρισχε βίου είτε κατ' είνοσίφυλλον όρος ποσί, πότνια, βαίνεις, δεινον μαιμώσαις έγκονέουσα κυσίν.

In line 2 editors accept Jacobs's suggestion pior. The presence of opos in the next line, however, rules out any correction of Biov, which means 'hill'; it is enough to state the fact that there is a hill once.

What is the poet asking for ? Only for one thing-good sport for himself, because he has dedicated a statue to the goddess. The parallels to this practice in Bk. vi. are weari-

some in number.

The real difficulty is the construction of ὑπέρισχε. The word must be an imperative. L. and S. assign to the word the meaning 'protect,' construing it with a genitive. In A. P. vii. 67. 7, ταντα has a variant πάντα. We should accordingly take $\tau \circ \hat{r} \theta$ as an instance of the same error, and read (with a comma at the end of line 1):

τουδε συ δ' ευθήρου πάνθ' ύπερισχε βιού,

'and do thou alway protect the bow of him, the sportsman.' The juxtaposition of τοῦδε and σύ is idiomatic.

A. P. vi. 271. 5, 6. [Diotimus. Leon's prayer for his son.]

*Αρτεμι, νηπίαχον δὲ καὶ εἰσέτι παῖδα Λέοντος νεῦσον ἰδεῖν κοῦρον υἱέ' ἀεξόμενον.

Excellent sense is obtained by reading κουράν, and construing: νεθσον νίξα Λέοντος, νηπίαχον καὶ εἰσέτι παίδα, ἀεξόμενον ἰδεῖν κουράν='Grant that Leon's son, as yet an infant child, may grow and see the day when he will dedicate his locks.' On this construction only can we give εἰσέτι its correct sense. For dedication of locks, cf. vi. 278, 279, and cf. L. and S., κουρεῶτις.

A. P. vi. 290. 3, 4. [Dioscorides. A fan dedicated by Parmenis.]

τὸ δ' ἦελιόυ βορὺ θάλπος ἥ ταιρ μαλακοῖς ἐκτρέπεται Ζεφύροις.

As $\tilde{\epsilon}\kappa\tau\rho\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\sigma\rho\alpha\tilde{\epsilon}$ trea can only mean 'I get out of the way of somebody,' the verb is passive and $\theta\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\pi\sigma\sigma$ is nominative. $\tilde{\eta}\sigma^{\prime}$ $\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\eta}\rho=$ 'heat and oppressive air,' makes excellent sense.

A. P. vi. 292. [Hedylus. Niconoe's beauty-prizes.]

αί μίτραι τό δ΄ άλουργες ύπένδυμα τοί τε Λάκωνες πέπλοι καὶ ληρῶν οἱ χρύσεοι κάλαμοι πάνθ' άμα Νικονόη συνέκπιε. ἢν γὰρ Ἐρώπων . . .

Pl. with Suidas reads συνεπέκπων. A slight change would συν συνίβη κτεσι'='fell to her lot and became hers.' Cf. L. and S., συμβαίνω, II. 4.

A. P. vi. 300. 1. [Leonidas. His offering to a goddess.]

Λαθρίη ἐκ πλάνης, ταύτην χάριν ἔκ τε πενέστεω κηξ ὀλιγησιπόου δέξο Λεωνίδεω.

He was poor but not a tramp, as Meineke's comic genius made him. He has just recovered from sickness, and writes in Ionic. The second word is merely a misreading for $\tau a\mu i \eta s$; the first word is explained by $\pi \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega =$ 'bondsman.' A simple change gives $\lambda a\tau \rho \epsilon i \eta s$. ηs was written $\epsilon \iota c = \hat{\epsilon} \kappa$, and produced $\tau a\mu i \eta s$, the other letters becoming what the MS. reads. Read $\lambda a\tau \rho \epsilon i \eta s$ $\tau a\mu i \eta =$ 'O Goddess, overseer of bondservice.'

A. P. vi. 301. 3, 4. [Callimachus. On Eudemus.]

θῆκε θεοῖς Ζαμόθρᾳξι, λέγων ὅτι τήνδε, κατ' εὐχὴν το λαοὶ σωθεὶς ἐξ άλὸς ὧδε θέτο.

The object after $\theta \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon$ is $\hat{a} \lambda \acute{\iota} \eta \nu$ in line 1. Eudemus has saved himself from the shipwreck of bankruptcy by his salt-cellar, i.e. a frugal life. In the last line there must be a similar hint that $\hat{a} \lambda \acute{o}s$ is used metaphorically. If we can find a word which would connote 'a storm on land,' and at the same time describe the Cabeiri, we shall read Callimachus's riddle. Such is $o \acute{e} \delta a \acute{e} o = `Saved, O \ ye \ land \ gods \ (gods \ of the nether world) from land storms.' <math>O \acute{e} \delta a \acute{e} o s$ is used of Persephone by Lycophron, a contemporary of Callimachus, and of Pluto in A. P. xiv. 123. The Cabeiri were connected with these two gods (see Diet. Biogr. and Mythol.), and received all kinds of knick-knacks as presents.

A. P. vii. 19. 3, 4. [Leonidas. To Alcman.]

τύμβος έχει Σπάρτας μεγάλαν χάριν, εἰθόγε λοῦσθος

άχθος ἀπορρίγας οἴχεται εἰς ᾿Λίδαν.

The poet died of Sulla's disease. A slight change gives $\tilde{\epsilon} r \theta$ 6 γ $\tilde{a} \lambda a \sigma \tau \sigma r = 1$ where he shook off his dread anguish and is gone to the dead. Cf. vii. 343. 10, $\pi \epsilon r \theta \sigma s \tilde{a} \lambda a \sigma \tau \sigma r$.

A. P. vii. 21. 5, 6. [Simmias. To Sophocles.]

τύμβος ἔχει καὶ γῆς ὀλίγον μέρος ἀλλ' ὁ περισσὸς αἰων ἀθανάτοις δέρκεται ἐν σελίσιν.

Lobeck defended $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \rho \kappa \epsilon \tau a \epsilon$ as a passive; may we not read $\tau \epsilon \hat{\nu} \chi \epsilon \tau a \iota = (\text{`is created for him'})$?

A P. vii. 29. 5, 6. [Antipater. To Anacreon.]

ηιθέων γὰρ τρωτος ἔφυς σκοπός. εἰς δὲ σὲ μοῦνον τόξα τε καὶ σκολιὰς εἶχεν έκηβολίας.

For $\epsilon i \chi \epsilon \nu$ we should read $\tilde{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ($\tilde{\iota} \eta \mu \iota$).

A. P. vii. 79. 1-4. [Meleager.]

α. "Ωνθρωπ' Πράκλειτος έγὼ σοφὰ μοῦνος ἀνευρὼν φαμί· τὰ δ' ἐς πάτραν κρέσσονα καὶ σοφίης.

λὰξ γὰρ καὶ τοκέων ἀσίωι ξένε δύσφρονας ἄνδρας ὑλάκτευν β. Λαμπρὰ θρεψαμένοισι χάρις.

In line 3 the chief difficulties are two in number: (a) how are we to get a word which will give $\lambda \delta \xi$ its proper meaning? The word cannot go with $\lambda \delta \lambda \tau \tau \tau \tau \tau$, for nothing can bark with its heels. (b) Is $\tau \sigma \kappa \delta \sigma \tau$ a true reading? if so, with what is it to be construed in its present case?

(a) There is only one possible means of finding a verb for λάξ without a violent change of the text, i.e. by reading

 $\lambda \grave{a} \dot{\xi} \gamma \grave{a} \rho \beta \acute{a} s \ (\kappa = \beta, \iota = \sigma \text{ as often}).$

(b) Hipponax is also charged with reviling his parents (cf. vii. 408. 3). If the charge is true in vii. 79, how did Heracleitus do his country a greater service by reviling his parents? The thought either is guilty of bathos, or is an insult to Ephesus and its traditions. On the other hand, δύσφρονας ἄνδρας is an excellent means of illustrating πάτραν. τοκέων then is a false reading—especially as the original hand wrote τεκέων.

Heracleitus' tomb in vii. 479. 4, is described as:

θεῖον ύλακτήτην δήμου ἔχουσα κύνα.

We should accordingly read here

λὰξ γὰρ βὰς ὁ κύων ἄστεως, ξένε, δύσφρονας ἄνδρας | ὑλάκτευν.

'I, the dog, trampled upon and barked at the evil-minded men of the city.' θρεψαμένοιοι need not mean more than 'those who fed you'; it does not necessarily confirm τοκέων, rather, it suggested the word.

Editors rightly reject $\mu\acute{e}\nu$, reading $\kappa\acute{\omega}\nu\acute{e}\iota\nu\nu$ with the MS. of Diogenes; the latter reads $\delta\pi\lambda\acute{\omega}s$ $\mu\acute{e}\nu$. Is it not likely that the original was $\delta\pi\lambda\acute{\omega}s$ $\delta\nu\acute{e}\acute{e}$ (cf. $\delta\sigma\nu\tau\rho\grave{\alpha}$ $\mu\eta\tau\rho\acute{\omega}s$ $\delta\nu\acute{e}\acute{e}\acute{e}$, Eur. I. P. 818). If so, the reading $\sigma\acute{\nu}$ would be due to the common confusion of ν and ν . $\delta\pi\lambda\acute{\omega}s=$ 'you drank literally hemlock,' and shows that the last line must be metaphorical. What is $\tau\sigma\acute{\nu}\tau$? how did the Athenians 'drink this up from thy lips?' can $\tau\acute{e}\acute{\rho}$ $\sigma\tau\acute{\nu}\mu\alpha\tau\iota$ mean 'from thy lips?' A simple correction gives the reading $\tau\sigma\acute{\nu}$ $\hbar\iota$ $\tau\acute{e}\acute{\rho}$ $\sigma\tau\acute{\nu}\mu\alpha\tau\iota=$ 'you took hemlock from them: they drank up what was on thy lips.' This abstract form of speech harmonises with the almost modern tone of Diogenes' epigrams.

A. P. vii. 99. 1-4 [Plato.]

Δάκρυα μὲν Ἑκάβη τε καὶ Ἰλιάδεσσι γυναιξέ Μοῖραι ἐπέκλωσαν δή τότε γεινομέναις. σοὶ δὲ, Δίων, ῥέξαντι καλῶν ἐπινίκιον ἔργων δαίμονες εὐρείας ἐλπίδας ἐξέγεαν.

μὲν in line 1 both refuses to scan and affords no real contrast with δὲ in line 3. Why not χεῖν? We should then have a balance between δάκρυα χεῖν and ἐλπίδας ἐξέχεαν.

A. P. vii. 104. 1. [Diogenes Laertius.]

' Αρκεσίλαε, τί μοι, τί, τόσον ἄκρητον ἀφειδώς . . . Read τί τὸ τόσσον.

A. P. vii. 114. 1, 2. [Diogenes Laertius.]

"Πθελες. ἀνθρώποισι λιπεῖν φάτιν, Ἡρακλείδη, ως ρα θανὼν ἐγένου ζωὸς ἄπασι δράκων.

Should we not read $\delta\pi o \hat{a} = (= \text{like})$ for $\tilde{a}\pi a \sigma i!$ The word occurs A. P. vii. 295. The corruption may be due to the resemblance between i and σ , $\delta\pi o \hat{a}$ becoming $\tilde{a}\pi o \sigma a$, $\tilde{a}\pi a \sigma i$. The serpent was the type of immortality.

A. P. vii. 131. [Diogenes Laertius.]

Πρωταγόρην λόγος ὧδε θανεῖν φερει ἀλλὰ γὰρ οὖτι ἤκατο σῶ μαγαῖαν ψυχὴ δαλτο οσφοῖς.

vii. 130. 2, an epigram also on Protagoras has $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \nu s \epsilon \omega v$, $i\theta a \nu \epsilon s$. This enables us to restore with certainty $a\lambda\lambda a \gamma \epsilon \rho c \nu \tau \iota$. For the next line we should read:

ήκε το σώμα γέαν ψυχή έσαλτο σοφούς.

Cf. Cougny, ii. 695. 3: ψυχὴ μὲν πρὸς "Ολυμπον ἀνήλλατο. The form γία appears in Λ. P. 9 430. 2, and is a metrical necessity in Cougny, vol. 3 of Anthology, vi. 81. 14 and vi. 162: Herodian. 2. 912. 8. asserts that poets use γία, γεία and αια. ἐσᾶντο is a good Homeric form, construed with the accusative.

A. P. vii. 133. 3, 4. [Diogenes Laertius. To Anaxagoras.]

καὶ σὲ διαστείλασ' γένειφει ὀλίγον τάδε λέξει ρήματα Περσεφόνη: "Έρρε, μυλωθρὲ κακέ."

Jacobs read διαστείλασα γνάφοις δλίγον. γναφη δλίγον, in agreement with $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}$, would be still nearer the MS.

A. P. vii. 140. 2. [Archias.]

πατηρ μεν Πρίαμος, γα δ΄ Ίλιον οὔνομα δ΄ Έκτωρ. We should read θρεπτήρ (cf. A. P. xii. 137), first written τρεπτήρ, then πεπτήρ and so πατήρ, by coalescence of the consonants.

A. P. vii. 169. 7, 8. [Inscribed on a statue of a heifer.]

The heifer says she is not Io, but the wife of Chares the Athenian admiral, concluding thus:

Βοιίδιον δὲ καλεῦμαι ἐγὼ τότε νῦν δὲ Χάρητος εὔνετις ἠπείροις τέρπομαι ἀμφοτέραις.

Pl. and two antiquaries give a paraphrase of the original. Various emendations have been proposed, all of them based on the assumption that there should be a colon after $\tau \acute{o} \tau \epsilon$. Such readings produce nonsense. What is the point of saying 'I am called Boildion: but now as the wife of Chares I rejoice in both continents'? It is unnecessary to make any change; read

Bοιίδιον δὲ καλεῦμαι ἐγὰ τότε νῦν δὲ Χάρητος κ.τ.λ. 'I am not Io, but Boildion: both then and now Chares' wife.'

A. P. vii. 186. 1, 2. [Philippus.]

"Αρτι μὲν ἐν θαλάμοις Νικιππίδος ἡδὺς ἐπήχει λωτός, καὶ γαμικοῖς ὕμνος ἔχαιρε κρότοις. We should read καὶ γαμικοὺς ἕμνος ἔγειρε κρότους.

A. P. vii. 198. 5, 6. [Leonidas of Tarentum. Philaenis' locust.]

διπλούς ές λυκήβαντας έφίλατο, την καλαμίτιν, καὶ θέτ' έφ' ύμνιδίωι χηραμένην παταίγω.

For $i\mu$ chief we should read $b\mu\nu\varphi\delta\hat{\varphi}$. No further change is necessary: $\theta(\tau_0)$ she adopted me, as is clear from line 7 which says: 'She did not disown me $(\delta\pi a\nu\dot{q}\nu a\tau o)$ even when I died.' Unger retained the MS. reading rightly, but made it mean: 'and made me rejoice in.' It would be safer perhaps to put a comma after $\theta\epsilon\tau$ '.

A. P. vii. 214. 7, 8. [Archias.]

η γὰρ ἴσον πρηῶνι Μαλείης ὡς ἐκυκήθη κῦμα, πολυψάμμους ὧσεν ἐπὶ ψαμάθους.

Either πολυψάμμους or ψαμάθους is corrupt. Assuming that the former is sound, we may read for the latter ψεκάδας. Cf. A. P. xii. 125. 4, ψάμμου ψεκάδα.

A. P. vii. 215. [Anyte.]

Οὐκέτι δὴ πλωτοίσιν ἀγαλλόμενος πελάγεσσιν αὐχέν ἀναρρίψω βυσσόθεν ὀρνύμενος, οὐδὲ περισκαλάμοιοι νεὼς περικαλλέα χείλη ποιψύσσω τὰμῷ τερπόμενος προτομῷ ἀλλά με πορφυρέα πόντου νοτὶς ὧσ' ἐπὶ χέρσον κεῦμαι δεραδινὰν τάνδε παρ' ἠιόνα.

In line 3 what are χείλη? Editors interpret 'edges of the hip,' whereas L. and S. construe it with ποιφύσσω, as the auteous lips of the dolphin.' The former interpretation is probably correct. Jacobs read περί χάλκει χείλη. If this is correct, we might read πολεσκόλμοιο εκώς (in vii. 295, 4).

What is the original reading in the last line? A slight change would produce κέψαι ο ἀορανία, ἀδρανίη appears in A. P. 7, 598, 2. The dolphin may be contrasting his former

vigour with his present helplessness.

A. P. viii. 223. 7, 8. [Thyillus.]

κῶμοι καὶ μανίαι μέγα χαίρετε· κεῖθ ἡ τὸ πρὶν στεφάνων ἄνθεσι κρυπτομένη.

A later hand has filled up line 7 by adding \dot{a} $\mu\nu\rho\ell\pi\nu\sigma\nu$ s. Pl. edited $\kappa\epsilon\delta\theta\epsilon\tau\alpha\iota$ $\ddot{a}\delta\eta$. Is not the key to the line in $\kappa\rho\nu\pi\tau\sigma\mu\dot{\epsilon}\nu\eta$? This must agree with some word like $\chi a\dot{\iota}\tau\eta$. Assuming then that the error is due to haplography, we may read $\chi a\dot{\iota}\rho\epsilon\tau\epsilon$ $\chi a\dot{\iota}\rho\dot{\epsilon}$ $\tau\epsilon$, $\chi a\dot{\iota}\tau\eta$, $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. (cf. vii. 218. 10).

A. P. vii. 233. 3-5. [Apollonides.]

νοῦσον ὅτ' εἰς ὑπάτην ἀλίσθανε τέρμα τ' ἄφυκτον εἶδεν ἀριστείην ἐμφανὲς εἰς ἰδίην πῆξε δ' ὑπὸ σπλάγχνοισιν ἐὸν ξίφος.

The subject is Aelius, a centurion. How are we to construe line 4? As it is, it can only mean 'he saw his end clearly coming for the purpose of his displaying a peculiar act of bravery'; even then there is no main sentence in the whole epigram, unless we put a full stop at $i\partial i \eta v$. A simple remedy would be to read $i \mu \phi a v i \sigma a s$ with Harberton, with a comma after $i \partial i v$, and $i \eta i \delta a \theta$, i.e. 'when he saw his end, displaying a peculiar act of courage, he drove his sword into his heart.'

A. P. vii. 234, 1. [Philippus. On Aelius.]

Αίλιος ὁ θρασύχειρ ἄργους πρόμος.

ἄργους πρόμος = σ τρατιῆς πρόμος of vii. 233, 1 above. We should read ἀρχὸς πρόμος = 'chief centurion.'

A. P. vii. 238. [Adaeus.]

'Ημαθίην δς πρώτος ἐς * Λρεα βῆσα Φίλιππος Λἰγαίην κεῖμαι βώλον ἐφεσσάμενος, ῥέξας οῗ' οὖπω βασιλεὺς τὸ πρίν. εἰ δέ τις αὐχεῖ μεῖζον ἐμεῦ, καὶ τοῦθ' αἵματος ἡμετέρου.

For $\kappa a i \tau o \hat{v} \theta'$ read perhaps $\tau \epsilon \kappa r o \hat{v} \theta'$ (= $\tau \epsilon \kappa v o \hat{v} \tau a \iota$), 'he is born of my blood.' τ and κ have changed places.

A. P. vii. 243. 5. [Lollius Bassus.]

ην δ' ἐσορης ἐπ' ἐμεῖο βούστρυχον εἰκόνα θήρης ἔννεπε τοῦ ταγοῦ μνημα Λεωνίδεω.

For $\beta o \delta \sigma \tau \rho v \chi o v$ read $\beta a \theta \delta \tau \rho \iota \chi o s$. The word is used of a lion by Oppian. The corruption has arisen through the absence of the cross-stroke of the θ .

A. P. vii. 273. 5. 6. [Leonidas. **A** drowned man speaks.] κάγω μὲν πόντω δινεύμενος ἰχθύσι κύρμα

οἴχευμαι.

For oixerpar we might read eilerpar. The word is used in Od. 5. 403, and is found also of the slow movement of a shoal of fish. (See L. and S., $\epsilon i \lambda i \omega$.)

A. P. vii. 277. [Callimachus. On a drowned body.]

τίς ξένος, ω ναυηγέ; Λεόντιχος ενθάδε νεκρον εδρεν επ' αἰγιαλούς, χωσε δε τώδε τάφω δακρύσας επίκηρον εδν βίον οὐδε γὰρ αὐτος ησυχος, αἰθνίη δ΄ εσα θαλασσοπορεί.

The whole epigram is addressed to the corpse. In lines 2 and 3 the second person is essential. We obtain the required meaning by reading $\epsilon^{\mu}\rho^{\mu}$ of in line 2 and interpreting $\epsilon^{\mu}\rho^{\mu}$ as equivalent to $\sigma^{\mu}\rho^{\mu}$ (cf. vii. 104. 4).

A. P. vii. 292. [Theon.]

'Αλκύσσιν, Αηναΐε, μέλεις τάχα· κωφὰ δὲ μήτηρ μύρεθ' ὑπὲρ κρυεροῦ μυρομένη σε τάφον.

We can read $\delta i \rho \epsilon \theta'$ or $\delta v \rho o \mu \epsilon i \eta$ at will. Yet, how can a mother wail over the tomb of a man drowned at sea? $i v \pi \epsilon \rho$ cannot mean 'because of.' It is easy to correct to $\delta \tau \epsilon \rho =$ 'without the *chilly* funeral-rites'; cf. vii. 404. 3 for a parallel sentiment.

A. P. vii. 302. Simonides.

τῶν αύτοῦ τις ἔκαστος ἀπολλυμένων ἀνιᾶται· Νικόδικον δὲ φίλοι καὶ πόλις ἤδε πολή.

A later hand has altered the last word to $\pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta}$. Pl. emended to $\kappa \lambda \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \iota$. May we not have here another epigram on the victims of the Persian wars? If so, it is easy to read $\mathbf{N} \iota \kappa o \delta \dot{\iota} \kappa o \nu$ with $\mathbf{H} \dot{\nu} \lambda a \iota$. 'But when N. died, his friends and this city Thermopylae mourned.'

A. P. vii. 318. [Callimachus. Timon speaks.]

Μὴ χαίρειν εἴπης με, κακὸν κέαρ, ἀλλὰ πάρελθε· ἶσον ἐμοὶ χαίρειν ἐστὶ τὸ μὴ σὲ γελᾶν.

We should read ἐστὶ τὸ μή τε χολῶν='whether you give greetings or not equally moves my wrath.'

A. P. vii. 323.

Είς δύ άδελφειους επέχει τάφος τν γαρ επέσχον ημαρ και γενεής οι δύο και θανάτου.

έπέσχον is due to ἐπέχει. We may read ἔχεσκον.

A. P. vii. 325. [To Sardanapalus.]

τόσσ' έχω, ὅσσ' έφαγόν τε καὶ έπιον καὶ μετ' έρώτων

τέρπν' έδάην· τὰ δὲ πολλὰ καὶ ὅλβια πάντα λέλειπται.

έπιον is the reading of Pand. Eustathius. Clement of Alexandria gives the remarkable variant καὶ ἀφύβρισα, as the parody by Crates in the next epigram has ἐφρόντισα.

Cicero rendered quod edi hibi mecum habeo. It is clear that something with the same meaning as $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\iota \omega \nu$ is genuine. Is not the true reading $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma\tau \omega \nu = 1$ drained (see L. and S., $\sigma\pi\iota \omega$, III.)? Cf. A. P. vii. 104, $\tilde{\epsilon}\kappa\rho\eta\tau \omega \nu$ discrete $\tilde{\epsilon}\sigma \omega \omega$. The familiar confusion of σ and ι would produce $\epsilon \tilde{\iota}\tau \omega \nu$, which was altered to $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi\iota \omega \nu$ by a scribe who grasped the meaning without understanding the word.

A. P. vii. 330. 3, 4.

σύν τε γυναικὶ Καληποδίη τεῦξεν τόδε σήμα ώς ίνα τὴν στοργὴν κὴν φθιμένοισιν ἔχοι.

It is just possible to read $\hat{\omega}_s$ \tilde{v}_{ra} , with a comma at $\sigma \hat{\eta} \mu a =$ 'that by so doing.'

But an easy change would be:

ώς συνετήν στοργήν. (f. Cougny, ii. 685. 1, την συνετην άλοχον Διονύσιαν.

A. P. vii. 339. 7, 8.

λοιπόν μοι τὸ κύπελλον ἀποστίλβωσον, ἐταῖρε, καὶ λύπης ὀδύνην τὸν Βρόμιον πάρεχε.

But for Pl.'s remarkable variant, καὶ λύπης λήθην, we might perhaps emend to κἀν λύπαις ἀδινόν, κ.τ.λ. It seems more likely that δωύνην conceals some word taking a genitive. Might we not read ἀσαῆ [The word occurs A. P. xii. 103. 2, c. gen.] Soph. Phil. 827, reads: ἔπι' ὁδύνης ἀδαής, a strangely parallel phrase.

A. P. vii. 365. 1, 2. [Zonas.]

'Λίδη δς ταύτης καλαμώδεος ὕδατι λίμνης κωπεύεις νεκύων βάριν έλων ὀδύνην.

It is just possible to construe the MS. reading by altering to $\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\hat{\omega}v$, gen. pl. of $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda os$, 'the barge, the woe of the marshes'; cf. $\delta au\tau \delta s \, \dot{a}v i\eta$, Od. 17. 446.

A. P. vii. 382. 5, 6. [Philippus. A drowned man speaks.]

ή τύμβευε κενοῦσα καθ' ὕδατος ἡ παραδοῦσα γαίη τὸν κείνης μηκέτι κλέπτε νέκυν.

The poet addresses $\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda a \sigma \sigma a$, the subject of $\tau \dot{\nu} \mu \beta \epsilon \nu \epsilon$. $\kappa \epsilon r o \hat{\nu} \sigma a$ makes no satisfactory sense. Hecker suggested $\kappa a \nu o \hat{\nu} \sigma a$. It is highly probable that the author wrote $\kappa o \mu o \hat{\nu} \sigma a$, which secures a natural construction for $\kappa a \theta'$ $\tilde{\nu} \delta a \tau o s$ and a balance with $\pi a \rho a \delta o \hat{\nu} \sigma a$, 'either bury me by conveying me beneath the waves or hand me over.'

A. P. vii. 384. 5, 6.

[Marcus Argentarius. Of Aristomache.]

εἶπε, τάδε, μίνωϊ πάλαι, φέρε κάλπιν ἐλαφρὴν οἴσω κυάνεον τοὐξ ᾿Αχέροντος ὕδωρ·

Aristomache, a drunkard, is the subject of $\epsilon i\pi \epsilon$. Jacobs read: " Ω Miroi, $\pi \hat{\eta} \lambda a \iota$, $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon$." But $\pi \hat{\eta} \lambda a \iota$ cannot = 'assign by lot'; even so, why should Minos allot?

Her words probably begin with $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon$. We should read then:

εἶπε τάδ' εἰ Μίνων φηλοῦ " Φέρε κάλπιν ἐλαφρήν."

'She said, on the chance of cheating Minos, "Give me a light pitcher." This reading is confirmed by lines 7-8, $\tau \circ \tilde{\nu} \circ \delta$ $\tilde{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \xi \epsilon \mid \psi \epsilon \upsilon \delta \tilde{\epsilon}$.

A. P. vii. 393. 5. 6. [Diocles. A drowned man speaks.] χέρσωι δ' ἐπ' ἐκβαίνει ἐμεῦ χάριν ὕδατι θυμός, πάρκειμαι σταθερῆ μίμνεμεν ὡς ἄταφος.

In line 5 à is needed, as Harberton saw, reading:

χέρσον δ' εὶ 'πεκβηναι.

A slight improvement would be $\chi \epsilon \rho \sigma \phi$ δ' $\epsilon \iota$ ' $\pi \epsilon \mu \beta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$, which supplies a construction to $\chi \epsilon \rho \sigma \phi$. In the last line a transposition of two letters gives us $\kappa a \rho \pi o \hat{\nu} \rho a \iota$ (with ω s). (ω s, Reiske), 'I gain by remaining thus unburied.'

A. P. vii. 407. 8, 9. [Dioscorides. On Sappho.] πάντη, πότνια, χαιρε θεοις γὰρ ἴσας ἀοιδάς ἀθανάτας ἔχομεν νῦν ἔτι θυγατέρας.

The run of the words and the position of $\gamma \acute{a}\rho$ make it certain that a semicolon should be placed before the word preceding $\gamma \acute{a}\rho$. Reiske read $\chi a \acute{a}\rho \epsilon$: $\theta \epsilon o \acute{a}s$ $\delta a \sigma \acute{a}s$ $\gamma \grave{a}\rho$ $\delta a \iota \delta \acute{a}s$. This reading leaves $\theta \nu \gamma a \tau \acute{e}\rho as$ vague: 'daughters of whom or what'? An easy improvement would be:

χαιρε θεοις ἴσα· σᾶς γὰρ ἀοιδᾶς, κ.τ.λ.

'Reverence to thee as to the gods: for even yet we have the immortal daughters of thy art of song'; cf. c. ii. 383 1, ἀουσά. L. and S. say that 'art of song' is the primary meaning of ἀουδή. Pindar called his Odes Μουσάν θυγατέρες, as Shakespeare called his 'the first heir of his invention.'

A. P. vii. 408. 3, 4. | Leonidas. On Hipponax. |

άρτι γὰρ Ἱππώνακτος ὁ καὶ τοκέων εἰα βαύξας άρτι κεκοίμηται θυμὸς ἐν ἡσυχίη.

Before we can accept $\tau o \kappa \hat{\epsilon} o r$ we need another example of $\beta a \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon c$ with a genitive: Planudes has $\hat{\epsilon} o \beta$. In the preceding

couplet Hipponax is called a wasp; in this he is said to bark: do we not need some word to tone down the violent transition from one idea to another? In vii. 79 we saw there was good reason for calling Heracleitus a dog; may not the same reason hold in this precisely similar context? A minimum of change would enable us to read: $\delta \chi \ddot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \kappa \dot{\omega} \omega \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \beta a \dot{\omega} \dot{\xi} a s =$ 'who, like a dog, barked his own peculiar bark'; i.e. the scazon, Hipponax's own creation. For $\ddot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon$, cf. A. P., ix. 300. 3; $\pi \rho \eta \dot{\omega} \nu \ddot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon$, x. 23. 5.

A. P. vii. 410. 1-4. | Dioscorides. On Thespis. |

Θέσπις ὅδε, τραγικὴν ὃς ἀνέπλασα πρῶτος ἀοιδὴν κωμήταις νεαρὰς καινοτομῶν χάριτας Βάκχος ὅτετριτθὺν κατάγοι χορόν, ῷ τράγος ἄθλων χὦττικὸς ἦν σύκων ἄρριχος ἀθλον ἔτι.

For the MS. of line 3 we may read ὅτε δρηστῶν, 'the chorus of labourers.' ('f. ix. 403, λάτριν χορειάν = 'the dance of the labourers in the vineyard.' δρῆστις appears in A. P. xii. 73. In vii. 411, 1, 2, Dioscorides calls Thespis' work '' ἀγροιῶτιν ἂν ὕλην | παίγνια καὶ κῶμοι."

A. P. vii. 411. 3-6. [Dioscorides. On Æschylus.]

Λίσχυλος έξύψωσεν, ὁ μὴ σμιλευτὰ χαράξας γράμματα, χειμάρρω δ' οἶα καταρδόμενα καὶ τὰ κατὰ σκηνὴν μετεκαίνισεν ὧ στόμα πάντων δέξιον ἀρχαίων ἦσθα τις ἡμιθέων.

The language of Aeschylus impresses the author, who tries to copy this 'mighty-mouthed inventor of harmonies.' The editors quote parallels proving that $\sigma \tau \delta \mu a$ and $\delta \rho \chi a \delta \omega v \delta \mu a \delta \omega v$ are probably genuine. The real difficulty lies in the words $\delta \sigma \theta \delta a \tau c s$, for Aeschylus could hardly be called a demi-god. An easy correction would produce $\Delta \tau \theta \delta \delta c s$ (cf. vii. 110. 4, above $\chi \delta \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \delta s$): 'O thou gifted mouthpiece of all the ancient demi-gods of Attica (or "of Attic speech").'

A. P. vii. 413. 7, 8. [Antipater. Hipparchia, a female Cynic.]

άμμι δὲ Μαιναλίας κάρρων ἀμιν ᾿Αταλάντης τόσσον, ὅσον σοφία κρέσσον ὀρειδρομίας.

May not the reading be κάρρω ναὶ μὰν 'A., 'a better life in very sooth'? Cf. xii. 256. 5.

A. P. vii. 436. [Hegemon.]

εἴποι τις παρὰ τύμβον ἰῶν ἀγέλαστος ὀδίτας τοῦτ' ἔπος· '''()γδώκοντ' ἐνθάδε μυριάδας Σπάρτας χίλιοι ἄνδρες ἐπεσχον αἶ ματὸ Περσῶν καὶ θάνον ἀστρεπτεί· Δώριος αὖ μελέτα."

In line 3 $\lambda \eta \mu a \tau \iota$, suggested by Schaefer, is accepted by most editors. May we not read $r \hat{a} \mu a \tau \delta \Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} r$? The corruption may easily have arisen by haplography or by the similarity of N and AI. 'A stream of men' is a common Greek idea.

A. P. vii. 447. [Callimachus.]

Σύντομος ἢν ὁ ξεῖνος, ὁ καὶ στίχος· οὐ μακρὰ λέξω· ''(-)ῆρις 'Αρισταίου Κρής, ἐπ' ἐμοὶ δολιχός."

The editors' suggestions here are truly astonishing. C. wrote $(\pi^2, \psi_0 \hat{\omega}_0)$ o' $\partial \lambda (\gamma_0) = 1$ he took after me; he was short.'

A. P. vii. 452. [Leonidas.]

Μυήμης Εὐβούλοιο σαόφρονος, δ παριόντες, πίνωμεν κοινὸς πᾶσι λιμὴν 'Λίδας.

Muypup is a genitive of adjuration. 'By the memory of.'

A. P. vii. 457. [Ariston.]

' Αμπελὶς ἡ φιλάκρητος, ἐπὶ σκίπωνος ὁδηγοῦ ἤδη τὸ σφαλερὸν γῆρας ἐρειδομένη λαθριδίη Βάκχοιο νεοθλιβὲς ἡδ' ἀπὸ ληνοῦ πῶμα Κυκλωπείην πλησαμένη Κύλικα. πρὶν δ' ἀρύσαι μογερὰν ἔκαμεν χέρα· γραῦς δὲ παλαιὴ

ώς ναθς, ύποβρύχιος ζωρον έδυ πέλαγος.

From line 5 $(\pi\rho i\nu \delta' d\rho i\sigma a)$ it is clear that some verb is necessary in line 3 to express attempted action. We should read $\eta \lambda \delta \epsilon \tau o$, 'she desired a draught.' For δs rais $\theta v v v is$ will make sense, and is practically identical with the MS. $\omega = \theta$, s = v, a = v.

A. P. vii. 472. 9-12. | Leonidas. Life is vain. |

ωνερ, ἴδ' ὡς ἀχρεῖον, ἐπεὶ περὶ νήματος ἄκρον εὐλὴ ἀκέρκισιον λῶπος ἐφεζομένη οἶον τὸ ψαλαθρῖον ἀπεψιλωμένον οῖον πολλὸν ἀραχναίου στυγνότερον σκελετοῦ.

In line 11 the 'worm in our bed of life' is compared with another creature which destroys a similar thing. $\theta \rho \hat{v}$ is then sound. With a comma at the end of line 10 we may read:

οδόν τ' δω ανα θρίψ απεψιλωμένον όζου,

(cf. ix. 336, 3, $\pi\epsilon\iota\omega$ for $\pi\epsilon\zeta\tilde{\omega}$)='and it is like a worm settling on the leaf of a shoot which it has stripped far more unsightly than the spider's web.'

A. P. vii. 481. 3, 4. [Philetas.]

Χά μικκὰ τάδε πατρὶ λέγει πάλιν· '' Ίσχεο λύπης (-)ειόδοτε· θνατοὶ πολλὰ δυστυχέες.''

We should read π ολλὰ δέ in a maxim, cf. vii. 519. 1: Δ αίμονα τίς δ' εὖ οἶδε τὸν αὔριον.

A. P. vii. 484. 3, 4. | Dioscorides. |

ή μεν ἀρίστη οὖσα καὶ εὔτεκνος οὐχ ὑπὸ παίδων ὀθνείαις δ' ἐτάφη χερσὶ θανοῦσα Βιώ.

The first word is $\eta \mu \dot{\epsilon} r$, followed by $\kappa \alpha \dot{\epsilon} = \dot{\epsilon}$ both . . . and.' But the author intends a double contrast: though she had many children, she was not buried by them: stranger hands laid her to rest, though she was a citizen. Hence, we may emend to

ημέν ἄρ' ἀστη ἐοῦσα

and give apa its full meaning, 'after all.'

A. P. vii. 485. 5, 6. [Dioscorides. On Aleximenes the flute-player.]

The poet bids his hearers, wild Thyiads, cast flowers on the tomb of the dead flute-player near the Strymonian city:

η γλυκερὰ πνεύσαντος ἐφ' ἡμετέροισιν ἀδάπταις πολλάκι πρὸς μαλακοὺς τοῦδ' ἐχόρευε νόμους.

άδάπταις hints at a word for some festival or musical instrument or song peculiar to the Thracians. That word is κολάβροις, see L. and S., 'a song accompanying the wild Thracian dance.' $i\phi'=$ on the occasion of.' The corruption is due to the substitution of δ for λ , of π for β , and τ for ρ , all common errors in MSS.

A. P. vii. 488. 3, 4. [Mnasalcas.]

ματρὶ δὲ δάκρυα σᾳ καταλείπεται, ἄ σ' ἐπὶ τύμβφ πολλάκι κεκλιμένα κωκύει ἐκ κεφαλῆς.

Should we not read \hat{j} $\kappa \epsilon \pi \hat{\epsilon} \lambda y s = \text{`wherever thou art'?}$

A. P. vii. 513. 3, 4. [Simonides.]

" Ω Τιμηνορίδη, παιδὸς φίλου οὔποτε λήση οὔτ' ἀρετὴν ποθέων οὔτε σαοφροσύνην."

The sentiment is not very convincing; we should read: οὖποτ' ὀνήση='thou shalt have no joy of thy son.' Cf. vii. 516. 2, ὄναιντο βίου (Simonides).

A. P. vii. 541. 5, 6. [Damagetis.]

ναὶ μὴν ἀλλαρετή σε διακριδὸν [°]. Αλις ἀείδει. We should read ἀλλ' ἐρατή σε.

A. P. vii. 629. 3, 4. [Antipater.]

νηλέες, οἳ τὸν ἄριστον ἀπώλεσαν οὐδὲ ἐν αἴδου δόντες. τοιοῦτοι πολλάκι Κεκροπίδαι.

The true reading must be:

ἀπώλεσαν, οὐ δέον, "Λιδη | δόντες.

A. P. vii. 636. [Crinagoras.]

Ποιμὴν ὧ μάκαρ, εἴθε κατ' οὔρεος ἐπροβάτευον 1.1 ἢ πι μικρῆ βάψαι νήοχα πηδάλια 1.4 ἄλμη.

Editors interpret $\tilde{\eta}$, line 4,='rather than.' Emperius ingeniously read $\tilde{\eta}$ $\mu\epsilon$ $\pi\iota\kappa\rho\hat{\eta}$. It is enough to read: $\epsilon\hat{v}\tau'\tilde{\epsilon}\mu'$ $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\rho\eta=$ 'when I was obliged.'

From the Anthologia Lyrica.

Solon 23. Plutarch. Amat 5.

έσθ' ηβης έρατοισιν έπ' ἄνθεσι παιδοφιλήση μηρών ίμείρων και γλυκερού στόματος.

There is no construction at all in these two lines. Plutarch has just said he must use Solon's authority, who is an expert, τοῦ ἐρωτικοῦ ἀνδρός, continuing as above.

All difficulties disappear if we read $\delta s \theta$, instead of $\epsilon \sigma \theta$. The use of $\tau \epsilon$ and the subjunctive is idiomatic,='anyone

who.'

A. P. vii. 640. 3, 4. | Antipater. |

νηα γαρ απλοίη πεπεδημένου έφθασε ναύταις ληιστέων ταχινή δίκροτος έσσυμένη.

We should read ἔφθασεν ἰθός, construing the last word with ἐσσυμένη as in Pl. xvi. 584= 'a swift pirate ship, darting towards it, caught the vessel.'

A. P. vii. 651. [Euphorion.]

οὐχο τρηχὺς σελι θαῖος ἐπ' ὀστέα κεῖνα καλύπτει οὐδ' ἡ κυάνεον γράμμα λαβοῦσα πέτρη· . . . ἀντὶ δ' ἐγὼ ξενίης Πολυμήδεος ἡ κεινὴ χθὼν 4 ἀγκώθην Δρυόπων διψάσιν ἐν βοτάναις.

In line 5 Holympheos, should be construed with $\kappa\epsilon\omega\eta'=$ 'devoid of Polymedes,' as vii. 652. 7 ($\kappa\epsilon\omega$ τ $\epsilon\kappa\omega$ τ) proves. Editor read $T_{P\eta\chi}$ i, in line 1, coining $\lambda\iota\theta$ aîos or $\lambda\iota\theta\iota$ aios. The real difficulty is the presence of the two letters $\chi\sigma$. Should we not read: $\sigma^2\kappa$ $\sigma^2\kappa$ $\sigma^2\kappa$ $\sigma^2\kappa$ $\sigma^2\kappa$ $\sigma^2\kappa$ $\sigma^2\kappa$ it is not true that the Elatean land covers thy bones.' For 'E $\lambda\sigma\tau$ aιίs, cf. H $\lambda\sigma\tau$ aιίs in the famous passage.—Thuc. 3. 58.

A. P. vii. 652. 7, 8. [Leonidas.]

Τιμάρης δὲ κενὸν τέκνου κεκλαυμένον ἀθρῶν τύμβον δακρύει παΐδα Τελευταγόρην.

Read in line 7, κεκολαμμένον (cf. vii. 651. 2 above).

A. P. vii. 665. 3, 4. [Leonidas.]

ώλεσε καὶ Πρόμαχον πνοιὴ μία, κῦμα δὲ ναύτας ἀθρόον ἐς κοίλην ἐστυφέλιξεν ἄλα.

Read κῦμα δ' ἄμ' αὐτŷ. αὐτά was the original.

A. P. vii. 707. 7-10. [Dioscorides. Sositheus' reform of tragedy.]

καὶ πάλιν εἰσώρμησα τὸν ἄρσενα Δωρίδι Μούση ρυθμόν, πρός τ' αὐδὴν ἐλκόμενος μεγάλην ἐπτά δέ μοι ἐρσων τύπος οὐ χερὶ καινοτομηθεὶς τῆ φιλοκινδύνω φροντίδι Σωσιθέου.

μωι is a later correction, probably from βοι. What is the revolution Sositheus effected? He restored something instead of mimic action, as is clear from $\chi \epsilon \rho i$. For $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \sigma \omega \nu$ we need a word to explain $\alpha \dot{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\eta} \nu$: such is clearly $\dot{\rho} \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$. For $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ we can easily read $\dot{\epsilon} \ddot{\iota} \pi \epsilon \tau$, the cross-bar of π having been prolonged too far to the left, so as to include ι . The original reading $\beta \omega$ enables us to read $\ddot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota$. The original verse then was:

εἴπετ' ἔπει ῥήσεων τύπος, οὐ χερὶ, καινοτομηθείς, κ.τ.λ.

'The cast of the speeches followed the theme of the tragedy, not the mimic's hand, i.e. the tragedy reintroduced speeches which stuck to the argument of the plays, while mimicry completely disappeared, In fact, Sositheus restored Sophocles' art to the stage.

A. P. vii. 712. 5-8. [Erinna. Baucis dying on her bridal day.]

ώς τὰν παιδ' 'Υμέναιος ἐφ αις ἤδετο πεύκαις τὰν δ' ἐπὶ καδεστὰς ἔφλεγε πυρκαϊᾶς.

ώs depends on a preceding ἀγγελέοντι. The kinsman of the girl lit her pyre from her marriage torches. We should read then:

ως τὰν παιδ', 'Υμέναιος ἐφ αις ἀνδαίετο πεύκαις, τᾶνδ' ἄπο καδεστὰς ἔφλεγε πυρκαϊᾶ.

'That her kinsman burnt her body on the pyre by the very torches with which Hymenaeus had been kindled.'

A. P. vii. 718. [Nossis.]

^{*}Ω ξειν', εἴ τύ γε πλεῖς ποτὶ καλλίχορον Μυτιλήνην τὰν Σαπφοῦς χαρίτων ἄνθος ἐναυσόμενος εἰπεῖν ὡς Μούσαισι φίλα τῆναι τε λόκρισσα

τίκτειν ἴσαις δ' ὅτι μοι τοὔνομαι Νόσσις· ἴθι.

In line 2 a copy has ἐνοψόμενος. Should we not read ἀμειψόμενος (= 'going to')? In the next lines we should perhaps read:

εἰπεῖν, ὡς Μούσαισι φίλα τ' ἢν ễ τε Λόκρισσα τίκτον ἴσ' αἷς. τόδ' ἐμοὶ τοὖνομα· Νοσσις· ἴθι. 'Say that I was dear to the Muses, and, as far as a Locrian woman could, I produced things equal to hers. My name is Nossis.'

A. P. vii. 719. | Leonidas of Tarentum. |

Τελλήνος ὅδε τύμβος: ἔχω δ' ὑποβωλέω πρέσβυν τῆνον τὸν πρᾶτον γνόντα γελοιομελεῖν.

Read: $\tilde{\epsilon}_{X}$ ω $\tilde{\phi}'$ ἀποφώλια πρέσ $\beta vv =$ who first made silly ridiculous songs.

A. P. vii. 721. | Chaeremon. |

Τοις ἄργει παρθεν ίσαι χέρες ἴσα δὲ τεύχη συμβάλομεν: Θυρέαι δ' ἦσαν ἄεθλα δορός.

Pl. read $\tau o i s$ $d \rho \gamma \epsilon i o s$ i $\sigma a i$, marking a lacuna between the last two words. Most editors emend to some form of $\Sigma \pi d \rho \tau \eta$. All that is necessary is to emphasise the equality of numbers on both sides. This can be done by reading: Tois "Apyei $\pi a \rho \epsilon \eta \nu$ i $\sigma a \iota \chi \epsilon \rho \epsilon s =$ 'Argos had an equal number: we contributed an equal company.' The sentences are laconic in point and brevity. For the singular verb with a plural subject, see L. and S. $\epsilon l \mu i$, A. 5.

A. P. vii. 724. 1, 2. [Anyte.]

ηβα μέν σε πρόαρχε έσαν παίδων ἄτε ματρὸς Φειδία ἐν δνοφερῷ πένθει ἔθου φθίμενος.

The chief difficulties here are εσαν and φειδία. Editors alter ματρός to πατρός. May we not read

ἢ βάλλεν σε, Πρόαρχε, ὅσαν, παῖ· δῶμα τὸ ματρὸς παιδία δ' ἐν δνοφερῷ πένθει ἔθου φθίμενος.

'Alack, what a blow was here, Proarchus my son! Thou hast plunged thy mother's house and its little ones in grief by thy death.' $\delta \sigma ar$ agrees with $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \dot{\eta} v$, which is often omitted with verbs of striking. $\tau \iota s$ is idiomatically omitted.

A. P. vii. 733. [Diotimus.]

αἰνόμινοι δύο γρῆες ὁμήλικες ἡμεν, 'Αναξὰ καὶ Κληνώ, δίδυμοι παιδες 'Επικράτεος Κληνὰ μὲν χαρίτων ἱερή, Δήμητρι δ' 'Αναξὰ ἐν ζωῆ προπολεῦσ' - ἐννέα δ' ἡελίων

5

ογδωκονταέτεις ἐπιλείπομεν ἐς τόδ' ἰκέσθαι τῆς μοίρης· ἐτέων δ' οὐ φθόνος ἰσοσίη. καὶ πόσιας καὶ τέκνα φιλήσαμεν· αἱ δὲ παλαιαὶ πρῶθ' ἡμεῖς 'Λίδην πρηῢν ἀνιάμεθα.

In line 6 we should certainly read $i\sigma\tau o\rho i\eta$. 'We do not mind if our age is found out.' As a lady's years are her own closest secret, the piece should begin with those from whom these two were most anxious to conceal their ages—men. Read then: $\delta a \iota \mu \delta \nu \iota \iota \iota \iota = 'good sirs.'$ The word frequently begins an epigram.

In the last line the idea is clearly that 'in death they were not divided': we should read $\tilde{a}\mu$ ' $i\tilde{\epsilon}\mu\epsilon\theta a$. What, however, is $\pi\rho\hat{\omega}\theta$ ' $\hat{\eta}\mu\epsilon\hat{\imath}$ s? Should we not read: $\pi\sigma\rho\theta\mu\hat{\eta}$ ' $\epsilon\hat{\imath}$ s 'A $i\delta\eta\nu$ =' to Death the Ferryman'?

A. P. vii. 734. 1, 2. [A dialogue.]

η ξεν δ λατι τυτει δεστι τί γάρ νέκυς ὧ ποτι παίδων τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἡ δ' ην 'Αρχιγέρων δ γέρων.

The last two lines are evidently the words of the second speaker. How should we break up the first two? The author probably wrote:

- (a) η ξένε, μή τυ— (β) τί δ' ἔστι; (a) τί γάρ; νέκυς, φ ποτὶ παίδων τῶν ἀγαθῶν | ὧδ' ἦν, 'Αρχιγέρων ὁ γέρων.
- '(a) Stranger, please don't (b) Why, what's the matter?

 (a) Matter? he is dead, who had all those good things from his lads, old Archigeron.'

The construction of $\partial \gamma a \theta \hat{\omega} r$ is the main difficulty. It is fixed quite clearly by $\partial \lambda \beta r a$ in line 3: the sons were wealthy. $\partial \gamma a \theta \hat{\omega} r$ then must be neuter plural, and it is in dependence on $\delta \delta \epsilon =$ this much of blessings.

In line 1 Aart can be easily explained as a corruption of $\mu\dot{\eta}$.

A. P. vii. 745. 1, 2. [Antipater.]

"Ιβυκε, ληισταί σε κατέκτανον έκ ποτε νήσου βάντ' ές έρημαίην ἄστιβον ηιόνα.

This is perhaps the sole authority for the death of Ibycus. He was killed in a locality from which cranes could fly to Corinth: this fact makes it clear that the 'desolate strand' must have been somewhere on the coast of Greece, not on an island. Near Corinth is a very lofty range of mountains called Onaius. The poet then wrote $\tilde{\epsilon}\kappa \pi \sigma \tau$ ' $Orai \omega \nu$. The corruption is due simply to the interchange of ι and σ .

A. P. vii. 748. 7, 8. [Antipater of Sidon.]

δαμος ἀεὶ μακαριστὸς ὃσ ἄστεσιν ἡρακλείης οὐρανίων εὐρυάλων.

A later hand filled up the lacuna, adding νεφέων τεῦξεν ἐπ' at the same time altering to ἡρακλῆος. Read perhaps:

δς ἔστασεν Ἡρακλείας οὐρανίαν λοφιῶν τύρσιν ἐπ' εὐρυάλων.

(For $\lambda o \phi \iota \acute{a}$, cf. ix. 249, for $\tau \acute{v} \rho \sigma \iota s$, A. Pl. 279)='who set up the lofty tower on the broad hill-crests of Heracleia.'

A. P. ix. 26. 9-10. [Antipater of Thessalonica.]

ἐννέα μὲν Μούσας μέγας Οὐρανός, ἐννέα δ' αὐτὰς Γαῖα τέκεν, θνατοῖς ἄφθιτον εὐφροσύναν.

αὐτὰς vaguely refers to the nine poetesses named in the first eight lines. ταύτας is impossible, as δέ is needed to balance μέν. We should read: ἄντην= to rival them.'

A. P. ix. 32. 3 ff. [A ship swept from her moorings.]

τὸ δ' ἄγριον ἐπλήμυρεν χεῦμα, καὶ ἐκ σταθερῶν ἤρπασεν ἠιόνων ὁλκάδα τὰν δειλείαν ἀεὶ κλόνος.

Pl. reads τη δειλαίος. ix. 41. 3 is a close parallel, ἐπὶ κλόνον ηλασε. As δειλαίος may have only two terminations, we can read (cf. vi. 9. 3) τὰν δειλαίον ἀνὰ κλόνον.

A. P. ix. 39.4. | Musicius. The Muses to Aphrodite.]

ήμεν δ' οὐ πέταται τοῦτο τὸ παιδάριον.

A simple correction would be οὐχ ἕπεται.

A. P. ix. 46. 3, 4. | Antipater of Macedon. |

τίκτε γὰρ εὐθὺς ἄελπτα μετ' οὐ πολύ.

 $\epsilon i\theta is$ should be construed with $\delta \epsilon \lambda \pi \tau a =$ quite unexpectedly.

A. P. ix. 58. 5-8. [Antipater. The nine wonders.]

άλλ' ὅτ' ἐσείδον

`Αρτέμιδος νεφέων ἄχρι θέοντα δόμον κείνα μὲν ἠμαύρωτο δὲ κὰ ἦν ἴδε νόσφιν 'Ολύμπου "Αλιος οὐδέν πω τοίον ἐπηυγάσατο.

The author wrote σοκήματα='they perished like dreams,' and νόσφι δ'.

A. P. ix. 64. 3, 4. [Asclepiades or Archias. Hesiod and the Muses.]

καὶ σοὶ καλλιπέτηλον ἐρυσσάμεναι περι πασαι ἄρεξαν δάφνας ἱερὸν ἀκρεμόνα.

έρνσσάμεναι is meaningless. Should we not read: έρεψέμεναι='to crown thee'? The word has excellent authority. The corruption is easily explained by either similarity of pronunciation (e.g. Psapho, Sappho), or by the common substitution of v for π .

A. P. ix. 101. 3-5. [Alpheus of Mitylene.]

οἴην καὶ σέ, τάλαινα, παρερχόμενός γε Μυκήνην ἔγνων αἰπολίου παντὸς ἐρημοτέρην αἰπολικὸν μήνυμα.

αἰπολίου has been corrupted by αἰπύτεραι in line 2 and αἰπολικόν in line 5. What is the type of desolation? The sands of the sea shore suggest themselves most readily (cf. ix. 284. 4). The true reading then will be αἰγιαλοῦ, π being written for γι.

A. P. ix. 134. 7. [Hope and Fortune.]

παίζοιτε ἴετε θέλοιτε ὅσους ἐμεῦ ὕστερον ὄντας.

Pl. reads $\pi \alpha i \zeta o \iota \tau \epsilon$ $\sigma \tau \rho o \phi \epsilon o \iota \tau \epsilon$. How has this variant arisen? Some word expressing torture must underlie $\theta \epsilon \lambda o \iota \tau \epsilon$. Such a word is $\theta \lambda \hat{\alpha} \tau \epsilon$, which exactly $= \sigma \tau \rho o \phi \epsilon o \iota \tau \epsilon$. The second word is $\tilde{\iota} \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ (=slings and arrows of outrageous fortune) without an accusative, as often (cf. L. and S. I. 3. c.). As $\pi \alpha i \zeta \omega$ rarely takes an accusative (L. and S. II. 2) $\tilde{\omega} \sigma o \iota \tau s$ is construed with $\theta \lambda \hat{\alpha} \tau \epsilon$ alone. Read:

παίζοιθ', ἵετε, θλᾶτε ὄσους κ.τ.λ.

A. P. ix. 135. 2. [An appendix to the preceding.]

έστι γὰρ ἀδρανέη. τὸ δ΄ ἐπὶ πλέον οὐδε πε λουσα.

τύχη is the subject. If πέλουσα cannot stand, we should read ἐπέχουσα, used by Dem. of τύχη (L. and S. vi. 2) = 'predominate.'

A. P. ix. 139. 6. [Claudian. An old profligate dancing.]

της μεν ύποκλέπτων πολιην τρίχα, γείτονα μοίρης ηλεμάτοις ἀκτίσι χαράσσεται ὅμματος αὐγή΄ ψευδόμενον δ΄ ἐρύθημα κατέγραφεν ἄχροος αἰδώς, ἀγλαΐη στέψασα νόθη κεκαλυμμένα μηλα.

The subject of the first two lines is $\mu \alpha \chi \lambda \dot{\alpha}s$; in line 3 $\mu \acute{\epsilon}\nu$ has no $\delta \acute{\epsilon}$ to balance it, while $\dot{\nu}\pi \sigma \kappa \lambda \acute{\epsilon}\pi \tau \sigma \nu$ is nom. pendens. Accordingly, editors think something has dropped out before line 3, or read $\kappa \tau \epsilon \acute{\epsilon}s$; the latter, however, still leaves the nom. pendens, and it is not easy to see how a comb can conceal grey hairs.

The author is probably copying Lucian, who alone uses $\kappa a \tau a \gamma \rho a \phi \omega$ exactly as here = 'to paint.' A wig would be the only thing that can cover the hair properly. We may read then $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\phi \epsilon \nu a \kappa \eta$, one of Lucian's words ($\mu = \phi$, $\pi = \kappa$, as often). $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \nu$ then becomes $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \epsilon \nu$.

In the last two lines it is hard to see how allows can be the subject of $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \gamma \rho a \phi \epsilon r$ and agree with $\sigma \tau \epsilon \psi a \sigma a$. The subject must be the woman herself, who is $\alpha \chi \rho \rho a \rho s$, of a bad complexion. For allows we should read ellows (cf. L. and S. ellows, 2, ellow ellows), construed either with $\epsilon \rho \delta \theta \eta \mu a = \epsilon r$ false blush on her face, or, more idiomatically, with $\alpha \chi \rho \rho a \rho s$ is the has no colour on her face. Cf. $\alpha \chi a \lambda \kappa \rho s \delta \sigma \tau \delta \delta \sigma \nu s$.

A. P. ix. 142. 2. [To Pan in a grotto.]

δς πέτρινον τόνδε κέκευθε δόμον.

This line, like the others, should contain a reference to water. We should read $\delta\chi\epsilon\tau\epsilon\nu\sigma\epsilon=$ made a channel of water into it.

A. P. ix 143. 3, 4. [Antipater. To a statue of Aphrodite.]

πόντω γὰρ ἐπὶ πλατὺ δειμαίνοντι χαίρω, καὶ ναύταις εἰς ἐμὲ σωζομένοις.

As the author uses the phrase πλατὺ πόντου in ix. 407. 1, we should read πόντου here also, the construction being: χαίρω ἐπὶ δειμαίνουτι πλατὺ πόντου καὶ ναύταις='I rejoice in him that fears the broad sea and in the sailors who came safely to me.'

Editors who retain the text assume that $\delta \epsilon \iota \mu \alpha i \nu o \nu \tau \iota$ is intransitive, but cannot construe $\epsilon \pi \iota \pi \lambda \alpha \tau \iota$.

A. P. ix. 144. 3, 4. [Anyte. To a statue of Aphrodite.]

όφρα φίλον ναύτησι τελή πλόον ἀμφὶ δὲ πόντος δειμαίνει, λιπαρὸν δερκόμενος ξόανον.

Aphrodite is the subj. of $\tau \epsilon \lambda_{\hat{\mu}}$, this because the sea, looking at her statue lulls its terrors $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mu' \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \hat{\imath}$.

A. P. ix. 145. [Diogenes meeting Croesus in Hades.]

εἶπεν' '' Εμοὶ καὶ νῦν πλείω τόπος ὅσσα γὰρ εἶχον

πάντα φέρω σὺν ἐμοί Κροῖσε, σὺ δ' οὐδὲν ἔχεις."

Pl. read $\pi\lambda\epsilon i\omega\nu$ ='I have now more ample place.' But why should Diogenes give such a strange reason? How

could be have more place unless he were stouter? Some reference is necessary to what he claimed to have even when he was alive, proving the claim when he was dead; otherwise καὶ τêν is pointless. He claimed that the wise possessed more than a king, as is indicated in line I by σοφὸν γῆρας. We should then read

έμοι καὶ νῦν πλείω σοφὸς ὅσσα γὰρ εἶχον κ.τ.λ. Ένεη now I have more: all I had as a wise man I bring with me. $\tau = \sigma$, as often.

A. P. ix. 149. 1. [Antipater.]

' Αριστείδης ὁ βοκέρριος.

In ix. 255 he is simply πενεχρός. Should we not read επεχώριος = 'born and bred in the country'?

A. P. ix. 159. 3, 4. [A man throwing a stone at a skull.]

καὶ λίθον ἡκεν

κωφον μεν δοκέων άλλα πνέοντα δίκης.

We should read $\partial \omega \kappa \epsilon \epsilon i \nu = \epsilon$ in appearance, to keep the balance between $\kappa \omega \phi \dot{\omega} \nu$ and $\pi \nu \dot{\omega} \nu \tau a$. The stone rebounded and blinded the man. The piece ends (lines 7-8):

καὶ πάλιν εἰς ἀΐδην κωλάζετο· τὴν ἰδίην δὲ - ἔκλαυσεν χειρων εὔστοχον ἀφροσύνην.

Pl. emended to ἐκολόζετο, which editors imagine to mean the was punished here, and will be punished in Hades.' The key to the correct interpretation is perhaps in εὖστοχον ἀφροσύνην. We may therefore emend to:

καὶ βάλ' ἄνους 'Λίδην κοὐχ ἄζετο'

'The irreverent fool hit Hades, but rued his fool's aim.'

A. P. ix. 169. 5, 6. | Palladas. The uselessness of teaching,

άλλ' ἴν' ἀφορπάζη Βρισηίδα πρὶν 'Αγαμέμνων τὴν Ἑλένην δ' ὁ Πάρις πτωχὸς ἐγὼ γενόμην.

Palladas' scansion is certainly weak, but if he wrote $\pi\rho i\nu$, he deserved to be a poverty-stricken lecturer. He probably wrote $B\rho\iota\sigma\eta i\delta^{\dagger}$ $\dot{\alpha}\beta\rho\dot{\eta}\nu$.

A. P. ix. 174. 7, 8. [Palladas. A Schoolmaster's vexations.]

κλέπτει δ' έξ ὀλίγων μισθὸν καὶ χαλκὸν ἀμείβει καὶ μόλιβον μίσγει καὶ τὸ ἔθος δέχεται.

The τροφός is the subject. A slight change gives καὶ τοῦ ἔθους δ' ἔχεται='he clings to his old tricks.' For δέ trajected, ef. ix. 173. 3, καὶ μετὰ δ' Οὐλομένην (Palladas). ἔθος cannot mean 'tip.'

A. P. ix. 177. 1, 2. [A Phrygian at Ajax' tomb.]

Αἴαντος παρὰ τύμβον ἀταρβήτοιο παραστὰς Φρύξ ἐπέων κακῆς ἦρχεν ἐπεσβολίης.

If $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \omega \nu$ is retained, it must mean 'from Homer's epic'; better is $\delta \pi \epsilon \omega \nu =$ 'a Phrygian servant.' Is not κακίης the reading, balancing $\delta \tau a \rho \beta$. in line 1% = 'the taunt of cowardice.

A. P. ix. 181. [Palladas To Fortune.]

ἀνεστράφησαν, ώς ὁρῶ, τὰ πράγματα καὶ τὴν Τύχην νῦν δυστυχοῦσαν εἴδομεν.

The first line is barbarous. The true reading is suggested by line 3 of the next epigram on the same subject: τὰ σὰ ῥεύματα, cf. x. 62, and is:

αναστρέφουσαν ώς ρόφ τὰ πράγματα.

A. P. ix. 183. 3, 4. [Palladas. Fortune's temple a cook-shop.]

η πρὶν ναὸν ἔχουσα καπηλεύεις μετὰ γῆρας θερμοδότις μερόπων νῦν ἀναφαινομένη.

This is sheer nonsense. How can even Fortune keep a shop after old age? P. wrote $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{a}$ $\chi\rho\epsilon\dot{a}$.

A. P. ix. 184. [To the Lyric Poets of Greece.]

After calling Pindar a 'sacred mouthpiece of the Muses,' Bacchylides 'an eloquent Siren,' and Sappho 'the Aeolian Graces,' the MS. proceeds (line 3): $\gamma\rho\acute{a}\mu\mu\alpha$ τ '' $A\nu\alpha\kappa\rho\epsilon\acute{a}\nu\tau\sigma$ s, continuing with picturesque language about other poets. $\gamma\rho\acute{a}\mu\mu\alpha$ is certainly used elsewhere in the Anthology to denote 'poetry,' but is here very weak. We should read $\chi\rho\acute{\omega}\mu\alpha$ 'the rich tones of Anacreon.' Antipater uses the phrase $\mu\epsilon\lambda\psi\acute{o}\acute{o}s$ $\chi\rho\acute{\omega}\mu\alpha\tau\sigma$ s, A. Pl. 220. 5; $\chi\rho\acute{\omega}\mu\alpha$, like $\chi\rho\sigma\acute{a}$, is the technical term for tone variety.

A. P. ix. 187. 1, 2. [To Menander.]

Αὐταί σοι στομάτεσσιν ἀνηρείψαντο μέλισσαι ποικίλα Μουσάων ἄνθεα δρεψάμεναι.

ἀνηρείψαντο denotes 'violent seizure'; it is hard to construe στομάτεσσιν with it. An easy correction would be άνα ράψαντο='culled the flowers of the Muses on thy lips and linked them together.' L. and S. quote the verb with ἀοιδήν.

A. P. ix. 188. 5, 6. [On Plato.]

Σωκρατικῷ Σάμιον κεράσας μυκτῆρι φρόνημα κάλλιστον σεμνῆς σῆμα διχοστασίης.

Read σχήμα. In viii. 37. 4, P. has the same slip.

A. P. ix. 190. 1, 2. [On Erinna.]

Λέσβιον 'Πρίννας τόδε κηρίον ά δυ τοι μικρον ἀλλ' ὅλον ἐκ Μουσέων κιρνάμενον μέλιτι.

Pl. άδύ τι; Eustathius άδὺ τό. Some word is necessary to carry on the idea contained in κηρίον and driven home by μέλιτι. ἃ χύτο μικρόν='she shed little, but all poetic honey' should be read.

A. P. ix. 226. 1, 2. [Zonas.]

Λί δ' ἄγετε ξουθαὶ σιμβληίδες ἄκρα μέλισσαι φέρβεσθ', ἢ θυμέων ῥικνὰ περικνίδια,

ἄκρα has no construction, unless it is an adverb, with a harsh meaning, 'on the tops of something.' A noun is needed in apposition with five accusatives which follow in lines 2-4. We should read $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\gamma\alpha$ ='come and cull your pasturages.' L. and S. quote Theoc. 22. 42, φίλα $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\gamma\alpha$ μελίσσαις. Cf. v. 240. 2. v. 32 begins:

ποιείς πάντα, Μελισσα, φιλανθέος ἔργα μελίσσης.

A. P. ix. 227, 6, 7. [Bianor. An octopus drops on a hare.]

αὐτὰρ ὁ διοκηθεὶς κατακαίριος ἔμπεσε δειλῷ πτωκὶ παχυ σχοίνω κεῖτο γὰρ ὑπναλέος.

In vi. 291. 7, 8, we have $\epsilon \hat{v}$ διὰ $\pi \nu \kappa \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \mid \sigma \chi o i \nu \omega \nu$. We may read then:

πτωκὶ πυκνῷ σχοίνω κεῖτο γὰρ ὑπναλέος.

A. P. ix. 230. 3. | Honestus. The ascent of Parnassus. | οὕτως καὶ σοφίης πόνος ὄρθιος.

Read tovos='course'; cf. L. and S. III.

A. P. ix. 240. 5, 6. | Philippus. A goat saves a child from a ram.]

ᾶρ' ἀποήρης

'Πρακλέης βρεφέων ὅκτισεν ἡλικίην;

Editors imagine that Hera has something to do with Heracles' saving the child's life, because Hera tried to kill Heracles! The author wrote ἐπίουρος='guardian.'

A. P. ix. 251. [Evenus. A worm devours books.]

'Εχθίστη Μούσαις σελιδηφάγε. λωβήτειρα φωλάς, ἀεὶ σοφίης κλέμματα φερβομένη, τίπτε κελαινόχρως ἱεραῖς ψήφοισι λοχάζη σίλφη, τὴν φθονερὴν εἰκόνα πλαττομένη; φεῦγ' ἀπὸ Μουσάων, ἴθι τηλόσε, μηδ' ὅσον ὄψει βάσκανον ἐν ψήφο δόξαν ἐπεισαγάγη.

Editors interpret ψήφως in line 3 as λόγοι, and in line 6 of 'a vote.' The word must mean the same in both lines. Moiσαις in line 1 and Moισαίων in line 5 prove it means 'poetry,' with iepais='inspired.' What poets name resembles ψήψως! Sappho's. We can read then in line 3:

ίερας Σαπφούς σὺ λοχάζη,

an effective chia mu, 'you, a black thing, stain Sappho m pired!' and in line 6, $2a\pi\phi oi=$ 'do not intrude your envious judgment in the case of Sappho.'

A. P. ix. 256. | Antiphanes. |

ημισύ μευ ζώειν έδόκουν έτι, κείνο δ' έφυσεν εν μόνον αἰπυτάτου μῆλον ἐπ' ἀκρεμόνος.

ή δὲ κύων δένδρων καρποφθόρος, ή πτιλόνωτος κάμπη καὶ τὸ μόνον βάσκανος ἐξέφαγεν.

ό Φθόνος εἰς πολὺν ὄχλον ἀπέβλεπεν, ὃς δὲ τὰ μικρὰ

πορθεί καὶ τούτους γηρὰν ἀει μ ελεγεν.

Some reference to another kind of curse is essential. This cen be obtained by reading:

καὶ τούτου χηρὸν ἄγη μ' ἔλαχεν.

'Envy attacks great wealth: but whoever it is that ravages little store, his curse too it is that has widowed me.' A. P. ix. 84. 6 reads $\chi\eta\rho\delta$ s without a genitive; $\mathring{a}\gamma\eta$ of a mysterious malice is found with $\phi\theta\delta$ ros in Hdt. vi. 61. $\lambda a\gamma\chi\acute{a}\nu\omega$ is used of the hard lot of destiny, e.g. Theoc. iv. 40, and often.

A. P. ix. 270. 1. 2. [Marcus Argentarius.]

Κωμάζω χρύσειον ες έσπεριον χορον ἄστρων Λεύσσων, οὐδ' ἄλλων λάξ εβαρυναορος.

λὰξ ἐβάρυν ἀόρους was Schäfer's reading. Apart from the absurdity of imagining that we can 'make heavy with both heels the sleeps of others,' it is certain that ἄορος cannot be equivalent to ἄωρος. All that is necessary is to read ἐβάρυνα ὅρους='winepresses.' The author means to say that he treads his own winepress of revelry, using a poetic word to express a part for the whole. λάξ refers to the λακτιστής (cf. A. P. ix. 403); ὅρος is the orbis olcarius. ἄορος can accordingly be expelled with ignominy from any lexicon it sullies.

A. P. ix. 272. [Bianor. An inventive bird.]

καρφαλέος δίψει Φοίβου λάτρις εὖτε γυναικὸς εἶδεν ὑπὲρ τύμβου κρωσσίον ὀμβροδόκον, κλάγζεν ὑπὲρ χείλους, ἀλλ' οὐ γένυς ἤπτετο βύσσου.

Φοίβε, σὺ δ΄ εἰς τέχνην ὅρνιν ἐκαιρομάνεις. χερμάδα δὲ ψαλμῶν σφαίρον ποτὸν ἄρπαγι χείλει ἔφθανε μαιμάσσων λαοτίνακτον ὕδωρ.

As $\tilde{r}\delta\omega\rho$ cannot be construed with $\mu\alpha\iota\mu\dot{\alpha}\sigma\sigma\omega\nu$, we must construe it with $\epsilon\dot{\phi}\theta\alpha\nu\epsilon$, and $\pi\sigma\tau\dot{\sigma}\nu$ with some verb concealed in the corrupt words $\psi\alpha\lambda\mu\dot{\omega}\nu$ or $\sigma\dot{\phi}\alpha\dot{\epsilon}\rho\sigma\nu$. An easy correction of the latter is $\sigma\pi\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\rho\omega\nu$, 'scattering'; cf. Eur. Andr. 167, $\sigma\pi\epsilon\dot{\epsilon}\rho\omega\nu$ $\delta\rho\dot{\sigma}\sigma\nu$, an exact parallel.

We are left with χερμάδα δὲ ψαλμῶν. χερμάδι σπείρων ποτόν, 'scattering water with a stone,' is convincing. An easy change produces ψακάδων. The true reading then is:

χερμάδι δὲ ψακάδων σπείρων ποτὸν, ἄρπαγι χείλει κ.τ.λ.

'And scattering the liquid drops with a stone, he, with greedy beak, eagerly anticipated the water the stone thus cast up.' The editors' suggestions are an inexhaustible source of joy.

A. P. ix. 284. 3, 4. [Crinagoras. Corinth fallen.] αὐτίκα καὶ γὰ σῆ χθαμαλωτέρη εἴθε, Κόρινθε, κεῖσθαι καὶ Λιβυκῆς ψάμμου ἐρημοτέρη.

Editors hail Herker's $\gamma a i \eta s$ with joy, although 'lie lower than the earth' is frigidity itself. The reference to the sands of Libya requires some similar reference to a well-known plain in the previous line. Such a plain almost bordered on Corinthian territory—the plain of Argos. C. wrote $\kappa a \rho \gamma \epsilon i \eta s$ (= $\kappa a i$ 'A $\rho \gamma \epsilon i \eta s$).

A. P. ix. 290. 4, 5. [Philippus. A shipwreck.]

ίστὸς δὲ πᾶς ὅλισθεν εἰς ἄλα πτύσας φορτὶς δὲ σῦρεν ἀΐδαν πλανώμενον.

Pl. emended to $\partial \sigma' \rho \epsilon \tau' \partial \sigma' \tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\alpha} \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \omega r$ what he did not understand. As there is only one human being mentioned in the epigram we may be sure that $\pi \lambda \alpha r \tilde{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma r$ is sound, the corrupt word being $\tilde{\alpha} \tilde{t} \tilde{\delta} \alpha r$, which editors consider genuine. A slight change yields $\sigma \tilde{\nu} \rho \epsilon r \eta \tilde{\tau} \tilde{\nu} \tilde{\alpha} = \text{`swept him away, a powerless wanderer,' or, 'an unskilled wanderer.' [See L. and S., <math>\nu \tilde{\eta} \epsilon$ s, where $\nu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \lambda \hat{\iota} \eta s$ is quoted, an exact parallel.]

A. P. ix. 291. 5, 6. [Crinagoras.]

ούτως καὶ ἱεραὶ Ζηνὸς δρύες ἔμπεδα ῥίζαις ἑστᾶσιν, φύλλων δ' αὖα χέουσ' ἄνεμοι.

Pl. reads οὕτω καὶ ἱεραί. It is not difficult to extract the true reading οὕτως αἱ σκιεραί.

A. P. ix. 300. 5, 6. [Adaius. A bull's horn becomes a drinking-vessel.]

συλήσας κεφαλής δε διπλούν κέρας αιεν εκείνω ζωροποτών εχθρού κόμπον μη θανάτου.

A copy reads κόμπον έχει. May we not read ἄνει (ἄνω) = 'he puts into fact his boast?' [Cf. xi. 64, 5, ποτὸν ἤιομεν.]

A. P. ix, 301. 5, 6. [Secundus.]

άλλ' έτι καὶ πώλοισιν ἐρίζομεν· ἦρ' ἔτι λοιπὸν νῦν μοι τὴν σκολιὴν αὐχένι γαῖαν ἀροῦν.

čτι repeated is harsh. Read η ρὰ τὸ λοιπόν (L. and S. λοιπόν, 2).

A. P. ix. 305. 1, 2. [Antipater.]

"Υδατος ἀκρήτου κεκορημένω ἄγχι παραστὰς χθιζὸν ἐμοὶ λεχέων Βάκχος ἔλεξε τάδε·

Pl. has a remarkable variant $\kappa o \tau \acute{\epsilon} \omega r$. This could only have arisen as a mistake for $\kappa o \iota \tau \acute{\omega} r$ ($\kappa o \acute{\iota} \tau \eta$). $\lambda \epsilon \chi \acute{\epsilon} \omega r$ may be a gloss on either of these words. The same thing has happened in ix. 394. 2, where P. reads $\lambda \acute{\epsilon} \pi \eta$ for $\acute{\epsilon} \acute{\delta} \acute{\epsilon} \iota \eta$ of Pl. which alone will suit the metre.

A. P. ix. 309. 3. 4. [Antipater. A beldame dies of thunder-fright.]

ήν ἄρα μέσσηι γήρως καὶ θανάτου λειπομένη πρόφασις.

Editors persuade themselves that these words will construe. They join $\mu\acute{e}\sigma g \gamma \acute{\eta}\rho \omega_s \kappa \alpha i \theta a \nu \acute{a}\tau \sigma v$, leaving $\pi \rho\acute{o}\phi a \sigma \iota s$ hanging in the air. The true reading is $\mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \mathring{\eta}$, the construction being $\mathring{\eta}v$ $\mathring{a}\rho a$ $\pi \rho\acute{o}\phi a \sigma \iota s$ $\kappa \alpha i$ $\theta a v \mathring{a}\tau \tau \sigma v$ $\kappa \iota \iota \tau \sigma \rho\acute{e}\tau \gamma$ $\gamma \acute{\eta}\rho \omega_s = \acute{e}siek$ though she was with age, she actually needed some (other) excuse for dying.'

A. P. ix. 312. 1-4. [Zonas.]

⁵ Ωνερ. τῶν βαλόνων τὰν ματέρα φείδεο κόπτειν, φείδεο, γηραλέαν δ' ἐκκεράιζε πίτυν ἢ πεύκαν ἢ τάνδε πολυστέλεχον παλίουρον ἢ πρινον ἢ τὰν αὐαλέαν κόμαρον.

We should read κρανέαν or κρανίαν in line 4.

A. P. ix. 315. 3, 4. [Nicias.]

μνᾶσαι δὲ κράναν καὶ ἀπόπροθι, ἃν ἐπὶ Γίλλφ Σῖμος ἀποφθιμένφ παιδί παριδρύεται.

Instances exist of a short v in compounds of $i\delta\rho\dot{v}\omega$. The difficulty here is $\pi a\rho a$. Query $\pi a\rho\eta\rho\tau\dot{\epsilon}\epsilon\tau o$?

A. P. ix. 316. 5, 6. [Leonidas. Hermes and Heracles.]

ἄμφω μὲν θνατοῖς εὐάκοοι ἀλλὰ ποθ' αύτούς αἰ τῶμαι παραθὴς ἀχράδας, ἐγκέκαφεν.

In the sixth line we should read at $\tau i\gamma'$ $\dot{\epsilon}\mu o \tau \pi a \rho a \theta \hat{\eta} s$ $\dot{a}\chi \rho \dot{a}\delta a s = 'if$ you give me figs, he gulps them down.' Pl. has $a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\phi} \mu o \tau \pi a \rho a \theta \epsilon i s$.

A. P. ix. 324. 4. [Mnasalcas.]

ά δ' ἀγρία Μοῦσ' ἐν ὄρει μεν εται.

i.e. μέλεται. Cf. xi. 41. 5, κῶμοί τε μέλονται.

A. P. ix. 325. [Mnasalcas.]

πρὶν μὲν άλικλύστου πέτρας ἐν βένθεσιν ημαν εὐαλδὲς πόντου φῦκος ἀπαινυμένα· νῦν δέ μοι ἱμερόεις κόλποις ἔντοσθεν ἰαύει λάτρις εὐστεφάνου Κύπριδος άβρὸς Ερως.

In the first line we should read $\eta \mu \mu a = 1$ was clinging to'; the genitive $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho a s$ has then a slightly easier construction. For $\kappa \delta \lambda \pi a s$ Salmasius read $\kappa \delta \lambda \pi a s$. Is it possible to read $\kappa a \mu \pi \hat{\eta} s = my$ spiral'?

A. P. ix. 329. 1. [Leonidas of Tarentum.]

Χύμφαι ἐφυδρίαδες, δώρου γένος, ἀρδεύοιτε τοῦτον Τιμοκλέους κᾶπον ἐπεσσύμεναι.

? χλωρόν. In ix. 669 it is used of water.

A. P. ix. 330. 7. [Nicarchus. Pan to a trespasser.] $\eta \nu \delta \hat{\epsilon} \pi i \nu \eta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \omega \nu \pi \alpha \theta \circ \epsilon$.

We should read $\pi o r \hat{\eta} s =$ if you are purposely suffering with desire, because you are a $\pi a \theta \iota \kappa \delta s$. Pan says he will $\pi e \gamma i \hat{\xi} a \iota$ any who annoy him: if anybody deliberately comes to him for that very purpose, he will not gratify him, but will break his head.

A. P. ix. 333. 1. [Mnasalcas.]

Στῶμεν άλιρράντοιο παρὰ χθαμαλὰν χθόνα πόντου· i.e. χθόν' 'Οποῦντος, 'of Opus.'

A. P. ix. 336. 3, 4. | Callimachus. A dedication. |

ανδρὶ ἱπείωι

θυμωθείς πεζον κάμε παρωκίσατο.

Pl. $dropi \ \delta i \ i\pi\pi\epsilon i$. The editors have exhausted their ingenuity in trying to restore this epigram. The true reading, indicated in $\kappa \partial \mu \dot{\epsilon}$, is $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \dot{\xi} \dot{\phi}$. Or $\mu \omega \theta \epsilon \dot{\epsilon}$ s is a corruption of the dedicator's name, e.g. $T \iota \mu \dot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon$ os.

A. P. ix 338. 5. 6. | Theocritus. Pan and Priapus near Daphnis. (Epigr. iii.).

άλλὰ τὸ φεῦγε,

φεύγε μεθείς ύπνου κώμα καταγόμενον.

Theor. MS. $v\sigma\tau\alpha\gamma\rho\dot{\phi}\rho$ erov. As line 1 ends with $\kappa\sigma\theta\alpha\pi\tau\dot{\phi}$ - ρ eros, it is unlikely that the true reading will be one word.

The scene is the mountains (1. 2, $\dot{\phi}v^{\dagger}\dot{\phi}\rho\eta$). May we read $\kappa\alpha\tau^{2}$ elaper $\dot{\eta}v=\dot{\tau}$ down to the meadow??

A. P. ix. 340. 1, 2; 5, 6. [Dioscorides.]

Λύλοὶ τοῦ φρυγὸς ἔργον Ὑάγνιδος, ἥνικα Μήτηρ ἱερὰ τὰν Κυβέλοις πρῶτ' ἀνέδειξε θεῶν . . .

εὶ δέκε λαινίτης ποιμὴν πάρος οὖπερ ἀείσας ἐγνώθη Φοίβου κεῖνον ἔδειξεν ἔρις.

The epigram claims the invention of the flute for Hyagnis, disputing the claim of Marsyas, as ix. 266 does. The last lines are difficult mainly because of κείνον. This word can only refer to Hyagnis, the Κελαινίτης ποιμήν.

The lines emphasise the excellence of Hyagnis' invention by showing what would have happened if Hyagnis had challenged Apollo and not Marsyas. This is quite clear from ix. 266. As Φοίβου ἔρις cannot possibly stand without some word to help out its meaning, we are bound to read:

Φοίβου κείνω έδειξ' αν έρις.

Line 5 is an indirect question, α meaning 'whether.' The essential word is $\pi \acute{a} \rho os =$ 'the first discoverer.' A slight change produces:

εὶ δὲ Κελαινίτης ποιμὴν πάρος εὖ κέρα ἄσας ἐγνώθη, Φοίβου κείνω ἔδειξ' αν ἔρις.

'Whether the Kelaenian shepherd has been rightly thought to have played first on the flute, a contest between him and Apollo would have proved,' i.e. Apollo would have been beaten. For κέρα see L. and S., κέραs III. 2, 'the Phrygian flute.' For ἐγνώθη ἄσαs, cf. Thue. i. 124:

εί γνωσθησόμεθα ξυνελθόντες.

A. P. ix. 366. 8. [Julian.]

εγγύην φεύγειν δε Θαλης Μιλήσιος ηύδα.

Unless this verse is written in the Byzantine style, in which quantity is fixed by accent, εγγέην should be an infinitive εγγυάειν. Cf. χνοάειν, A.P. xiv. 126. 4.

A. P. ix. 377. 9. [Palladas.]

The author complains of the hosts who serve up nothing but salt dishes, among which are:

ὄρβια καὶ μόσχεια.

Edd. all read ὅρνεα, obvious nonsense. The true reading is ὅρφια, a diminutive of ὅρφος. Cf. Ar. Vesp. 493.

A. P. ix. 384. 1, 2. [The Roman Months.]

έξ εμέθεν λυκάβαντος υπηελίοιο θύρετρα Αὐσονίοις ύψος δέρκεται ἸΙέλιος.

 $v\pi \eta \epsilon \lambda i \omega o$ is a corruption due to 'Hé $\lambda i \omega$, in the next line. As in every other couplet of the piece there is a reference to the fertility of the year, we should have a similar reference in this, the first line, to give the keynote to the remainder. We can confidently read $\epsilon \pi \eta \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \omega$.

In the next line the difficulty is τψος. Θέρετρα is the accusative after δέρκεται. The author must be describing some Roman custom peculiar to January. Such was the chalking up of the album: the author then wrote some form of γέψος, the first letter of which appears as the final letter of Arrovios, by a common corruption. We may read then: Arrovios γέψο='sees the gates of the year in chalk.'

A. P. ix. 395 E. [Palladas. Odysseus' folly.]

'Ως οὐδὲν γλύκιον ἢς πατρίδος εἶπεν 'Όμηρος ἐν γὰρ τοῖς Κίρκης ἔκχυτον οὐκ ἔφαγεν, οῦ μόνον εἰ καὶ καπνὸν ἀποθρώσκοντ' ἐνόησεν εἶπεν ἄν οἰμώζειν καὶ δέκα Πηνελόπαις.

For Opppers, read & popers, as the last couplet demands.

A. P. ix. 397. 5, 6. [Palladas. Demetrius slain by his mother.]

ην δε θάνης παλάμησιν εμαίς, μήτηρ μεν ἀκούσω δύσμορος, ἀλλ' εν εμή πατρίδι σωζομένη.

i.e. σωσ' ὄνομα='I have saved her reputation.'

A. P. ix. 398. [Julianus the Prefect.]

όλκὰς ὕδωρ προφυγοῦσα πολυφλοίσβοιο θαλάσσης ἐν χθονὸς ἀγκοίναις ἄλετο δημητρίας: ἱσταμένην γὰρ πυρσὸς ἐπέφλεγε· καιομένη δὲ δυσμενέων ὕδάτων συμμαχίην ἐκάλει.

Pl. reads $\mu\eta\tau\epsilon\rho iais$. The true reading, fixed by $\delta v\sigma\mu\epsilon r\epsilon\omega v$, is $\delta\eta\sigma\epsilon\rho as$.

A. P. ix. 399 [Julianus the Prefect.]

'Η έλιον νίκησε τεὸς νόος ἡδὺ φαείνων, αἰὲν ἀπαστράπτων βροτοφεγγέα πάνσοφον αἴγλην, ἡδυφαῆ, χαρίεσσαν ἀπαστράπτουσαν ἀδύτως. i.e. ὁδίταις = 'on the wayfarers,' exactly as the sun would do.

A. P. ix. 409. [Antiphanes.]

εἴ τινα μὴ τέρπει λωτοῦ χέλυς ἢ γλυκὺς ἦχος ψαλμῶν ἢ τριγέρων νεκτάρτος Βρόμιος ἢ πέυκας, κοῦροι, στέφανοι, μύρα, λιτὰ δὲ δειπνῶν λαθροπόδας τρώκτης χερσὶ τίθησι τόκους. οῦτος ἐμοὶ τέθνηκε· περι μνηστιν δὲ παρέρπω νεκρὸν ἐς ἀλλοτρίους φειδόμενον φάρυγας.

In line 3 the reading is $\pi\epsilon\hat{v}\kappa\alpha\iota$ (Ruhnken), $\gamma\nu\rho\sigma\hat{\iota}$ $\sigma\tau\hat{\epsilon}\phi\alpha\nu\sigma\iota$ = 'circular chaplets.'

In line 5 we might read πορ' ἄπληστον δὲ πορέρπω. ἄπληστον χρημάτων occurs in Hdt.='the greedy miser.'

A. P. ix. 413. 3, 4. | Antiphilus. The island Terebinthus. |

αί δ΄ ἀγχοῦ μεγάλαι τε καὶ εὐρέες, ἀλλ' ἐπὶ πουλὺ τρηχεῖαι. μεγέθει τοῦτο περισσότεραι.

ai agrees with $v\hat{\eta}\sigma\omega$. 'The others are big, but rough: in size only they are better,' is the sense required, i.e. $\mu\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\theta\epsilon\iota$ δ' δδε.

A. P. ix. 414. | Geminus. The Paliurus. |

ή παλίουρος έγὼ, τρηχὺ ξύλον, ἰὸς ἐνέρκει τίς μ' ἄφορον λέξει τῶν φορίμων φύλακα.

It is better perhaps to read $\hat{\eta}$ or $\hat{\epsilon}\nu$ erece $\hat{\tau}$ is μ' ...;=
will anybody call me unproductive, as far as I am in a hedge ℓ' τ is being interrogative (cf. ix. 709, \hat{a} τ is).

A. P. ix. 420. [Antipater.]

Μὴ κλαίων τὸν ερωτα δόκει, Τηλέμβροτε, πείσειν μηδ' ὀλίγω παύσειν ὕδατι πῦρ ἀπνεές χρυσὸς Ερωτος ἀεὶ παιώνιος, ἐσβέσθη δὲ οὐοὲ τότ ἐν πολλώ τικτόμενος πελάγει.

For inverse, some word is needed to parallel matories. Such a word would be diants, $t = ai \sin a \pi a$, used by Eupolis. The corruption may be explained as follows: r became π , as A. P. ix. 418. 6. $\pi i a i \mu o \nu a$ for Norvejtov A κ became N ϵ , an even commoner confusion.

A. P. ix. 423. 7, 8. [Bianor. Sardis destroyed.]

Βοῦρα καὶ εἰς Ἑλίκην κεκλωσμένα, αἱ δ' ἐνὶ χέρσφ Σάρδιες ἐμβυθίαις εἰς ἐν ἔκεισθε τέλος.

Έλίκη δὲ κεκλυσμένα is certain. $N=\Delta E$, a corruption easy in itself, but inevitable after εἰς. What underlies καὶ εἰς? χέρσφ shows there must be a contrast between Sardis on the mainland and Boura with Helice on the seaboard. If the missing word connoted water and informed us where the two towns were, it would perform a double duty. They were in the Peloponnese, the old name for which was $\Lambda \pi i \eta \gamma a i a$, a derivative of the root of aqua. We can then emend with absolute certainty to:

Βοῦρ' 'Απίης 'Ελίκη δὲ κεκλυσμένα.

'Boura, on the Peloponnesian seaboard, and Helice, were submerged.' κ and π are very commonly interchanged.

3

A. P. ix. 427. 3, 4. [Johannes. To Berytus.]

τύμβος όλη γενόμην· έτερον δ' ές ἀπενθέα χῶρον δουπήσει κώπην νηὸς ἐπερχομένης.

Pl. reads δουπήσει κόπη. A later hand corrected P. to δουπήσειs, which Jacobs (and L. and S.) accept, with κόπη. This is objectionable, because it substitutes an easier for a harder reading. We might read δουπήσαι κόπην, a wish (cf. η Αἴαντα λαχεῖι). As, however, the idea in the epigram is 'coming to port' (cf. στήσηs, line 1; λύσηs, line 2), it is more likely that we should read: $\lambda \omega \phi \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \pi \eta \nu =$ 'may thy oar rest in another place.'

A. P. ix. 430. 1-4. | Crinagoras. |

της όιος γενεη μεν 'Αγαρρική εντός ἀράξεο ὕδωρ πιλοφόροις πίνεται 'Αρμενίοις χαίται δ' οὐ μήλοις ἄτε ποῦ μαλακοῖς ἐπὶ μαλλοῖς ψεδυαὶ δ', ἀγροτέρων τρηχύτεραι χιμάρων.

In line 1 we might read: 'Aγαρρική, η̂ν δ' δθ' 'Aράξεω, $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.=$ ' The race is Agarrican, and it (the sheep) used to be where Araxes is drunk by the Armenians.' Cf. xvi. 61. 5, 6.

The next couplet is not deeply corrupt. It conceals some antithesis to $\psi \epsilon \delta \nu a i$. We may read:

χαίται δ' οὐ μήκους ὅ τ' ἔψυ μαλακοῖς ἐπὶ μαλλοῖς. Its hair is not of the length that grows on soft fleeces.'

A. P. ix. 433. 5, 6. | Theoritus. (Epigr. v.). |
 ἐγγὺς δὲ στάντες λασιαύχενος ἐγγύθεν ἄντρου
 Πῶνα τὸν αἰγιβάταν ὀρφανίσωμες ὕπνου.
 For ἐγγύς we may read ἀγχοῦ.

A. P. ix. 437. 5, 6. | Theoritus. (Epigr. iv.). |
 ἔρκος δ' εῦθ' ἱερὸν περιδέδρομεν.
 ἰνθα, because of the common confusion of c and r.

A. P. ix 439 5, 6. | Crinagoras. A skull on the road.] κείσο πέλας καταπρέμνοιο παρ` ἀτραπὸν ὄφρα ἀθρήσας τί πλέον φειδομένω βιότου.

Editor remove $\kappa a \tau a$ as a gloss on $\pi a \rho a$. If they are right, we may perhaps finish the line by reading: $\delta \phi \rho' d\pi o \rho \hat{y} \tau \iota s$. $d\pi o \rho \epsilon \hat{u}$ used as often in Plato and Aristotle of the hopelessne of settling a question. Haplography (of $d\pi \rho$) may account for the omission.

A. P. ix. 460. 3, 4. [Achilles on seeing his divine armour.]

οἶδὰ νῦν ὅτι Παλλὰς ἐφ' Ἔκτορι χεῖρα κορύσσει ἡμετέρην.

For νῦν read λίαν='I know full well.'

A. P. ix. 463. [Helenus on seeing Achilles in arms.]

Πηλείδην κοτέουσα πάλιν θώρηξεν 'Αθήνη ἔντεσιν ἀθανάτοισι· τι ρίγιον ἔσσεται ἄλγος αἰνομόροις Τρώεσσι καὶ Έκτορι καὶ γενετῆρι ὅσποτ' ἀν ἔντεα ταῦτα θεὸς πόρεν ἀνέρι τῷδε.

In line 2 $\tau \delta$ should be read = 'wherefore.' In the last line Plan. reads $\delta \pi \pi \delta \tau a \nu$. We should read $\delta \pi \pi \delta \tau' a \hat{\nu}$, as is clear from $\pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu$ in line 1.

A. P. ix. 481. 1, 2. | Julianus Scholasticus. |

έσπέριός μ' έδάμασσεν όμοῦ καὶ πρώιος ὕπνος ὃς μὲν ἐπιβρίσας ὃς δέ με μὴ καλέσας.

i.e. ος δε μέλη χαλάσας, as εδάμασσεν shows.

A. P. ix. 484. | Palladas. An ironical compliment. |

ἀσκὸν τῶν ἀνέμων ἔλαβέν ποτε δῶρον Ὀδυσσεὺς πόντον ἐπιπλείων· χρῆμα γὰρ ἦν τι μέγα.

άλλ' έμος Λίόλος οῦτος ἔχων ἀνεμώλιον ἢτορ ὄρνεον ἐκπέμπει τῶν ἀνέμων γεμίσας.

πνεύματα μοι πτεροέντα, φίλος, καὶ πνεύματα πέμπεις·

οὐ δύναμαι δὲ φαγεῖν θλιβομένους ἀνέμους.

In line 5 και πνεύματα should lead up to $\theta \lambda \iota \beta o \mu \acute{\epsilon} vov =$ 'choked'; cf. ix. 486. 1:

την λαπάραν την αὐτὸς ἀποσφίγξας ἀποπέμπεις.

We need a word instead of $\pi \nu \epsilon \acute{\nu} \mu a \tau a$ meaning the same thing. May we not read $\pi \epsilon \pi \nu \iota \gamma \mu \acute{\epsilon} \tau a$? $\pi \nu \acute{\iota} \gamma \mu a \tau a$ would be better, if a parallel were available. $\pi \epsilon \pi \nu \iota \gamma \mu \acute{\epsilon} \nu a$ would mean both 'strangled' (as the winds were in Odysseus' wallet) and 'cooked' (as the 'winged wind' was which this Aeolus sent to Palladas. Cf. L. and S. $\pi \nu \acute{\epsilon} \acute{\epsilon} \omega$, II.). $\kappa = \pi$, $a \iota = \epsilon$, as often.

A. P. ix. 488. | Tryphon. The death of Terpander.]

Τέρπης εὐφόρμιγγα κρέκων σπιάδεσσιν ἀοιδὰν κάτθαν εν ο στησας ἐν Λακεδαιμονίοις οὐκ ἀορι πληγεὶς οὐο ἐν βέλει, ἀλλὶ ἐνὶ σύκφ χείλεα. φεῦ, προφάσεων οὐκ ἀπορεῖ θάνατος.

The key to the reading in line 2 is to be found in the last line: the Arangenes; of the death is the theme. We should read then κάτθαν' ἀνωίστως: cf. A. P. ix. 228, ἀγγελίης ἀνωίστου.

A. P. ix. 489. [Heliodorus Chariclea's jewel.]

Παντάρβην φορέουσα πυρὸς μὴ τάρβει ἐρωήν· ρηιδίως Μοίραις καὶ τὰ δοκηταπέλει.

We should undoubtedly read here: $\chi \ddot{a} \tau' d\delta \acute{o} \kappa \eta \tau a \pi \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \iota [= \kappa a \iota \ddot{a} \tau', 'even what is'], \tau' suiting the proverb well, and Moípas possibly.$

A. P. ix. 507. [Callimachus. To Aratus.]

'Ησιόδου τοδ΄ ἄεισμα καὶ ὁ τρόπος· ὁ τὸν ἀοιδὸν ἔσχατον ἀλλ' ὀκνέω μὴ τὸ μελιχρότατον τῶν ἐπέων ὁ Σολεὺς ἀπεμάξατο· χαίρετε λεπταὶ ρήσιες, 'Αρήτου σύντονος ἀγρυπνίη.

Callimachus writes an epigram in honour of Aratus: he must have been damning him with faint praise indeed if he said to him: 'I am afraid he has copied the most honeyed parts of Hesiod's poetry.' His point is that Aratus has caught not only the style of Hesiod, but also his very honeyed speech. This idea can be restored by two simple changes:

άλλ' άγνοῶ πῆ τὸ μελιχρότατον, κ.τ.λ.

= 'The poet of Soli has copied not the worst bard in the world: but it passes my knowledge how he has copied the very sweets of that poet's words.'

On this reading Callimachus pays Aratus a most splendid

compliment.

A. P. ix. 512.

Εὐμενέως Πρώτοιο δεδεγμένος ὄργια βίβλου. Φιλοπόνου γραφίδεσσι δεδεγμένα βένθεα μύθων, κοιράνου Λὐσονίοισι διδάσκαλε, ἵλαος εἴης.

The florid style is remarkable. In line 2 we should perhaps read δεδογμένα, agreeing logically with μέθων='the deep dogmatic discourse of Philoponus' pen.'

A. P. ix. 518. | Alcaeus. On King Philip.]

Μακύνου τείχη Ζεῦ 'Ολύμπιε πάντα Φιλίππω ἄμβατα· χαλκείας κλεῖε πύλας μακάρων.

Pl. has a remarkable variant— $\acute{\rho}\acute{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \Phi i \lambda \iota \pi \pi \sigma s$. 'O $\lambda \acute{\nu} \mu \pi \iota \epsilon$ is in both P. and Pl. Editors accept the reading of P. without explaining the variant in Pl. In ix. 519. 3, Alcaeus wrote:

ώς ὄφελόν γε καὶ ἔγκαρον ἐχθροῦ ἀράξας. It is very likely that he wrote here: Ζεῦ ἸΟλύμπι · ἄραξε Φίλιππος | ἄμβατα·

πάντα has all the appearance of a gloss.

A. P. ix. 521. [To Sappho.]

οὐκ ἄρα σοί γε ὅλιζον ἐπὶ κλέος ὤπασε Μοῖρα ἤματι τῷ πρώτῳ φῶς ἴδες ἠελίου

Σαπφοί· σοὶ γὰρ κρίσιν ἐν οῦμεν ἄφθιτον εἶμεν σὸν δὲ πατὴρ πάντων νεῦσεν ἐρισφάραγος.

 $i\pi i$ cannot possibly go with $i\omega \pi a \sigma \epsilon$. It must stand for $i\pi \epsilon i$ unless we construe it with $i\lambda \iota (\sigma r)$ (writing $i\pi \iota r$)='for too little a time.' The objection is the hiatus after $\gamma \epsilon$. We are obliged to emend. An easy correction is:

εὖ γ' ἄρα σοὶ 'γγυάλιζον, ἐπεὶ κλέος ὅπασε, Μοῖρα.
κλέος being the object of both verbs. 'Destiny put glory well in thy power.'

In line 3 editors try to create some word re-echoed by referer in line 4—e.g. èveloraper or ireloper: these plurals cannot stand after Molpa. Good sense can be obtained by reading:

σοί γαρ χρησε χελύνην ἄφθιτον εἶμεν.

= 'She foretold that thy lyre would be immortal.' χελένη is actually used by Sappho. Both ἐγγνάλιζεν and χρήσεν are proparatory to the omnipotent nod of Zeus in the last line.

A. P. ix. 542. [Crinagoras.]

θάρσει καὶ τέτταρσι διαπλασθέντα προσώποις μῦθον καὶ τούτων γράψα ἐνι πλέοσιν· οὔτε σὲ γὰρ λείψουσι, Φιλωνίδη, οὔτε Βάθυλλον

τὸν μὲν ἀοιδάων, τὸν δὲ χερῶν χάριτες.

Editors, thinking the piece is dedicated to Philonides, retain θάρσει. It is strange that C. should begin 'cheer up'; besides, it is probable that he addressed it to Philonides and Bathyllus in compliment. As these two used to interpret a whole piece unaided, we should read line 2 as ypáda ένὶ πλέοσιν= I have written for one actor a story formed for even more than four characters,' to give point to the skill of the two men about to be mentioned. If θ áρσει is genuine, it can only mean 'I, in complete confidence.' In this sense, however, a participle would be more idiomatic, and we may read θαρσήσας [IK=HC].

A. P. ix. 546. 1-6. [Antiphilus.]

κὴν πρύμνη λαχέτω μέ ποτε στιβάς αἴ θ' ὑπὲρ αὐτὴν

ήχεῦσαι ψακάδων τύμματα διφθερίδες.

καὶ πῦρ ἐκ μυλάκων βεβιημένον ἤ τ' ἐπὶ τούτων

χύτρη καὶ κενεὸς πομφολύγων θόρυβος.

καὶ κ'ρύπτοντα ιδιδοιμι διηκονον ή δη τράπεζαν έστω μοι πρώτη νηὸς ὕπερθε σάνις.

ή δὲ τράπεζα is the correct ending of line 5. The first words were originally καὶ κρύπτουτα; a second hand has

written καί κε ρύπτοντα είδοιμι, and a third ίδοιμι.

The line is a wish; any reading which includes $\kappa \epsilon$ is barbarous. Now, what comes before and after the disputed line? A fire, a pot, bubbling water, a table. As there is no mention of the important article, the food, κρέας in some form is certain. The real difficulty is $\pi\tau$: this is a common corruption for π , as Dübner points out (ad. x. 41. 8). We can then emend to: καὶ κρέ' ἐφέντα δ' ἔδοιμι διήκονον=' may I see, too, a servant putting the meat in the pot.' An exact parallel is Eurip. Cycl. 404:

ές λέβητ' έφηκεν έψεσθαι μέλη.

A. P. ix. 551. [Antiphilus. A heron betrays Chalcedon.]

Καλχηδών δύστηνον έρωδιον έχθρα κολάζει τεῦ χάριν ὁ προδότης ὅρνις ἀεὶ λέγεται Φοῖβος ἐρεῖ τεναγῖτιν ὅτ' εἰς ἄλα κῶλον ἐλαφρον στήσας ψαμμίτην δόρπον ἐθημολόγει δυσμενέες τότ' ἔβησαν ἐπὶ πτόλιν ἀντιπέρηθεν.

What is $\Phi \circ i\beta$ os $i\rho \circ i$! Should we not read $\phi \hat{\eta} \mu \iota s = \text{`public gossip'}$?

A. P. ix. 555. [Crinagoras. Sybota.]

Νήσον τὴν εἰ καί με περι γράψαντες ἔχουσιν μετρήσαι βαιὴν έπτὰ μόνον σταδιόυς ἔμπης καὶ τίκτουσαν ἐπ' αὔλακα πῖαρ ἀρότρου ὅψει καὶ παντὸς κάρπιμον ἀκροδρύου ἄγχοθι Κορκύρης Φαιηκίδος ἀλλὰ γελᾶσθαι τῶι ἐπεωρίσθην τοῦτ' ἐθέμην ὄνομα.

In line 3 dροτρεῦ is certain; it occurs ix. 453. 3. γελῶσθαι is simply impossible. Why should the island Sybota be so named to be made ridiculous? The true reading is: ἀλλ' ἀγελάτης τῶν ἔπι ὡρίσθηι = but as a troop leader of those over whom I have been appointed, I have taken my name. The first line is harsh because of ἔχουσιν. If this is a third plural why does it end in r! Is it not clear that the reading is

Νήσον την, εί καί με περιγράψαι τις. ἔχουσαν μετρήσαι βαιήν έπτὰ μόνον σταδίους.

The island which, even if a man should map me out, pure even it is small to measure) only seven stades, you, ploughman, will see, etc. ? On this reading alone can we find a true construction for $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$, $\mu \epsilon$, and $\sigma \tau a \delta lovs$.

A. P. ix. 560. 1, 2. [Crinagoras. An Earthquake.] 'Ριγηλὴ πασῶν ἔνοσι χθονός.

πασῶν can hardly mean 'beyond all others.' May we not read βάσεων='O dread shaking of earth's foundations'? L. and S. quote ῥίζα πάντων καὶ βάσις ὰ γὰ ἐρήρεισται.

A. P. ix. 571. 5, 6.

Πειθῶ 'Ανακρείοντι συνέσπετο' ποικίλα δ' αὐδᾳ 'Αλκαῖος κυκνω Λέσβιος αἰολίδι.

Plan. reads κύκνφ. Λίολίδη is invented by editors, which they interpret 'Acolic.' The word could only mean 'for a son of Acolus.' It is clear that the MS. Λἰολίδι can mean only 'in Acolis,' or in the 'Acolic tongue.' αὐδῆ makes it quite certain that the latter is here intended. We may read then with certainty

'Αλκαΐος, κύκνος Λέσβιος, Λίολίδι. 'Alcaeus, the Lesbian swan, speaks in Aeolic.' Cf. A. P. vii. 19: 'Αλκμᾶνα . . . τὸν . . . κύκνον.

A. P. ix. 576. 1, 2. [Nicarchus.]

Παρθένε Τριτογένεια, τί τὴν Κύπριν ἄρτι με λυπεῖς τοὐμὸν ἀρπάξασα δῶρον ἔχεις παλάμη ;

Pl. emended ἀρπάξασα δ' ἐμόν. The author wrote τοὐμὸν ἀπρὶξ ἣ σŷ.

A. P. ix. 598. 5-7. | Theoritus. (Epigr. xviii.). | τοῦτον δ' αὐτὸν ὁ δῆμος, ὡς σάφ' εἰδῆς ἔστασ' ἐνθάδε χάλκεον ποήσας πολλοῖς μησὶν ὅπισθε κἀνιαυτοῖς.

In line 6 we should read $\pi \circ \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma as = \dot{\eta}$ in fond memory.

A. P. ix. 600. 7, 8. | Theocritus. To Epicharmus.]

σωρον είχε ρημάτων μεμνάμενοις τελείν ἐπίχειρα

The vulgate reads $\sigma\omega\rho\delta\sigma$ $\gamma\delta\rho$ $\epsilon i\chi\epsilon$, but P. is a better authority. Theoritus, perhaps, wrote $\delta\omega\tau\sigma\sigma$ $\epsilon i\chi\epsilon$ $\delta\eta\rho\delta\tau\omega\tau$ the had the very finest speech for all who remembered to pay him a reward for it. $\delta\omega\tau\sigma$, used with $\gamma\lambda\omega\sigma\sigma\eta$ s by Aeschylus, appears in Theor. 13. 27,

A. P. ix. 604. 1, 2. [Nossis.]

(·) αυμαρέτας μοριβάν ὁ πίναξ ἔχει εὖ γε τὸ γαῦρον τεῦξε, τό θ' ώραῖον τᾶς ἀγανοβλεψάρου.

i.e. το χλοφόι, as δραΐον indicates (L. and S. 111. 2). She writes ix. 605. 3 \ref{eq} δ χάρις ἀλίκον ἀνθεῖ.

A P. ix. 615. 5, 6. | Leontius Scholasticus. |

Φρηνου όηυς πάσι Θεοδωρίας ώς έτεὺν δη κάν τούτω καθαρήν δεικνύμενος κραδίην.

This replies to 'who cleared the place and built the edifice?'

φρην σοφη ώς πασιν Θεοδωρίας

is just what a cholastic would say for 'wise-hearted Theodore.'

A P. ix 644. 9. | Agathias Scholasticus. |

τλήμονες οι πλουτούντες ποικινοίσι συνόντες.

Pl. οἱ πλουτοῦσι καὶ οἱ κείνοισι. He thought π was a corruption of $\kappa = \kappa \circ i$: house πλοιτοῦσι. But π has ousted $\tilde{\eta}$: read then πλουτοῦντες $\tilde{\eta}$ οἱ κείνοισι συνόντες.

A. P. ix. 653. 6. [Agathias Scholasticus.]

Ναὶ τάχα τῆς 'Αρετῆς πιστότατον θάλαμος.

Pl. $\pi \omega \tau \delta \tau a \tau o s$. The first five lines say that, when Hesiod declared the Gods put sweat before virtue, he must have meant Agathias' house, to which he climbs up a long ladder; he can see (line 5) the water from the height, $\delta \psi \delta \theta \iota$. This last word must be repeated in some form in line 6, otherwise $\nu a \iota \tau \delta \chi a$ is pointless. The author wrote $\delta \psi \delta \tau a \tau o \iota = \iota \Upsilon e a$, in very sooth, my chamber is the very pinnacle of Virtue.' The word was written $\delta \pi \sigma \delta \tau a \tau o \nu$, then the cross bar of the π was joined to that of ν , which in uncials would closely resemble τ , the result was $\pi \iota \sigma \delta \tau a \tau o \nu$, which soon became $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \delta \tau a \tau o \nu$.

A. P. ix. 742. 4, 5. [Philippus. On Myron's bull.]

τέχνα δε ζωπόνησεν ὄψιν ἔμπνοον ώς πολλάκις με κἀπομυκᾶσθαι θέλειν.

The subject of $\theta \ell \lambda \epsilon \alpha$ is not $\mu \epsilon$ but $\tau \epsilon \chi r \alpha = \Lambda r t$ made me alive so that it wants me even to low.'

A. P. ix. 746. [King Polemo.]

έπτὰ βοῶν σφραγίδα βραχὺς λίθος εἶχεν ἴασπις ὡς μίαν ὡς πάσας ἔμπνοα δερκομένας.

καὶ τάχα καν ἀπέρεψε τὰ βοίδια νῦν δὲ κέκλειται τῆ χρύση μάνδρα τὸ βραχὺ βουκόλιον.

This imitates an epigram by Plato (ix. 747) on the same subject. In line 2 we may read ϵi_s μi_{av} construing with $\epsilon i_{\lambda} \epsilon =$ so as to form one. A feminine could easily be supplied, e.g. $d\gamma \epsilon \lambda \eta v$; cf. A. P. vii. 421. 14:

χάριτας Σοφίαν τ' είς μίαν ήρμόσαο.

απέρεψε=Plato's απέφευγε. We might read καν όρ αφέρψε.

A. P. ix. 778. 5. [Philippus. A woven present to Caesar.]

Καίσαρι δ' ἀκεινου χάρις ήλθομεν.

The subject of $\mathring{\eta}\lambda\theta$ $\rho\mu\epsilon\nu$ is the gift of the queen, who had worked it, $\kappa\epsilon\rho\kappa$ iour ioτοπόνοις. We should read δ' $\mathring{\eta}$ $\pi\eta\nu$ $\mathring{\omega}\nu$ χ $\mathring{\iota}\rho\iota s=$ the gift of her web. $\pi\mathring{\eta}\nu\nu$ would be nearer, but has no better authority than Hesychius.

A. P. ix. 791. [Apollonides.]

Μητρὶ περιστρεφεα σηκὸν Κυθέρεια θαλάσση κρηπίδας βυθίας οἴδματι πηξαμένη χαίρει δ' ἀμφὶ σὲ πόντος ἐπὶ ζεφύροιο πνοῆσιν ἀφρὸν ὑπὲρ νώτου κυανέον γελάσας. εἴνεκα δ` εὐσεβίης νηοῦ δ' ὃν ἐγείνατο σεῖο πόστουμος αὐχήσει μέζονα φλοῦσι Πάφου . . .

Hecker's correction $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\sigma\tau\epsilon\phi\epsilon$ os $\sigma\eta\kappa\sigma\hat{v}$ in line 1 is certain. Line 3 is a parenthesis; $\gamma\epsilon\lambda a\sigma as$ should be read as the main verb, with $\kappa\nu\theta\epsilon\rho\epsilon\epsilon a$ as its subject and $\pi\eta\xi\alpha\mu\epsilon\nu\eta$ in agreement. Thou, O Cytherea, having had built for thee the foundations of a shrine in the wave, the sea thy mother, didst smile over the foam $(\tilde{v}\pi\epsilon\rho)$ of its dark-blue back $(\kappa\nu\sigma\nu\epsilon\sigma\nu)$. In the last couplet $\epsilon\gamma\alpha\rho\sigma\sigma$ is certain. An easy correction in the last line would be $a\theta\rho\sigma\hat{v}\sigma\sigma=$ boastest that thou seest a greater thing than Paphos. For θ , ϕ confused, see xii. 61. 2; λ , ρ are often confused.

A. P. x. 8. 1, 2, 5, 6. | Archias. On Priapus. |

φωνήση, πνοιης ίεμαι ὀξύτερος.

Line 2 should emphasise the apparent weakness of the $\varphi \circ d$. May we read $\partial \hat{r}_{\lambda}$ for $\partial \hat{r}_{i}$ $\partial \hat{r}_{i}$ $\partial \hat{r}_{i}$ $\partial \hat{r}_{i}$ $\partial \hat{r}_{i}$ as good as, L. and S. H. 2. The corruption may be due to the similarity of κ and π , and to haplography of $\partial \hat{r}_{i}$ $\partial \hat{r}_{i}$

A. P. x. 23. 5, 6. [Automedon. Micetes' oratory.] ναῦς ἄτε μυριόφορτος, ἔως ἐπὶ τέρματα μύθων ἔλθη ἀκυμάντους ἔμπροσθεν εἰς λιμένας.

Pl. emends ἔμπορος. Read εὐφρόσυν'= 'gaily.' Cf. v. 40. 6, ἀκτὴν εὐφρόσυνον, a nautical metaphor.

A. P. x. 50. 3-10. [Palladas. Odysseus and Circe.] *Εμφρων δ' ὢν 'Οδυσεὺς τὴν νεότητα φυγὼν οὐχ 'Ερμοῦ φύσεως δ' ἰδίας ἔμφρονα λογισμὸν εἶχε γοητείας φάρμακον ἀντίπαλον.

For $\epsilon\mu\phi\rho\sigma\alpha$ Dübner read $\epsilon\mu\phi\nu\tau\alpha$. The suggestion is not a good one; $\phi'\sigma\epsilon\omega$ s $\epsilon\mu\phi\nu\tau\alpha$ is harsh. May we read $\epsilon\nu\beta\rho\omega\alpha$? Palladas uses $\beta\rho\omega\alpha$, A. P. ix. 487, and $\beta\rho\omega\tau$ s xi. 371. Cf. also xi. 377. 'Not from Hermes but from his own nature he had one antidote against witchery, to wit, his reason.'

A. P. x. 56. 15-18. [Palladas. Women not judged by appearances.]

ήλικία τοίνυν ήδε κρινετ' άλλ' 'Λφροδίτης οἴστρων εἰρήνην οὐδὲ τὸ γῆρας ἔχει. "Ορκοις λοιπὸν ἀεί τε πεποίθαμεν' άλλὰ μεθ' ὅρκον

ζητείν έστὶ θεοὺς δώδεκα καινερέου.

The suggestion τάδε κρίνεται completely spoils the balance with οἴστρων. χραίνω is used of conjugal infidelity by Soph. and Eur, (see L. and S.). We may read:

ήλικία τοίνυν εἰ δὴ χρανεῖ, ἀλλ' 'Αφροδίτης, κ.τ.λ. 'Well, then, if it is youth that is going to corrupt, why, even age, etc.' In line 17 we should read ὅρκοις λοιπὸν δῆτα, the last word suiting the author's bitter nature. In the last words the writer has in mind Eurip. Medea 492 foll.:

οὐδ' ἔχω μαθεῖν πότερα νομίζεις θεοὺς τότ' οὐκ ἄρχειν ἔτι ἢ καινὰ κεῖσθαι θέσμ' ἐν ἀνθρώποις τὸ νῦν.

We should read $\kappa a i r \acute{a} \tau \acute{e} \theta \eta = ($ and a new moral code \acute{e}) by adding a cross-stroke to the \acute{e} and to the \acute{e} .

A. P. x. 83. [Palladas.]

καὶ τὸ φρονεῖν πλουτεῦντι περίστασις, ὅχλος, ἀνάγκη

ζώνη ποικίλη καὶ κολάκων ἀνάγκη.

Jacobs read ζώτης ποικιλίη. For ἀνάγκη we may read καναχή, περίστασις= 'crowd,' as ὄχλος proves.

A. P. x. 84. 3, 4. [Palladas.]

ῶ γένος ἀνθρώπων πολυδάκρυτον, ἀσθενὲς, οἰκτρὸν, φερόμενον κατὰ γῆς καὶ διαλυόμενον.

i.e. φυόμενον (written φεν-).

A. P. x. 88. [Palladas.]

Σώμα, πάθος ψυχης, ἄδης. μοῖρ', ἄχθος, ἀνάγκη καὶ δήμος κρατερὸς καὶ κόλασις βασάνων.

Brodaeus read in line 2 $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \delta s$, which cannot be right, as the word recurs in the next line. We should read $\Delta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \mu \delta s$, spelling all nouns after $\psi v \chi \hat{\iota} s$ with capitals.

A. P. x. 92. [Palladas.]

έπει δικάζεις και σοφιστεύεις λόγοις κάγω φέρω σοι της έμης άηδόνος έπίγραμμα σεμνών, άξιον παρρησίας ου γάρ σε μέλπων της Δίκης ύπνους έχει.

A. P. .. 18 satirises an attorney, as here also. σοφιστεύεις λ a word of contempt, σεμνότ an ironical compliment. We hould read οδ γάρ σ' δ μέλπων, κ.τ.λ. = 1 am a nightingale, and am never asleep to Justice.'

A. P. x. 103. [Philodemus.]

τὸν πρότερον θυμέλην μήτ' ἔμβλεπε, μήτε παρέλθης ἀλλ' ἄπαγε δραχμῆς εἰς κολοκορδόκολα. καὶ σῦκον δραχμῆς ἐν γίνεται ἢν δ' ἀναμείνης χίλια τοῖς πτωχοῖς ὁ χρόνος ἐστὶ θεός.

This is probably erotic, as nearly all Philodemus' epigrams are. It does not merely refer to a poor man's going to a shop where he used to buy dainties. In line $1 \pi a \rho \epsilon \lambda \theta \eta s$ must mean 'go near'; it cannot possibly mean 'go past.' He says 'don't look at, don't go near what used to be your $\theta v \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta$,' i.e. scene of action for your love tricks. $\kappa a \lambda$ (line 3) = 'in the same way figs are sometimes cheap, sometimes dear.'

In line 2 the meaning then must be 'Don't go to $\theta v\mu \hat{\epsilon}\lambda \eta$: you have no money: go to a cheaper mistress.' As the strange word $\kappa o\lambda o\chi o\rho \delta \delta \kappa o\lambda a$ contains the same component at the beginning and end, it is probable that it should be broken up into two or more parts. The last letters may be $\lambda \hat{\epsilon}\chi \eta$, $\kappa \delta \lambda a$ and $\chi \delta \rho \delta \eta =$ 'intestines.' May we invent $\kappa o\lambda \delta \chi o\rho \delta a$ $\lambda \hat{\epsilon}\chi \eta =$ 'go to a cheap mistress'? (Cf. xi. 34, on the same subject.)

A. P. xi. 9. [Leonidas.]

Μὴ πάλι μοι μετὰ δόρπον, ὅτ' οὐκέτι γαστέρα πείθω,

ούθατα καὶ χοίρων ἄρτα τίθει τεμάχη.

For ἄρτα editors read ἄντα, construed with μοι in line I, an impossible feat. We should perhaps read λιτά.

A. P. xi. 109.

Οὐδ' ἐπικύψαι ἔχει Δημήτριος οὐδὲν ὁ μικρός· ἀλλ' ἔρριπται χάμαι πάντοτ ἐπαιρόμενος.

For έρριπται we should read έχριμπτε.

A. P. xi. 136. 5, 6. [Lucilius.]

Σάλπιγξον ταχέως ἀνακλητικόν· εἰς ἀνοχὰς γὰρ καὶ Πρίαμος κλαύσας ἡμερίων ἔτυχεν.

ήμερίων cannot mean 'gentle.' Unfortunately ήρεμίαν, an easy change, does not seem to mean 'peace from war.' A slight correction would give εὐμαρέως='easily,' to balance $\tau \alpha \chi \dot{\epsilon} \omega s$.

A. P. xi. 362. 3, 4. [Callimachus. Orestes and Pylades.]

οὐδ' ἔλαβ' ἐξέτασιν τοῦ Φωκεός, ἄτις ἐλέγχει τὸν φίλον. ἀλλαι χ' ἐν δρᾶμ' ἐδίδαξε μόνον.

Orestes is the subject. Read \$\delta \lambda \lambda \cdot \

A. P. xi. 370. | Macedonius. To a painted woman.]

οὐ λαλέει τὸ κάτοπτρου· ἐγὼ δέ σε παλιν ἐλέγξω τὴν νοθοκαλλοσύνην φύκεϊ χειομένην.

Τοῦτο καὶ ἡδυλύρης ποτὲ Πίνδαρος ἐλέγχων εἶπεν ἄριστον ὕδωρ φύκεος ἐχθρότατον.

Line I should be έγω δ' ἀστάφηλον = but I will expose this paltry bastard beauty. In line 3 the Aldine edition read actos ελέγχων. A neuter is needed with τούτο, perhaps ήθος.

A. P. zi. 411. On some hot baths.

τούτο πυρὰν μάλλον κλήζειν δεῖ, κοὐ βαλανείον, ἡν ποθ ὁ Πηλείδης ἡνιε Μενοιτιάδα

ή του Μηδείης στέφανου του γείτουα Έρινθς εν Παλάμοις Γλαύκης είνεκεν Λίσονίδου.

Read τ 's τ $\eta \theta \alpha$ Equié - 'which the Fury kindled,' to balance $\tilde{\eta} \psi \epsilon$, line 2.

A. P. xi. 421. [Apollinarius.]

*Αν μεν ἀπόντα λέγης με κακῶς, οὐδεν ἀδικεῖς με. αν δε παρόντα καλῶς ἴσθι κακῶς με λέγων.

We should read of $\theta_{\eta\nu}$ to balance the strong asseveration $i\sigma\theta_{i}$.

A. P. xii. 26. 3, 4. | Statilius Flaccus. Polemo a boy no more.]

Νῦν θ' αὐτῷ Πολέμων ἀνασώζεται οὐκ ἔτ' ἀφῆσαι, Φοῖβε, δασὺς δ' ἥκων οὐκέτι σῶος ἐμοί.

οὐκέτ' ἀφῖκται (Brunck) is intolerable owing to οὐκέτι in line 4. The couplet forms one sentence, with some word concealed to balance δασύς. The piece continues:

αὐτὸς ἴσως σκιάσαι γένυν ηὕξατο· θυέτω αὐτὸς ἀντία ταῖσιν ἐμαῖς ἐλπίσιν εὐξάμενος.

Polemo is playing a double game; he has prayed for what the author did not want. Read then ov $\kappa \alpha \theta'$ α $\phi \eta \sigma i$, 'Not on the terms he says, Phoebus, but bearded.'

A. P. xii. 50. 4: 7, 8. [Asclepiades.]

τί ζων έν σποδίη τίθεσαι;

4

Read τέτασαι (L. and S., τείνω, II.), to add point to ζων.

πίνομεν οὐ γὰρ ἔρως· μετά τοι χρόνον οὐκέτι πουλὺν, ΄

σχέτλιε, τὴν μακρὰν νύκτ' ἀναπαυσόμεθα.

Meineke read $\pi i ro\mu \epsilon r$ or $\gamma a \rho \epsilon \rho a s$; The indicative for $\pi i r \omega \mu \epsilon r$ (as in line 5) and the pl. followed by a sing, are harsh. We may perhaps read:

Πιν', ἀμυνει γὰρ ἔρων κ.τ.λ.

'Drink, thou shalt ward off thy passion.' [Cf. xii. 57. 4. "Epws of MS. instead of an accusative.]

A. P. xii. 66. 5, 6. [Meleager. Who owns Dorotheus?]

ἐν φανερῷ φωνεῦσιν, ἐμὴ χόρις· ἀλλ' ἀποχώρει· μημέτι πρὸς τὸ καλὸν καὶ σὺ μάταια φέρη.

Editors read $d\pi \circ \chi \circ \rho \circ \hat{\iota}$, 'The loves pronounce him mine—but he is going away!' Indeed a strange thing for him to do. The poet is addressing a rival, to whom he says, 'Begone.' $d\pi \circ \chi \circ \rho \circ \hat{\iota}$ is used 'especially after a defeat' (L. and S.). In the next line, still addressing his rival, he says $\mu \acute{\eta} \ \mu' \ \check{\epsilon} \tau \iota' \ \kappa.\tau.\lambda$. 'Not a word more; you are another to make a vain attack on beauty.' This correction is proved by Plat. Phaedr. 254 B.: $\pi \rho \circ s \ \tau \mathring{\eta} \iota' \tau \circ \hat{\iota} \ \kappa \check{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \delta \iota v s \ \phi \iota' \sigma \iota \iota' \ \phi \acute{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$. L. and S., $\phi \acute{\epsilon} \rho \omega$, B. I. 1. fin.

A. P. xii. 71. 3, 4. | Callimachus. The loss of Cleonicus. |

τη ρά σε δαίμων

ούμος έχει, χαλεπη δ' ήντεο θευμορίη;

What is 'my genius!' $\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \dot{\eta}$ demands $\delta \mu \dot{\phi} s$. Cf. O. T. 828.

A. P. xii. 73. 5. 6. [Callimachus. To his heart.]

οὐκισυνίφησον· ἐκείσε γὰρ ἡ λιθολεύσιος κείνη καὶ δυσέρως οἶδ' ὅτι που στρέφεται.

His heart is lingering near the boys. This last couplet hould tell us which boy he haunts. May we not read: 'Αγχίσην δύφησον! διφάω (Callimachus), xii. 102. 1, 2.

A. P. xii. 81. 3, 4. [Meleager.]

ψυχρον ὖδωρ, νίθαι, θυχρον τάχος, ἄρτι τακείσης ἐκ χιόνος τῆ 'μῆ χείτε περὶ κραδίη.

For rilar we hould read alfa, as rayos indicates.

A. P. xii. 84. 3, 4. | Meleager. |

έλκει τῆδ' ὁ βίαιος "Ερως" φλόγα δ' οἶα προφαίνων παιδὸς ἀπεστρέπτει κάλλος ἐραστὸν ἰδεῖν.

Some verb='to haunt' is needed. Such is $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho \omega \phi \hat{q}$. L. and S. quote parallels with $\theta \epsilon o \iota$ and $\mu \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \nu a \iota$.

A. P. xii. 99. 1, 2.

'Ηγρεύθην ὑπ' Έρωτος ὁ μηδ' ὄναρ οὐδ' ἔμαθον περ ἄρσενα ποιμαίνειν θερμὸν ὑπὸ κραδίας.

For $\pi\epsilon\rho$ read with Mr. W. R. Paton $\pi\hat{v}\rho$; it is confirmed by $\pi\nu\rho\ell$ in line 5. $\alpha\rho\sigma\epsilon\nu$ will give excellent sense='a hot passion for a male.'

A. P. xii. 94. 1-4. [Meleager.]

Τερπνὸς μὲν Διόδωρος, ἐν ὄμμασι δ' Ἡράκλειτος, ἡδυεπὴς δὲ Δίων ὀσφύϊ δ' Οὐλιάδης.

'. Λλλὰ σὺ μὲν ψαύοις ἁπαλάχροος, ῷ δὲ, Φιλόκλεις, ἔμβλεπε, τῷ δὲ λάλει, τὸν δὲ . . . τὸ λειπόμενον.

Τερπτὸς μέν should balance ψαύειν. May we not read ἀφᾶν? The word occurs in xi. 366. μ and ϕ are often interchanged.

A. P. xii. 130. 3, 4. [To Dorotheus.]

οὐ δρυὸς οὐδ' ἐλάτης ἐχαράξαμεν, οὐδ' ἐπὶ τοίχου τοῦτ' ἔπος, ἀλλ' ἐν ἐμῆ ἴσχετ' ἔπος κραδίη.

i.e. κάετ', 'burns.' ισ = κ.

A. P. xii. 134. 3, 4. [Callimachus.]

τὰ δὲ ρόδα φυλλοβολεῦντα

τώνδρὸς ἀπὸ στεφάνων πάντ' ἐγένοντο χαμαί. For ἐγένοντο we should read ἐχέοντο.

A. P. xii. 135. 1, 2. [Asclepiades.]

οἶνος ἔρωτος ἔλεγχος· ἐρᾶν ἀρνεύμενον ἡμῖν ἤτασαν ἐν πολλαῖς νικασόρην πρόποσις.

Νικαγόρην προπόσεις is rightly read by all editors. έν πολλαι̂s is sound, agreeing with (κύλιξι).

A. P. xii. 137. 3. 4. [Meleager. Imprecations on a cock.] γαῦρος ὑπὲρ κοίτας, ὅτε μοι βραχὺ τοῦτ' ἔτι νυκτὸς καὶ τὸ φιλεῖν, ἐπ' ἐμαῖς δ' άδὺ γελậς ὀδύναις.

Meleager wrote $\kappa\epsilon i \tau o =$ 'still stored up for me' (L. and S. $\kappa\epsilon i \mu a \iota$, III.), complaining of the waste of $\kappa\epsilon \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota a$.

A. P. xii. 138. 3, 4. [Mnasalcas. A vine shedding its leaves.]

μείνον ἐπ' 'Λντιλέοντι πεσείν ὑπὸ τὶν γλυκὺν ὕπνον, ἐστοτε τοῖς καλοῖς πάντα χαριζομένα.

For ἐστοτε read ἴσθι τε= 'be sure that.'

A. P. xii. 150. 7-10. [Callimachus.]

Έσθ' άμιν χάκαστὰς ἀφειδέα πρὸς τὸν Ερωτα τοῦτ' εἶπαί καίρευ τὰ πτερὰ, παιδάριον οὐδ' ὁσον ἀττάραγόν τυ δεδοίκαμες· αὶ γὰρ ἐπῳδαὶ οἴκοι τῶ χαλεπῶ τραύματος ἀμφότεραι.

So ends an epigram which says that poetry and poverty are the best cures for Love. Line 8 is the reading of Mr. W. R. Paton, and is clearly right. ἀμφότεραι refers to the two cures already mentioned. χάκαστὰs is the only difficulty. An easy change would be χάκάστοτ'='I have actually on every occasion (on which I am tempted) a plea to urge. This is borne out by xii. 73, 3, where C. chides his runagate affections. ἢ ῥά τιι ἐ, παίδων πάλιν ῷχετο.

A. P. xii. 164. [Meleager.]

ήδὺ μὲν ἀκρήτω κεράσαι γλυκὺ νᾶμα μελισσῶν ήδὺ δὲ παιδοφιλεῖν καὐτὸν ἐόντα καλόν,

οἷα τὸν ἀβροκόμην στέργει Κλεόβουλον *Αλεξις θνατὸν ὄντως τὸ Κύπριδος οἰνόμελι.

In the last line the difficulty is the finding of a construction. We need some number, not singular, and some case at once nom. and acc. to agree with both $K\lambda\epsilon\delta\beta$ ov λ ov and $^{2}A\lambda\epsilon\xi\iota s$, i.e. the dual. $^{3}V\delta\mu\epsilon\lambda\iota$ requires some word to denote 'mixture.' May we read $^{2}V\delta\nu$ $^{3}V\delta\nu$ $^{3}V\delta\nu$ $^{3}V\delta\nu$ (the twain in purity making).

A. P. xii. 165. [Meleager.]

Δευκανθής Κλεόβουλος· ὁ δ' ἀντία τοῦδε μελίχρους Σώπολις, οἱ δισσοὶ Κύπριδος ἀνθοφόροι.

Τοὔνεκά μοι παίδων ἔπεται πάθος οἱ γὰρ Ἐρωτες πλέξειν ἐκ λεύκον φασί με καὶ μέλανος.

Meleager constantly draws his metaphors from fire; cf. the remarkable couplet, xii. 92. 7:

όπτασθ' ἐν κάλλει, τύφεσθ' ὑποκαόμενοι νῦν ἄκρος ἐπεὶ ψυχῆς ἐστὶ μάγειρος "Ερως.

φλέγω is one of his favourite words; cf. xii. 48. 4, οὐ φλέξεις; xii. 109. 4, φλέγεται. We may then read φλέξειν.

A. P. xii. 178. 3, 4. [Strato.]

Τοὔνεκ' ἔτι φλέγομαι καὶ νῦν ὅτε νυκτὶ λαχνοῦται· δυόμενος γὰρ ὅμως ἥλιός ἐστιν ἔτι.

νυκτί is due to δυόμενος. We may read ἄπευκτα='when the accursed beard comes.' Strato is fond of the neut. plur. adverb. In xii. 229. 2, ν appears for π , $\delta \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \delta \nu \sigma \nu \nu$.

A. P. xii. 186. 5, 6. [Strato.]

ήξει σοι πώγων, κακὸν ἔσχατον, ἀλλὰ μέγιστον. καὶ τότ' ἐπιγνώση τί σπάνις ἐστὶ ψίλων.

The MS. makes Strato guilty of bathos. We should read $\partial \lambda \lambda^i$ $\partial \mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \sigma v$, 'but, what is the greatest evil of all, you will know then indeed ($\kappa a \dot{\epsilon}$).'

A. P. xii. 207. [Strato.]

έχθες λουόμενος Διοκλής ἀνενήνοχε σαύραν έκ τής έμβάσεως την 'Αναδυομένην. Ταύτης εἴ τις ἔδειξεν 'Αλεξάνδρω τότ' ἐν *1δη τὰς τρεῖς ἂν ταύτη προκατέκρινε θεάς.

An artist like Strato could not write the last line. Paris had to be bribed into giving his judgment. In this case Strato says no bribe would have been necessary; we may therefore read $\pi\rho o i \kappa a$. Taé $\tau_{\eta S}$ in line 3='in exchange for it.' We may put a comma after it, altering $\tau a i \tau_{\eta}$ in line 1; but it is best to read $\tau a i \tau_{\eta V}$ with the edd. in line 3, and $\tau a i \tau_{\eta S}$ in line 4. The last line is then:

τὰς τρεῖς ἄν ταύτης προῖκ' ἀπέκρινε θεάς.

'He would have rejected the three goddesses for it, and unbribed too.'

A. P. xii. 226. 5, 6. [Strato.]

δς εἰ πάλι μὴ ταχὺς ἔλθοι οῦκέτι μουνολεχεῖς κοίτας ἀνεξόμεθα.

Read κοῖτέ, σ' ἀνεξόμεθα. (κοῖτος, A. P. v. 172.)

A. P. xii. 236. 3, 4. [Strato.]

όντως ως ὁ κύων φάτνη ρόδα, μωρὰ δ' ὑλακτῶν οὖθ' αὐτῷ παρέχει τὰγαθόν, οὖθ' ἐτέρῳ.

φάτνη must be wrong: it is due to the proverb. A simple correction is φαίνει='discloses what is contraband'; cf. L. and S. φαίνε, A. 4.

A. P. xii. 243. [Strato.]

Εἴ με τὸ πυγίζειν ἀπολώλεκε, καὶ διὰ τοῦτο ἐκτρέφομαι ποδαγρῶν, Χεῦ, κρεάγραν με πόει.

We should read ἐκστρέφομαι (cf. ποσὶν ἐξεστραμμένοις quoted in L. and S.). This gives point to κρεάγραν, 'crooked flesh-hook,'

A. P. xii. 254. 3, 4. [Strato.]

Τίς τούτων δοῦλος τίς ἐλεύθερος οὐ δύναμ' εἶπαι ἄνθρωπος τούτων κύριος οὐ δύναται.

A semicolon should be placed after $\epsilon i\pi a\iota$, $\tau i\mathfrak{s}$ introducing indirect questions with $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ omitted. Line 4 should contain a question mark after $\kappa i\rho\iota \mathfrak{o}\mathfrak{s}$, the meaning being, 'Is a man their master? Impossible.'

A. P. xii. 256. [Meleager. His garland of lovely boys.]

Lines 5, 6:

Ναὶ μὴν Ἡράκλειτον ἐπέπλεκεν, ὡς ἀπ' ἀκάνθης εἰς ρόδον οἰνάνθη δ' ὡς τις ἔθαλλε Δίων.

Read ϵis , i.e. 'as it were inserting a rose.' Lines 9, 10:

άβροκόμην δὲ Μυΐσκον, ἀειθαλὸς ἔρνος ἐλαίης, ἱμερτοὺς ἀρετῆς κλῶνας ἀπεδρέπετο.

For $d\rho_{\epsilon}\tau\hat{\eta}s$ editors believe we need a name of a plant and of a boy. The fatal objection is the plural $\kappa\lambda\hat{\omega}r\alpha s$. All the other comparisons are made between one boy and one plant. The poet here is summing up; for $d\rho_{\epsilon}\tau\hat{\eta}s$ we may read 'E $\rho\alpha\tau\hat{\sigma}\hat{v}s$ ='he has plucked the lovely scions of Erato, Muse of love's poesy.'

A. P. xii. 257. 1, 2. | Meleager. |

'Α πύματον καμπτήρα καταγγέλλουσα κορωνὶς δρκοῦρος γραπταῖς πιστοτάτα σελισιν.

Salmasius read $\hat{\epsilon}\rho\kappa\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}\rho\sigma$. It is probable that in any case a word will have to be invented. Would not $\hat{\delta}\rho\chi\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}\rho\sigma$ (guardian of the fruit trees) be nearer the MS.? It would bear practically the same meaning as $\hat{\epsilon}\rho\kappa\hat{\nu}\hat{\nu}\rho\sigma$, but would anticipate more clearly $\hat{\delta}\nu\theta\epsilon\sigma\nu$ $\hat{\sigma}\nu\mu\pi\lambda\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\xi}\alpha\nu$, line 6.

A. P. xiii. 5. 5, 6. | Phalaecus. On four victors. |

Καὶ τίς πατήρ τοι ; — Κλεινος ἢ οσπερ ἀμμιν. Εμπη δὲ νικῆς ; — Ἰσθμὸν ἢ τύ δ' ἔμπη ;

We should interpret this line as follows: Clinus is the name of the father; this answer is given by one of the brothers; whereupon the others say, 'the same is ours too' $\delta\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ åμμιν (πατήρ $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota$). $\dot{\eta}$ is evidently redundant, as in the next line which has a similar error; probably it is a scribe's mark to show that another speech begins. We may then write: $K\lambda\epsilon iros$. (β) $\delta\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ åμμιν. Line 6 is uncertain.

A. P. xiii. 6. 5, 8. [Phalaecus. To a statue of Lycon.]

σσα γὰρ καθύπερθε λαμπρὸς άνὴρ μνᾶμα τοῦ χαριέντος ἔν τε λέσχη ἔν τ' οἴνῳ τόδε κἄτι τοῖς ἔπειτα ἄγκειται, παράδειγμα τᾶς ὀπωπᾶς.

We hould read $\kappa a\theta \epsilon \pi \hat{\eta} \rho \chi \epsilon$, construing $\delta \sigma \sigma a$ as an adverbial neuter \approx 'In those qualities wherein the man was famous, of them this is a memorial, etc.'

A. P. xiii. 8. | Theodoridas.]

έκ δολίχου τορασφυρήλατον, ώς τάχει κρατήσας παῖς 'Αριστομάχειος ἀνείλετο χάλκεον λέβητα.

Probably some proper name lurks under $\tau \circ \rho a$. May we read $\Theta \acute{\eta} \rho a =$ in Thera'?

A. P. xiii. 22. 1-5. [Phaedimus.]

τόξον μὲν, ῷ Γίγαντος ὅλεσας σθένος, ἴσχε βίης, Ἑκαεργ' ἀνάσσων' οὐ οι φαρέτρη λύεται λυκοκτόνος τοῖσδε δ' ἐπ' ἠιθέοις ὀιστὸν στρέφειν Ἔρωτος, τόφρ' ἀλέξωνται πάτρη.

στρεφείν Ερωτος, τοφρ αλεξωνται πατρη. In line 3 read οῦ σὴ φαρέτρη= 'where thy quiver, etc.'

A. P. xiii. 23. 3, 4. [Asclepiades.]

δς πρέσβυς ὀγδώκοντ' ἐτῶν τὸν ἐκ νέων ἔθαψεν ἤδη τι τέχνα καὶ σοφὸν λέγοντα.

Probably some proper name is concealed under ἐκ νέων. Μαγ we not read τέχνας καὶ σοφοῦ λαχόντα?

A. P. xiii. 27. 1, 2. [Phalaecus.]

φῶκος ἐπὶ ξείνη μὲν ἀπέφθιτο κῦμα γὰρ μέλαινα νεῦς οὐχ ὑπεξήνεικεν, οὐδ' ἐδέξατο.

i.e. οὐδ' ἐνήξατο. Cf. ix. 36. 2, δλκάς . . . νηξυμένη.

A. P. xiii. 28. 5, 6. [Bacchylides or Simonides.]

οὶ τόνδε τρίποδά σφισι μάρτυρα Βακχίων ἀέθλων ἔθηκαν· κείνους δ' Αντιγένης ἐδίδασκεν ἄνδρας.

For $\kappa \epsilon i rows$ some word is needed beginning with a vowel. We might read $\epsilon \sigma \theta \lambda o i s$. The corruption may be due primarily to κ having taken the place of $\epsilon \sigma$.

A. P. xiv. 43. 3. [De Scroto.]

'Πρακλέους ηρε μεν με συνεύνετις.
i.e. ἐρέφει με. I. and S. quotes λάχναι γένειον ἔρεφον.

A. P. xiv. 59. 1, 2. [On the Argo.]

Υίως πεντήκοντα μιῆ ἐνὶ γαστρὶ λαβοῦσα μηληστων πάντων ἔκτανον ἡγεμόνα.

It is improbable that the mother of the Argonauts would call her children pirates. $\mu\eta\kappa\iota\sigma\tau\circ\nu=$ 'tallest' is an easy correction.

A. P. xiv. 71. 1, 2.

'Λγνὸς εἰς τέμενος καθαρὸς, ξένε, δαίμονος ἔρχου ψυχὴν, νυμφαίου νάματος ἀψάμενος.

i.e. καθαρόν (edd.), with άγνισθείς (edd. άγνὸς πρός).

A. P. xiv. 105. 1. On the foot $(\pi o \psi_s)$.

εἰμὶ χαμαίζηλον ζώων γένος

Should we not read χαραιζήλων with γόνος='I am the child of animals that tread the ground'?

A. P. xiv. 106. 3, 4. On the same.

ην δὲ τὸ λοιπὸν

αίρης, εύρήσεις ἐπίρρημα τόπου.

For $\tau \delta$ λοιπόν we should read $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \dot{\eta} v =$ 'the final letter.' For $\epsilon \pi i \rho \rho \eta \rho a$ we may read $\epsilon \tilde{\iota} \tau a \tau \delta$ $\dot{\rho} \dot{\eta} \rho a$. The confusion is due primarily to the substitution of ρ for σ . Cf. v. 141. 2, $\Lambda a \tau \rho i \delta \epsilon \omega = \Lambda a \tau \sigma i \delta \epsilon \omega$.

A. P. xiv. 124. 8, 9.

σὺ δὲ τοῖσιν ἐπαλλιστα δάκρυα χεύσας ἐπτὰ καὶ εἴκοσ' ἔτεσσι βίου ποτὶ τέρμα περήσεις. As the MSS, frequently write στ for σ or τ, we may read σοῖς ἐνὶ πήμασι.

A. P. xiv. 126. 8.

αἰαῖ, τηλύγετον δειλὸν τέκος, ἥμισυ πατρὸς τοῦδε καὶ ἡ κρυερὸς μέτρον έλὼν βιότου.

Probably we should alter $\kappa a \hat{i} \hat{\eta}$ to $\gamma o \hat{\eta} = \hat{i}$ thou art mourned (cf. A. P. 7. 371, $\gamma o \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{i} \hat{s}$), chill in death, attaining to half the span of life of thy father here $(\tau o \hat{i} \delta \epsilon)$.

A. P. xiv. 132. 1, 2.

Κύκλωψ ἐγὼ Πολύφημος ὁ χάλκεος ἐγώ should be χώ= ' It is a Cyclops, yes, and Polyphemus.'

A. P. xiv. 137. 1-4.

δάκρυ παρὰ στάξαντες ἀμείβετε· οἴδε γὰρ ἡμεῖς, οὓς τόδε δῶμα πεσὸν ὤλεσεν ᾿Λντιόχου δαιτυμόνας, οἶσιν θεὸς δαιτός τε τάφου τε τόνδ' ἔπορεν χῶρον.

In line 3 read $\theta \epsilon i \omega_s =$ 'he, by divine decree.'

A. P. xvi. 54. 1, 2. [To Ladas.]

οδος έης φεύγων τὸν ὑπήνεμον, ἔμπνοε Λάδα θῦμον, ἐπ' ἀκροτάτω πνεύματι θεὶς ὄνυχα, τοῖον ἐχάλκευσέν σε Μύρων.

In line $2 \theta \epsilon i s$ ŏrv χa is grotesque. We should not try to get a word from $\pi \nu \epsilon i \mu a \tau \iota$ to agree with $d \kappa \rho \sigma \tau a \tau \phi$, but should in all probability read $\gamma \nu \mu \nu a \dot{\tau}$ $\epsilon \theta \epsilon \iota s$ $\delta \iota \nu \chi \iota = \epsilon$ and didst run on thy toes, naked. $\epsilon \mu \pi \nu \sigma a$ in line 1 has probably helped to create the corruption. Cf. xiv. 19. 2, $\delta \rho \theta a \tau \rho \epsilon \chi \sigma \tau a$.

A. P. xvi. 95. 1, 2. | Damagetus. Heracles and the Nemean lion.]

έκ Νεμέας ὁ λέων, ἀτὰρ ὁ ξένος ᾿Αργόθεν αἷμα πολλὸν ὁ μὲν θηρῶν μείζων, ὁ δ᾽ ἡμιθέων.

Stephanus preserved the correct reading μείζον, agreeing with αίμα. With a comma after 'Αργόθεν the construction is evident. Cf. xvi. 252. 1, κάγὼ, κύπριδος αίμα.

A. P. xvi. 96. 1, 2. [Heracles and the stag.]

τί πρώτον, τί δ' ἔπειτα φρεσίν, τί δὲ λοίσθιον ὄσσοις

θαυμάσω τέχνης ἀνέρος ἢδ' ἐλάφου; i.e. θαυμάζω.

A. P. xvi. 97. 4. [Heracles and Antaeus.]

καὶ φρίττω κρατερον τον θρασὺν Ἡρακλέην. We should read καθορῶν.

A P. xvi. 102. 5, 6. | On Heracles. |

'. Λλκμήνης ωδίνας έχει λίθος' αἱ δὲ μεγαυχεῖς (-)ῆβαι νῦν μύθων εἰσιν ἀπιστότεραι.

Heracles is addressed personally in lines 2, 3 and 4; we should then read δοῦτά σ' έχει. Editors explain the last line (on the assumption that Thebes was razed to the ground) as meaning 'while Thebes is less worthy of being believed than a fable. It is hardly conceivable that a poet should end a compliment to Heracles by saying that Heracles' city was a fiction. We should read εἰσ' ὀνομαστότεραι.

A. P. xvi. 103. 3. [Geminus. Heracles robbed of his weapons.]

ποῦ σοβαρὸν μίμημα ; τί σ' ἔπλασεν ὧδε κατηφῆ Λύσιππος, χαλκῶ τ' ἐγκατέμιξ' ὀδύνην ;

With $\mu \epsilon i \delta \eta \mu a$ we have a perfect balance, $\sigma \circ \beta a \rho \delta v$, $\kappa a \tau \eta \phi \hat{\eta}$ and μ ., $\delta \delta \delta v \eta v$.

A. P. xvi. 123. 1.

οὐ τὸν βουθοίναν Ἡρακλέα, παίδες ἀγρῶται, οὐκέτι κερδαλέοις ἔμβατα ταῦτα λύκοις.

The verb is $d\rho\nu\dot{\eta}\sigma\sigma\nu\tau\alpha\iota$ in line 3. We should read $\nu\dot{\eta}$ [$\nu\alpha\dot{\iota}$] for $\sigma\dot{\nu}$, line 1.

A. P. xvi. 128. 1.

Μαίνεται 'Ιφιγένεια' πάλιν δέ μιν εἶδος 'Ορέστου ἐς γλυκερὴν ἀνάγει μνῆστιν ὁμαιμοσύνης.
πάλιν seems weak. May we not read ἀπαλοῦ?

A. P. xvi. 131. 1. [Antipater. On Niobe.]

Τανταλὶς ἄδε ποχ' ἄδε δὶς ἔπτα τέκνα τεκοῦσα. Edd. ἐπτάκι. We may then read ἀ τὰ δὶς ἐπτάκι.

A. P. xvi. 132. 6, 7. [Theodoridas. On Niobe.]

θνατοῖς ἐν γλώσσα δολία νόσος ἁ ἀχάλινος ἀφροσύνα τίκτει πολλάκι δυστυχίαν.

S. gives ἄδ' ἀχάλινος; read ά δ'='and [unbridled] folly.'

A. P. xvi. 137. 5, 6. [Philippus. On Medea.]

102

Σῶν γὰρ ἀμέτρων

ζήλων είς α θέλεις και γραφίς αισθάνεται.

Ausonius has left an accurate version of this epigram. His piece ends thus:

namque tui vim cera tenax zeli concipit immodicam.

We have to explain the presence of vim and tenax. The former may well be an addition of his own, as vis is frequently used of all kinds of passions. What is the original of tenax? Only one word will explain the present MS. reading, viz. $\dot{\eta} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \tau \sigma \chi \sigma s$, common in later writers in this sense; $\eta \kappa$ became ηw , ηs ; the remainder was easily changed. $a i \sigma \theta \dot{\alpha} v \epsilon \tau a i c$ emphasises $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \tau \sigma \chi \sigma s$, which = 'the painting with its tenacious memory.'

A. P. xvi. 147. [Antiphilus. On Andromeda.]

χά μὲν ἀπὸ σκοπέλοιο χαλῷ πόδας ἠθάδι νάρκᾳ νωθρόν.

πόδας is clearly corrupt. All that is necessary is to read πόδα τήθάδι νάρκη, σ having displaced τ .

A. P xvi. 150. 3. 4. [Pollianus. A statue of Polyxena.]

"[δ' ώς, πέπλοιο ραγέντος

την αίδω γυμνην σωφρονι κρύπτε πέπλω.

For $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \psi$ may we not read $\pi \delta \tau \mu \psi$?

A. P. xvi. 175. 2. [Antipater.]

εἶδε λίθον Παφίην καὶ ὅμοσεν ΄΄ Πθελον εἶναι.' i.e. κἦτ' (καὶ εἶτα).

A. P. xvi. 228. 1. [Anyte.]

Ξεῖν, ὑπὸ τὰν πέτραν τετρυμένα γυῖ ἀνάπαυσον i.e. κέδροι. See L. and S. for a description of this tree.

A. P. xvi. 240. 1-3. [Philippus.]

- (a) 'Ωραίας γ' ἐσορῶ τὰς ἰσχάδας' εἴ γε λαβεῖν μοι συγχωρεῖς ὀλίγας.
 (β) Θίγγανε μηδεμιᾶς.
 'Οργίλος ὡς ὁ Πρίηπος ἐρεῖς ἔτι καὶ κενὸς ἥξεις;
 (a) Ναὶ λίτομαι, δός μοι.
 (β) Καὶ γὰρ ἐγὼ δέομαι.
- (a) is the passer-by ; (β) is Priapus. Line 3 should read thus:
 - (a) Όργίλος ως ὁ Πρίηπος (β) ἀρεῖ σύ τι, καὶ κενὸς ήξεις;

'How curst Priapus is!' 'What, are you going to take something, coming with nothing!' ηξεις, indignant question, as καὶ shows. Cf. Soph. Antig. 726:

οί τηλικοίδε, καὶ διδαξόμεσθα δὴ;

A. P. xvi. 242. 3, 4. [Erucius. On Priapus.]

έχει δέ σε δίψα γυναικῶν,

δ 'γαθέ, καὶ σπαργậς θυμὸν ἄπαντα πόροις

Should we not read ἀπόρως='Past cure'?

A. P. xvi. 273. 5. [Crinagoras. On Praxagoras, a doctor.]

καὶ ὅποσα τμηθέντος ἐπὶ χροὸς ἄρκια θεῖναι.

i.e. κάθ' (καὶ ἔτι)= 'furthermore.'

A. P. xvi. 304. [On Homer.]

Διεξιών, "Ομηρε, την κεκαυμένην, φθονείν ἀφηκας τὰς ἀπορθήτους πόλεις.

 $d\phi\hat{\eta}\kappa as$ can only mean 'thou didst allow.' We need 'thou hast excited the others to envy,' i.e. $\epsilon\phi\hat{\eta}\kappa as$, which Homer uses c. infin. in this very sense.

A. P. xvi. 310. 5. [Damocharis, A statue of Sappho.]

Αὐτομάτως δ' ὁμαλή τε καὶ οὐ περίεργα κομῶσα σὰρξ ὑποδεικνυμένην τὴν ἀφέλειαν ἔχει.

i.e. γανῶσα = 'with no overwrought sheen'; cf. ix. 322. 3, 4, ἀναίμακτοι δὲ γανῶσαι ἀσπίδες, an exact parallel.

A. P. xvi. 361. 5, 6. [On Calliopas the charioteer.]

Μοῦνος δὴ νίκης γέρας ἄρνυσαι. ³Η παρὰ πᾶσι δόξαν ἔχεις ἀέθλων ἆθλα λιπεῖν έτέροις.

Two preceding epigrams show that it was the ease of C.'s victories which astonished the theatre. Line 4 of this epigram says that the mouth of the horse never disobeyed him. We should read then $d\theta\lambda \epsilon\omega\nu$ (partic. of $d\theta\lambda \epsilon\omega$), 'You alone always win the prize; we all believe that you, when you enter, leave all the hard work to the others,' i.e. they work hard but never win—a splendid compliment.

EPIGRAMS IN VOL. III. OF THE DIDOT ANTHOLOGY

C. = Cougny. K. = Kaibel, Epigr. Gr. C. I. G. = Corpus Inser. Gr.

C. 1-49 (=K. 784), 2.
τοις δὲ καταστήσασι Κύπρις χαριανταποδοίη.
i.e. χαριέντ' ἀποδοίη=' give gracious (gifts).'

C. i. 60 (= Pausanias, 5. 23. 7), 3, 4.
 καὶ μετρεῖτ ᾿Αρίστων ἦδὲ Τελέστας
 αὐτοκασίγνητοι κατὰ Λάκων καὶ ἐκ σέθεν·

V. I. κατὰ Λάκων ἐσέθεν. We may read καττὰ Λάκωνες ἔσαν='in virtue of the fact that they were Spartans.'

C. i. 120 (= Plutarch. 'Aratus,' 14), 3, 4.
 σωτῆρος, σωτῆρσι θεοῖς, ὅτι πατρίδι τᾳ σᾳ δαίμον' ἴσον θείαν τ' ὤπασας εὐνομίαν.
 Read δασμόν='an equal distribution of property.'

C. i. 121. 3-5. [On Nicasichones, a Boeotarch.]
δὶς γὰρ ἐνὶ πτολέμοις ἁγήσατο τὴν ἀσάλευτον
νίκαν ἐκ πατέρων τηλόθεν ἀρνύμενος
καὶ τρίτον ἱππήων.

Έκ πατέρων is genuine, $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ s being understood, as in A. P. **x**iii. 27. 5, έν πατέρων. For $i\pi\pi\hat{\eta}\omega\nu$ we should read $i\pi\pi\epsilon\hat{\nu}\omega\nu$. He won two victories on foot, a third on horseback.

C. i. 136. [On Thaleia.]

(·)άλει ἐπ' εἰρήνης σοφιας βλαλοι γαῖα πᾶσα· εἰρήνη λοιβὰς τάσδε (·)άλεια χέω.

i... Θάλλει ... σοφίας κλάδφ ή γαῖα πᾶσα; the αι of γαῖα is short (or read γέα; cf. on Λ. P. vii. 131). For κ instead of β , cf. C. 2, 210, 3, κιαίφ for β ιαίφ.

C. i. 168 (K. 942). 1-3.

ά νίκα πύκταισι δι' αἵματος· ἀλλ' ἔτι θερμὸν πνεῦμα ψέρων σκληρᾶς παῖς ἀπὸ πυγμαχίας ἔστα παγκρατίου βαρὺν ἐς πόνον·

Should we not read $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu' d\phi \rho \epsilon \omega \nu =$ foaming in hot spirit '?

C. i. 169. 5-6. Ariston, the boy champion.

οὐ γὰρ ἐν εὐτυχίη κλήρου στέφος ἀλλ' ἐφεδρείης χωρίς, ἀπ' 'Αλφειοῦ καὶ Διὸς ἠσπασάμην.

We should read ἐσπασάμην, construing στέφος with it—
'I never had any luck in the draws; without ever having a bye I won the prize from Alpheus and Zeus.'

C. i. 171 = K. 948. 1-3.

τᾶς μελέτας ἄνθημα διάκτορον ἔνθα με κεδνοὶ θέντο παλαιστριτᾶν ἢιθέων φιλασαι ἔργμασιν εὐτάκτοισι μεμαλότες ἄκρον ἔφηβοι καὶ φιλίαι Χαρίτων τ' ἄμμιγ' ὀμοφροσύναι.

We should read $\pi\epsilon\lambda\dot{\alpha}\sigma\alpha\iota=$ to be near, c. gen. as often, and in line 4, $\phi\epsilon\lambda\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}\rho\phi\phi$ or $\dot{\alpha}\gamma$, with $\mu\epsilon\mu\alpha\lambda\dot{\alpha}\tau\epsilon$ s.

C. i. 303.

δοιούς σοι Διόδωρος ἐθήκατο, Σῶτερ, ὀνείρους ἀντὶ διπλῶν ὄσσων, φωτὸς ἐπαυράμενος.

A man cannot dedicate two dreams. Read ἀμαυρούς = 'two statues of blind men' to symbolise the god's power.

C. i. 315 (C. I. G. 3. 47006), 6-9. On the Sphinx.

δεινον μεν το θέαμ' - άτρεκες δ' εἴ τίς γε νοήσει,

ΤΝΟΧΕΙΤΕΙΗΟΤΟΕΤΙΩΝΑΜΟΝΗΟΛΟΙΟΝΔΕ

ΚΕ | ΚΕΤΟΑΝΤΟΛΕΙΑΙΟΜΕΔΟΟΙΓΟ, έκ της θευτητος.

C. ii. 28 (Diog. 'Laert.' iv. 5, 6). [Theaetetus. On Crantor.]

γη, σὺ δὲ τεθνειῶτα τὸν ἱερὸν ἄνδρ' ὑπόδεξαι·
η ρ' ὅ γε καὶ κεῖθι ζώει ἐν εὐθυμιή.

i.e. εὐθενίη, quoted from an inscription by L. and S., εὐθηνία, also in l. 7 of that on the battle of Actium.

C. ii. 50, 1-2,

Μυήμα Μυησαγόρας καὶ Νικοχάρους τόδε κεῖται· αὐτὼ δ' οὐ παραδεῖξαι ἀφείλετο δαίμονος αἶσα.

i.e. πάρα δείξαι: = 'them it is impossible to show.'

C. ii. 122. β. 1-2.

Σῶμα μὲν ἐνθάδε σόν, Διονύσιε, γαῖα καλύπτει, ψυχὴν δ' ἀθάνατον κοινὸς ἔχει ταμίας.

As ταμίας is not found without a genitive, read άθανάτων.

C. ii. 124. 5-6 (Plutarch, 'Vitae X.' orat. iii. 18). [On Lysias.]

δεῖ σ' ἀρετῆς κήρυκα τεκεῖν τινα Λυσία ὕμνον δόντα καταφθίμενον καὶ σοφῷ ἀθάνατον.

Jacobs read δύντα κατὰ φθιμένων. We should continue καὶ ζόφου ἀθανάτου.

C. ii. 127. 4.

ήλθ' έπὶ πανδεκτον Φερσεφόνης θάλαμον.

πανδέκτην is probably the true reading.

C. ii. 134 (Athenaeus, iv. 176 C).

τοῦτο () έων ὁ μόναυλος ὑπ' ἠρίον ὁ γλυκὺς οἰκεῖ αὐλητής, μίμων κὴν θυμέλησι χάρις.
τυφλὸς ὑπαὶ γήρως οἴχωκε, Σκαρπάλου υἱός,
νήπιον ὄν γ' ἐκάλει Σκάρπαλος Εὐπάλαμον
ἀείδων αὐτοῦ τὰ γενέθλια τοῦτο γὰρ εἶχε
τοὕνομα, πὰν μαρπὰν ἤδυσμα σημανέων.

σημανέον is correct, for Θέων is the subject of $\epsilon \bar{\iota} \chi \epsilon$, not Scarpalus. $\dot{\iota} \epsilon i \delta \omega \nu$ is clearly corrupt. This other name Eupalamus, the father, could not have invented until the son had acquired some experience in playing. We can then with certainty read $\dot{\iota} \epsilon i$, $\dot{\iota} \delta \dot{\omega} \nu$ $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.=$ 'His father always called him Eupalamus, though he saw his son's birthday feast.'

πᾶν μαρπᾶν ἥονσμα must be an interpretation of the name Eupalamus. We should read ταν καμπᾶν νήδνμα='to signify the sweetness of his musical trills.' Cf. ii. 498. 4 below,

Νήδυμος, Ίταλικης 'Αδαής παις, ἵμερος ὄντως.

C. ii. 157.

έσλὸς ἐων Πολύειδος Ἐχεω . . . φίλος υίός.

Should we not read $E_{\chi}(\omega ros)$? As $\Pi \circ \lambda \epsilon i \delta os$ is the original, it is clear that ϵ and ϵ are interchangeable in this epigram.

C. ii. 183. C. I. G. 2892.

εὖδιον ἐκ μακάρων ἀνύσαντά σε τὰν ἀόρατον, Γοργία εὐγήρως. ἀτραπιτὸν βιότου, ὅλβου τηλεθάοντος, ἐκοίμισεν ὕπνος ὁ λήθης, καντα προς ἑπταπόρου στᾶσε σε Πληιάδος . . . οὕνεκακαὶ πατέρων ἐπὶ γυμνάδος ἦδὲ φιλόπλου πρόσθε νέων ἀγέλας ἔδρακεν ἁγεμόνα

In line 4 read κάντικρυς, with Πληιάδος as a genitive of place = 'put thee even where is the Pleiad,' and in line 7, κεὐπατόρων, as πατέρων, is obscure.

C. ii. 191 (C. I. G. 3588).

ἔστιν τοὖνομά μοι 'Λφροδίσιος, ὧ παροδίτα, εἰμὶ δ' 'Λλεξανδρεὺς τῶν δὲ χορῶν ὁ μέσος, θνήσκω δ' οἰκτροτάτῳ θανάτῳ διὰ τὴν ἄλοχόν μον κλεψίγαμον μιαρὴν ἣν περὶ Ζεὺς ὀλέσει. ταύτης γὰρ λάθριος γαμέτης καὶ ἀμὸν γένος αυχων εἶλξέ με, κἀψ' ὕψους δισκοβόλησε νέον.

C. ii. 206.

τοῦτο σαοφροσύνης γέρας 'Λτθίδι τᾶ πολυκλαύστω οὐκ ἴσον οὐδ' ἀρετᾶς ἄξιον· ἀλλ' ἐθέμαν μνάμαν εἰς αἰῶνα φερώνυμον αὐτὸς ἀνάγκα θεῖος, νηπιαχω πνεῦμα χαριζόμενος. οἴσω γὰρ καὶ τοῦτο χάριν σέο, καὶ τὸν ἀπηνῆ ὅμμασι τοῖς στυγνοῖς ὄψομαι ἡέλιον.

This is the last of four superb epigrams. Theon is δ is $\pi\rho\sigma\gamma\acute{\epsilon}\rho\omega\nu$ of his wife's age. It is clear then that $\nu\eta\pi\iota\alpha\chi\omega$ refers to Atthis, the child-wife. How could Theon give spirit to his wife? We should read with certainty $\nu\eta\pi\iota\acute{\alpha}\chi\sigma\nu$ $\pi\nu\epsilon\acute{\nu}\mu\alpha$ $\chi\alpha\tau\iota\acute{\xi}\acute{\rho}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma$ s='missing the child's life.' The middle voice is so frequently used for the active in the whole Anthology that it need cause no offence here.

C. ii. 218.

ος βασίλεια Διὸς καὶ ἐν Ἡρακλέους τρισεναθλοις ἵπποις νικήσας δώματ' ἐπηγλάϊσεν.

Editors read $\tau \rho i_s \epsilon \nu \delta \theta \lambda \sigma i_s$, either construing the latter word with $\tilde{\iota}\pi\pi\sigma i_s$, leaving ' $H\rho\alpha\kappa\lambda\epsilon\sigma i_s$ without a construction, or making the word mean 'games.' We should read $\tau\rho i\sigma i_s$ $\delta\theta\lambda\sigma i_s=$ 'in three games in honour of Heracles.'

C. ii. 224.

τὴν τρίβον δς παράγεις ἀλύπως τόδε σῆμα νοήσας μὴ, λίτομαι, γελάσης, εἰ κυνός ἐστι τάφος.

Read $\mu\dot{\eta}$ $\pi\omega_s$ ='please do not'; the repetition of $\mu\dot{\eta}$ is a touch of art.

C. ii. 264. 3.

112

ὧ γαῖα πατρὶς Κασπίας παρὰ κλήθρας

 $\kappa\lambda\dot{\eta}\theta\rho$ as must, of course, mean 'alder,' not 'gates,' as edd. say. We should read $\kappa\lambda\dot{\eta}\theta\rho$ as, to make sense of the line.

C. ii. 267 (K. 618), \(\beta \). 5-8.

οὖτις ἀδακρύτοισι τεὸν παρὰ τύμβον ἀμείβων ὀφθαλμοῖς σχεδίου δέρξεται εὐστιχίην· ἄρκιον ἐς δολιχὸν τόδε σοι κλέος· οὐ γὰρ ἀπευθὴς κείσεαι οὐτιδανοῖς ἰδόμενος νέκυσι.

We should read $\epsilon v \sigma \tau \sigma \chi i \eta \nu$ (6) and $\epsilon i \lambda \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s =$ pent up' (line 8).

C. ii. 300 (K. 636).

Ευρεσιν ενθάδε γη κατέχει θανάτοιο λαχούσαν μητέρα την εύτεκνον εύδαίμονες παροδίται.

Found at Rome written in Latin letters. We should read εὐμενέες παροδίται.

C. ii. 310. 5, 6 (K. 208).

άλλ' ὑπ' ὀλβίων σφαλεὶς μελάθρων στυγνὸν ἦλθ' ὑπ' 'Λίδαν.

To make ense we should read ἀπ' δλβίων σφαλώς, i.e. ποσεωσεκίς. The corruption is due to ὑπ' in the next line

C. ii. 316. 15, 16.

τοὖνεκα μοι, πάτερ ἐσθλὲ, φανήσει, μηκέτι σεῖο Τειρόμενος γλυκερὴν τρῦχε χρόνφ κραδίην.

So ends an epigram on a boy of seven whose father spent lavishly to save his life. The boy says he is a καλὸν σέβας, because he has died young, then continues as above. φανήσει can only mean 'thou shalt appear to me one day.' Should we not read ἐφαδήσει? The construction is idiomatic, τοὕνεκα = sic, 'Then, dear father, it will please me, so thou no more wear out thy heart.' ἐπιανδάνω is one of Homer's choice words.

C. ii. 334.

Ἡρπάχθη μέγαρον Μάρκου αειμαρου δε προσείπεν

κουρίδιον γαμέτην πώμα φίλον φθαμένη.

Ἡρπάχθη· δαίμων δὲ πικρῆς οὐ λήθετο μοίρης ἄμα καὶ ἐν μεγάροις υἱέα λειπόμενον

'Ροῦφον ἄφνω ἥρπαξε, δόμους δηχθυς ἐλεεινοὺς 5 κήδεσι, καὶ γοερὴ πᾶσ' ἐδάκρυσε πόλις

'Αλλὰ τύχης οὐκ ἔστι φυγεῖν ἀμετάτροπα δῶρα, Μάρκε· τίς ἀπλήστου πένθεος ὡφελίη;

Τέτλαθι· καὶ γὰρ ἄνακτες ἀνμιδητω ποτε πένθει κύραντες, τοίης ἄλγος ἔχουσ' ὀδύνης.

The editors have made a sorry business of this epigram. Read:

Ἡρπάχθη μεγάρων Μάρκου δάμαρ, οὐδὲ προσεῖπεν

κουρίδιον γαμέτην Πῶλλα φίλον φθαμένη.

Ηωλλα appears C. ii. 290. 3; ii. 540. 3. Pollo died before she could speak to Marcus.

Line 4 should begin with adda, whereas line 5 is 8' / xdvo',

and ἀναυδήτω is the reading in line 9.

C. ii. 339 (K. 218), 17, 18.

τοις δέ παρερχομένοισι θεος τ΄ εύχήν τινα δοίη εἴπασιν χαίρειν Σωκρατέαν κατά γης.

Read $\theta \epsilon \delta s \sigma \pi \sigma v \delta \eta v \tau i v a after \pi a \rho \epsilon \rho \gamma \sigma \rho \epsilon' v \sigma i \sigma i = 'good speed.'$

C. ii. 340. 1, 2.

τόνδ' άρεταν άμείψασα Λεοντέα Ευρυδίκοιο τίμησεν πάτρα γυμνάδος έν τεμένει.

We should read τόνδ', ἀρετὰν βλέψασα, (μλείψασα). The last line (8) reads:

τὸν ἱερὸν πέμψαιτ' εἰς δόμον εὐσεβέων. i.e. τον νεαρόν: the final v of τόν being omitted, as often.

C. ii. 344. 2.

έθανου δ' οκτωκαιδεκέτις

ί.ε. ογδοοκαιδεκέτις.

C. ii. 346 (K. 663), 3, 4.

αίει δε μνήμη σε φυλάξομεν ώς παρέοντα είνεκενηειας είνεκά τ' άγλαίης.

i.e. είνεκ' ένηείας. Cf. ii. 348. 1, 3, ένηής.

C. ii. 347 (K. 538), 3, 4.

Μοῦσαι δε σαιεδεμεν ήμιν Τέρπουσαι νυνί θρηνολογούσι, τάλαν.

i.e. Μούσαι οι σαι, δοίμεν ήμιν= 'Thy Muse which loves to sing to us.' In the last line προσηνότατος is a barbarism for προθυμότατος.

C. ii. 354.

ή πασιν μακάρεσσι βροτοισί τε προσφιλές αιεί, ἀσπασίοισι λόγοις τὸν παριόντα νέμω.

Read $\hat{\eta}$ in line 1, with $\pi \alpha \rho \iota \delta \nu \tau'$ è $\iota \acute{\epsilon} \pi \omega =$ as is dear to gods and men.' è $\iota \acute{\epsilon} \pi \omega$, c. acc. as in A. P. 7. 167.

C. ii. 360 (K. 644).

εἰς θρήνους ἐφύλαξας ᾿Ατίνιαν, ὧ κακὲ δαῖμον· οὐχ ὁσίως ποινὴν εὐσεβίης κατέδου Ἡρούστικον ἡγεμονῆα πόσιν, καὶ παῖδα τιθηνόν . . .

Read: $\kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \lambda \delta \nu =$ thou hast destroyed.' Editors put a full stop after line 2, leaving five accusatives without a verb: no stop is required at all.

C. ii. 361 (K. 229).

τὴν κύνα Λεσβιακῆ βώλω ὑπεθήκατο Βάλβος εὐξάμενος κούφην τῆ κατὰ γῆς σκύλακι δουλίδα καὶ σύμπλουν πολλῆς άλός ἡν καὶ παράσχοις ἀνθρώποις ἀλόγοις ταὐτὰ χαριζομένη.

Aeneas Piccolomini 'emended' to \hat{y} $\kappa\epsilon$, making perfect nonsense. We should write $\eta \nu \kappa\epsilon$. $\eta \nu$ refers to $\kappa \circ \psi \phi \eta \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$, and the translation is 'light earth, which do thou grant (cf. $\chi \omega \rho \circ \hat{s} \, \hat{a} \nu \, \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \omega$), for thou givest to brute beasts the same boon as to men.'

C. ii. 373 (C. I. G. 3511).

αὶτὸς Χεὺς Κρονίδης ὑψίζυγος αἰθέρι ναίων σῶμα πυρὶ φλέξας στέρνων ἐξείλετο θυμόν· οὐκ ἤμην βροτός· ἰθὺ παρέστην μητέρι σεμνῆ νυκτὶ μελαινοτάτη έρμηνεύουσα τάδ' οὔτως.

The 'interpretation' the dead person gave is that the soul is immortal. As it stands, line 3 is high comedy: if the spirit said it was not mortal, the word should have been $\theta\nu\eta\tau\delta$ s. We should read of κείμην βροτόs='where I lay while I was a creature of earth.'

C. ii. 380. 3, 4. [Diog. 'Laert.' iv. 3, 20.]

οὐ μᾶλλον Πολέμωνα τὸ σῶμα δέ· τοῦτο γὰρ αὐτὸς μέλλων ἐς ἄστρα διαβαίνειν θῆκεν χαμαί.

i.e. διαβαλείν, ν ousting λ .

C. ii. 383 (K. add. 241. 6).

ό τᾶς ἀοιδὰς ἁγεμῶν ἀν' Ἑλλάδα ό πανταπασιν ἐξισώσας τἀν λόγω καὶ ἀτάραχον ἐν βροτοῖς θεύσας ὁδὸν Πυρρωνιαστὴς Μενεκλέης ὅδ' ἡμήν ἐγώ.

Line 2 is $\pi \acute{a} \iota \tau a \pi \acute{a} \sigma \iota r = 1$ who made all arguments equal to each other an exact description of Pyrrhonism. In line 3 we might read $\kappa a \iota \acute{a} \tau \acute{a} \rho a \kappa \tau \sigma r = \kappa a \iota \acute{o} \iota \acute{a} \tau \acute{a} \rho a \kappa \tau \sigma r$, and in the last line, $\acute{c} \acute{a} \acute{\mu} \eta \nu = \acute{I}$ died.'

C. ii. 395.

τὰν μεγάλαυχον ὁρậς . . . οὖνομ' ἀνέγνως ὧς κλέος ἐν Κρήτα μίμνεται ἀθάνατον. δόξη γὰρ γονέων ἀρετᾳ ἀνδρὸς συνο . . . ιμου Φείδωνος γενεας ἔκκριτον ἀθανάτων μνηστηρα συ δέδεξαι ἐπίφθονον . . . ἐτέκνωσας σῶς ἀλόχου Πείσω ἔγγονον ἰσόθεον ἀλλὰ, Ζεῦ Κρονίδα, σώζοις γόνον ὃν κατέλειπον Σωναύταν δεκετῆ δόξαν ἔχοντ' ἀρετᾶς.

Editors put a stop after $\epsilon \pi i \phi \theta o vor$, making the inscription suddenly address the husband. As the wife is probably addressing the husband in lines 3-6, we should read in line $5 \sigma v \delta \epsilon - \lambda \epsilon \xi a \epsilon \epsilon \pi i \phi \theta o vor - \lambda \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \kappa v \omega \sigma a s$. The difficulty in lines 3 and 4 is the construction of $\delta \delta \xi y$ and $\delta \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{q}$. These words must clearly qualify the son of Peiso and his wife, as the last line shows. We should then read $\delta \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{q} \delta \epsilon \kappa \tau \lambda \lambda$, with $\gamma \epsilon v v \hat{q} s \epsilon \epsilon \delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon$. Thou didst beget a son chosen from the immortals in ancestral glory and through the fame of his kinsman Pheidon.

C. ii. 399 (K. 664).

μητρὶ μὲν ἐν γήρα δάκρυ θήκατο, τῆ δὲ γυναικὶ χηρίαν, δυστήνου παιδὸς ἄμ' ὀρφανίη.

i.e. χρείαν.

118

C. ii. 401.

A woman married two husbands: the first, dying, left her with three children. She then married again, and says (lines 9-20):

άλλα και ον ποθέουσα πλέον, φιλέοντα δε μείζον, προύλιπον άμφὶ διπλοίς νηπιάχοισι πόσιν, τόνδ' έμον έχθαίρων άδικον μόρον οἰκτρὰ γοᾶται ής ζωής τέκνων ούνεκα φειδόμενος. τίς δε κόρας άδάκρυτος όταν φρενί πότμον + ανέσχοι

τον βαρύν ώς με τρίτω νύξ έμάρανε φάει;

Editors have not understood the construction. They wrongly put a full stop at πόσιν, reading τόν δ'. The above reading is certain: it means 'but, that husband whom I loved more and who loved me more, and whom I left with two children, he, hating this my unjust fate, weeps bitterly, sparing his own substance for the sake of the children.' As she bore two living children to this second husband, the last word of line 14, φάει, must mean 'in the third childbirth': hence we should read έφ' ὑεί. C. ii. 654. 1 gives an instance of vios with the first syllable short.

The piece concludes as follows (lines 19, 20):

ούνεκα καὶ πρὸς τέκνα καὶ ἀνέρας, οἶσσιν ἀνέσχον εύχην έξ όσίου, τέρμ' ἐπέβην βιοτής.

We should read of our areaxov = with whom I raised pious hands.'

C. ii. 415.

παίδά με τεθνειώτα τεη κατά γαία καλύπτει. i.e. $\tau i \hat{\eta} = \text{`why?'}$

C. ii. 445. 5 (K. 690).

τοίος δ' ἦν γεγαώς, οἶός ποτ' ἔφυσεν Ἰακχος ἢ θρασὺς Ἰλκείδης ἢ καλὸς Ἐνδυμίων.

Read έφυ κεν=' must have been.'

C. ii. 450 7.

κούφα κόνις σοι—Τὶν δέ, ὁδῖτ', ὁλεὼς πέλοι. Read ἴλεως πέλοι (sc. Θεός). Cf. St. Mt. 16, 22.

C. ii. 453 a. 5, 6.

ήμεῖς δὲ πάντες οἱ κάτω τεθνηκότες ὄστεα τέφρα τε γεγοναμεν, ἄλλο δ' οὐδὲ <mark>ἕν</mark>.

The stone-cutter, having previously written Κέρβερος κύων as an end of a line of seven feet, proceeded to extend γέγαμεν.

ib., β. 5, 6.

τοῦτ' ἔσομαι γὰρ ἐγώ· σὰ δὲ τούτοις γῆν ἐπιχώσας εἰπεοιεουκην τοῦτο πάλιν γέγονα.

The author has said it is useless to give mere ashes wine to drink; the wine only makes mud. $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \gamma o r a$ and $\epsilon \hat{\iota} \pi \epsilon$ cannot remain without the insertion of several letters. We may read without violent change:

εἰπέ, δ γαῖ οὐκ ἦν τοῦτο πάλιν γέγονε.

'Say, "that which was not earth has become (earth) again."'

C. ii. 463 (K. 322). [Glycia, a girl, speaks.]
 χαίροις, ὧ παροδιτα· τιμῆς ἐπάκουσον ἐμεῖο.
 Read παροδιθ', ἀπαλῆς.

C. ii. 469. 1, 2.

ήρωων τὸν ἄριστον ὁρᾶτέ με Παρμενίωνα ὄν ποθ' ὁ προπάτωρ ἔτρεφε Παρμενίων. Read ὄν νέποδ'='grandson.'

C. ii. 470. 3, 4.

συγγαμέτας Αὖλος Βαβύλλιος ἔρσε μελίξας στοργὰν ἀέναον.

i.e. ἔρσ' ἐμέ, δείξας. Cf. ii. 505. 4, δειξαμένη στοργήν.

C. ii. 495 β . 1, 2 (K. 517).

ίδαιαν Εὐκλείας τὴν καὶ Μαρίαν με θανοῦσαν μητέρα τὴν ἰδίην υίὸς ἔθαψε Τίτος.

Should we not read \hat{y} deov='as was right'? He buried her $\tau \rho o \phi \epsilon i \omega \nu \mu \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon i s$ (lines 6, 7).

For $\tau \eta \nu \kappa a i$ we may read $\tau \eta \nu \gamma \hat{y} =$ 'who died in this land.'

C. ii. 496. 5, 6 (K. 534).

Βύζαντος δὲ πόλει κλέος ἤραο, καί σε θανόντα μήτηρ ώς λαγόσιν θήκατο δεξαμένη. i.e. θανόντα, μήτηρ ὥς='like a mother.' C. ii. 498. 3-8.

ην δ' οὔνομα τοὖμὸν

Νήδυμος, 'Ιταλικης 'Αδάης παῖς, ἵμερος ὄντως.
οὐκ ήμην ἔμπροσθε πολὺν χρόνον, ἔστ' ἐγενήθην. 5
εἶς ὀλίγων ἐτέων ἐναρίθμιος ἄστατος αἰών
οὐκ ἀνέδραστον ἔχων ἴδιον δρόμον ἡς δ' ἔλαχέν τις
μοίρης, ταύτην ἐκτελέσει καὶ γὰρ βασιληες.

Line 4 means 'My name was Nedymus, and I was indeed a darling,' and shows that νήδυμος = 'sweet.'

The key to the next lines lies in $\delta\rho\delta\mu\nu$. $\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\nu\dot{\gamma}\theta\eta\nu$ makes no sense. We should read:

ούχ είμην έμπροσθε πολύν χρόνον έστ' έπονήθην

= 'I did not go long on my race before I was worn out.' $\epsilon \tilde{\iota} \mu \eta \nu \tilde{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho \rho \sigma \theta \epsilon$ recalls Homer's $i \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \pi \rho \rho \sigma \sigma \omega$, while $i \pi \sigma \nu \gamma \rho \theta \eta \nu$ is expressly quoted in L. and S. (Bk. II. 1) of running. In the next line we should read $i \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu$: the similarity of σ and ι and the confusion of σ 0 and ω 0 explain $\alpha i \omega \nu$ —'One of the common crowd of runners, young, I ran unsteadily, though the course set before me was $j i \nu \nu d$ for me, $j \sigma \nu \nu$ 0 each shall accomplish the doom allotted him.' This contrast between the uncertainty of the runner and the definite course that is set before him (strangely reminiscent of St. Paul) is vivid in itself, but masterly when reinforced by the reference to the soul's doom.

C. ii. 514. 3 (K. 604). On a comic actor.

Μαρκίανος δ' ἔμ' ἔθαψε καὶ ἐκήδευσεν, ὁδῖται.

He must have known the line could not scan. Read καὶ εὖ κήδενσεν. Cf. Medea:

καὶ κατθανούσαν χερσὶν εὖ περιστελεῖν (=rite).

C. ii. 547. 1, 2.

Γάϊος είμαρτη ἀλόχω τόδε σήμα θανούση θηκε Αυκειανή μνημοσύνης ένεκεν.

Read $i\mu\epsilon\rho\tau\hat{\eta}$. $\epsilon i\mu\alpha\rho\tau\hat{\eta}$ is strange.

C. ii. 548. (K. 544).

ώς ρόδον εἰαρινόν σε βροτοφθόρος ἥρπασεν "Λιδης

Σέμνην τλητα θεος ζωης αφιλαμεν αυτη.

In line 2 the accusative is indefensible. Read $\Sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \eta$ $\mathring{a}\pi \lambda \eta \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \acute{o}s$: cf. ii. 654. 5, $\mathring{a}\pi \lambda \mathring{\eta} \rho \omega \tau$ 'Aroa. The last word may be $\mathring{a}\nu \theta \eta$, carrying on the idea in line 1, with $\zeta \omega \hat{\eta} s$ dependent and some verb='to lose' or 'fade.'

C. ii. 570. 6.

ος αν δε χειρα προσαγάγη βαρύφθονον ταις αὐταις περιπέσοιτο συμφοραις.

Should we not read in line 2, raîs avros avraîs?

C. ii. 574. 1, 2, 6, 7.

τύμβον σοι ποίησα, Παπίριε, Γοργὰς ἄτεκνος μητὴρ πρωτογόνω, ἔτερον παιδ΄ οὐκ ἔχουσα· ἀντὶ γάμου δ΄ ἔστρωσα τάφους, χήρα δ΄ ἀπελεί- φθην 6

ἀνδρός τ' ήδε τέκνου οὐδε πλέον ή δακρύουσα.

In line 2 read $\dot{\phi}_{\chi}$ $\tilde{\epsilon}_{\xi}^{*}$ $\dot{\phi}_{\nu}\sigma_{a}$, and in line 7 $\dot{\phi}_{0}^{*}$ $\dot{\phi}_{0}^{*}$ $\dot{\phi}_{0}^{*}$ who e tears are all in vain. The pathos and restraint of the lines are masterly.

C. ii. 579. 1, 5.

ζητεῖς. ὧ παροδῖτα, τίς ἡ στήλη, τίς ὁ τύμβος... τοῦθ', ὅ ποτ' ὧν, γέγονα, στήλη. τύμβος, λίθος, εἰκών.

6 is the article, not relative = 'I, who once lived.'

C. ii. 607 (K. 89). [To Nicias, father and son, from the widow.]

σῆμα τόδ' ἐν κενεῆ κεῖται χθονί, σῶμα δ 'ἐπ' ἀγροῦ 'Ωρειοῦ κρύπτει πυρκαϊὴ φθιμένου.

Τὸν δέ τι παπταίνοντ' ἐπὶ γούνασι πατρὸς μάρψας ''Λιδης ῷ σκοτίας ἀμφέβαλεν πτέρυγας.

As the father died in Oreum, his $\sigma \hat{\eta} \mu a$ being erected elsewhere, read $\epsilon r \xi \epsilon v i \eta$ in line 1. The father dead, his son could hardly be 'staring round on his father's knees.' Read then in line 3:

τὸν δ' ἔτι παππάζοντ' ἐπὶ γούνασι ματρός

= 'The other, while yet prattling his father's name on his mother's knees'—a distinct Homeric reminiscence. Line 4 is of $\sigma \kappa \sigma \tau i as$, $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. = 'his (Death's) dusky pinions.'

C. ii. 613. 5.

τῷ δὲ παρεζομένη λαᾶσι δίκαζε τἀληθη̂. i.e. δικάζετ' ἀληθη̂. Δίκη is the subject.

C. ii. 614. 1.

δης πάσας χάριτας καὶ τὰς φρένας ἐνθάδ΄ ἔκλεισε κεῖται Καρουέντις.

 $\tilde{\epsilon}$ κλεισε cannot be correct. We should read $\tilde{\epsilon}$ κλησε= 'made famous.'

C. ii. 620. 7-9 (K. 654). [To Chreste.]

τόνδε τάφον σοφίης ένεκεν κυδρή παράκοιτι τεῦξ Ἐπαφρᾶς συνόμευνος, ᾿Αλεξάνδροιο πολίτης αγεντι εὐψύχει· κάμὲ μένει τὸ θανεῖν.

It is futile to invent a second name in the last line. The stone-cutter wrote $\check{a}_{\gamma}\epsilon\nu\tau\iota$ for $\hat{a}_{\gamma}\check{\epsilon}\nu$ $\tau\dot{\epsilon}$: "the made it and built it."

C. ii. 621 (K. 321).

δλβιον ἐνθέμενος βίοτον καρποῖς ἐπέχαιρον βῶλον ἐπιψήχων πυροφόροις βοτάναις: ἢδέ με χεῖρες ἔπαιον ἀπ. οργεος ἡνίκα θερμα ἀκτῖνα προλίποιεν ἐφημερίων καταδυσα. γηθοσύνη Δήμητρος ἀγαλλόμενον ἐχέτλησιν. 5 ᾿Λφνω δ΄ ἐν προκοπαῖς ἔλιπον βίον εἴνεκα Μοίρης, ἀρπασθεῖς τέκνων καὶ γλυκερῆς ἀδόχου. ἤτις ἐμοί γε μένει μετα ἔξοχα δῶρα καμόντι, στεψαμένη καμάτω οἶκον ἐς ἀΐδιον καὶ θρήνοις κλαύσασα πολὺν χρόνον . . . 10 ἢρεμίην κοίτης ἐς τέλος ἀραμένη.

Line 1 should read $\hat{\epsilon}^{\dagger}$ $\hat{\theta}\hat{\epsilon}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma$ s and $\kappa a\rho\pi\sigma\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\epsilon}$ $\tilde{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ $\tilde{\epsilon}^{\prime}\chi a\epsilon\rho\sigma$. Lines 3-5 are difficult. First, we must fix the subject of $\pi\rho\sigma\lambda(\pi\sigma\epsilon\nu)$. L. and S. construe it with $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\rho}\eta\mu\epsilon\rho(\omega\nu)$: this can hardly be correct. Editors imagine $\theta\hat{\epsilon}\rho\mu$ a is the subject; but why has it a plural verb $\hat{\epsilon}$. The subject is $\chi\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\epsilon}\rho\hat{\epsilon}$, as the paragraph is reminiscent of $\hat{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\epsilon}$ $\hat{\epsilon}$ $\tau\hat{\epsilon}$ $\tau\hat{$

just as in line 7, ἀδόχου appears for ἀλόχου. καταλῦσαι leads to ἀφ' ἔρκεος='in the courtyard.' ἐφημερίων in its turn becomes clearly an error for ἐφημέριον, adverbial='day by day.' We can then translate: 'and my hands induced me, when they failed me in the hot sunlight, day by day to unyoke in the farmyard, rejoicing as I did in the plough of Demeter.'

The wife remained a widow (lines 8-10). As such, she would naturally offer to her husband's shades first-fruits from his own lands. We should then read:

ήτις έμοι γ' έμ' ένειμε, τά τ' έξοχα δῶρα καμόντι = 'She allotted to me as my share what was my own (έμά), which (τά) is the best gift to the dead.'

C. ii. 664.

αέναον τόδε σημα πατηρ ίδρυσε θυγατρὶ
αθάνατον τιμην μνημόσυνον δακρυον
Μήτηρ δὲ βαρυπενθας ἐπὶ τέκνου ταχυμοίρου
ἐμαυτην ζῶσα συγκατέθηκα τάφω
εινεκονι στοργης δάκρυσι μυρομένα.
Σαίροις ἐσθλὲ ὁδῖτα· σοφων οι μανυε τιμὰν
Πλούτωνος βασιληος ἐπιχθονίων ἀνθρώπων
ὧ χωρὶς μακάρων πάντες ὀφειλόμεθα
ἐστιγα καὶ ἐν φθιμένοις Νέμεσις μεγα, ἔστ' ἐπὶ
τύμβοις

τυμβον αλλα έμοῦ πάριθι.

δακρίων is certain in line 2. In line 3 βαρυπενθήs is read by edd, in defiance of metre. We should read \hat{f}_i βαρθ πένθος, with $\hat{\eta}_V$, αθτήν, κ.τ.λ. in line 4= I, her mother, who was visited with bitter grief in the case of my child, lived long enough to help my husband to bury him.

In the next line στοργης δάκρυσι has a modern ring. We should probably read εἴνικεν ἱστορίης. For an exact parallel to this use of ἱστορία, ef. A. P. 7. 49. 4:

ηγνισε τὰν θνατὰν σήματος ἱστορίαν.

Cf. 7. 154. 6:

τᾶς κείνου νύμφας σημα καὶ ἱστορίη.

Line 7 should clearly be:

σοφῷ νοι μἄλιτε τιμὰν, κ.τ.λ.

= 'with prudent heart sin not against the honour of Pluto.' NT has supplanted AIT. Line 9 should be ἔστι γε κἀν φθιμένοις, while the last line should read ἄλλη ἐμοῦ πάριθι = 'pass away from me by another road,' or, 'to some other place.'

C. ii. 688. 1-4 (K. 95).

εἴ τις ὅλως γέγονεν χρηστὴ γυνή, ἥδ' ἐγώ εἰμι πρός τε δικαιοσύνην καὶ τοῖς ἄλλοις ἄπασινουσα δὲ τοιαύτη χάριν οὐ δικαίαν κεκόνισμαι οὕτε παρ' ὧν ὧμην οὕτ ἀπὸ δαιμονίου.

Line 2 should be κἀπὶ καλοῖς ἔπεσιν='and for my fair speech,' ιc=κ as often. In line 3 δικαίαν is an anapaest, as is παλοιός in Soph., etc., while κεκόμισμαι is obvious.

C. ii. 692 (K. 246).

ἐπ` ῶκυμοίρφ οἶκον `Λσκληπιοδότφ πατὴρ Νόητος χῶσεν εὐερκῆ τάφον.

In line 1 read σηκόν. οίκον is barbarous.

C. iii. 41.

άνίκα τὸ Σπάρτας ἐκράτει δόρυ, τηνάκις εἶλεν Ξεινοκράτης κλάρω Ζηνὶ τρόπαια ψέρειν.

I. and S. do not accept the form $\tau \eta \nu \acute{a}\kappa \iota s$. May we not read $\tau \mathring{\eta} \nu \acute{a} \gamma \acute{o} s = \acute{t}$ these trophies Xenocrates the captain captured, to dedicate to Zeus." We should read $\kappa \lambda a \rho \acute{\iota} \phi$ as a spondee. Cf. ' $\mathring{1} \mathring{a} \tau a$, C. 167. 9.

C. iii. 60 (Diog. 'Laert.' iv. 5; xxiii. 27). [On Eros.]

έν δοιή μοι θυμὸς ὅ τοι γένος ἀμφίσβητον 1 ή σε θεῶν τὸν πρῶτον ἀειγενέων, Ἔρος, εἶπω . . . ή σε γε Κύπριδος υἷα περίφρονος, ἡε΄ σε Γαίης 5 ἡ ᾿Ανέμων τοι αἷσα κακὰ φρονέων ἀλάλησαι ἀνθρώποις ἡδ΄ ἐσθλὰ, τὸ καὶ σε΄ο σῶμα δίψυιον.

In the first line $d\mu\phi\iota\sigma\beta\eta\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ is inevitable. For $\tau\iota\iota$ alora Stephanus read $\tau\iota\hat{\iota}\iota$ os $\sigma\nu$. $\tau\iota\hat{\iota}$ is a would add point to $\delta\iota\phi\nu\iota$ ov, $\iota\sigma$ a being an adverb='equally.' Cf. $\iota\sigma$ a δ a $\epsilon\iota$'s, C. iii. 73. 17.

C. iii. 67 (Athen. xi. 497 D, E). [Arsinoe's cup.]

Ζωροπόται, καὶ τοῦτο φιλοζεφύρου κατὰ νηὸν τὸ ρυτὸν αἰδοίης δεῦτ' ἴδετ' ᾿Αρσινόης, ὀρχηστὴν βήσαν Λἰγύπτιον, δς λιγὺν ἦχον σαλπίζει κρουνοῦ πρὸς ρύσιν ἱεμένου.

For $\delta\rho\chi\eta\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$ we should read $\delta\rho\chi\eta\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}\nu$ = 'shining,' and in line 4 $\pi\rho\delta$ s $\phi\dot{\nu}\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu$ $\delta\epsilon\dot{\nu}\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu}\nu$ = 'after the fashion of an eternal fountain.'

C. iii. 74. 19-20. On Pindar.

καὶ μέλος, ώς ἐνέπουσιν, ἐν οὖρεσιν ἠυκέρως Πὰν Πινδάρου αἰὲν ἄειδε καὶ οὐκ ἐμέγηρεν ἀείδων.

We should clearly read $\vec{a}\epsilon(\hat{a}\hat{\omega})=$ and stinted him not of songs.

C. iii. 80. On the Pharos of Alexandria.

Έλλήνων σωτήρα Φάρου σκοπόν, ὧ ἄνα Πρωτεῦ, Σώστρατος ἔστησεν Δεξιφάνους Κνίδιος. οὐ γὰρ ἐν Λἰγύπτῳ σκοπιουρησοι ἐπὶ νήσων ἀλλὰ χαμαὶ χηλὴ ναύλοχος ἐκπέταται. τοῦ χάριν εὐθεῖάν τε καὶ ὄρθιον αἰθέρα τέμύειν 5

πύργος ὄδ' ἀπλάτων φαίνετ' ἀπὸ σταδείων ἤματι. παννύχιός τε θόως ἐν κύματι ναύτης ὄψεται ἐκ κορυφῆς πῦρ μέγα καιόμενον·

καί κεν ἐπ' αὐτὸ δράμοι Ταύρου κέρας οὐδ' ἇν άμάρτοι

σωτήρος Πρωτεῦ Ζηνισε τησδε πλέων.

Proteus being named in the first and last lines, read $\sigma\kappa\sigma\tau\omega\rho\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon$ in line 3='thou shalt not keep guard.' In line 5 $\chi\dot{\sigma}\rho\nu$ governs $\tau\dot{\sigma}\hat{\nu}\tau\dot{\epsilon}\mu\nu\epsilon\nu$ ='in order to cut the air straight upwards.' In line 6 $\sigma\tau\dot{\alpha}\delta\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\omega}\nu$ ='flat places' is strange. May we read $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\chi\dot{\alpha}\tau\dot{\omega}\dot{\nu}$? $\dot{\theta}\dot{\omega}\omega$ in line 7 is probably correct. The last line should be $\sigma\omega\tau\dot{\eta}\rho\sigma$, $\Pi\rho\omega\tau\dot{\epsilon}\hat{\nu}$, $Z\eta\dot{\nu}\dot{\omega}\dot{\nu}\dot{\nu}$ vigrae $\pi\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\omega\nu$ ='the sailor who sails towards this Pharos ($\tau\dot{\eta}\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\nu}$) will not miss Zeus the Saviour.'

C. iii. 157.

' Αμφίων μούσαις κιθάρης έξησεν Θήβης τείχεα· νῦν δ' ἐπ΄ ἐμᾶς πατρίδος 'Ιλλύριος άδύλογον μοῦσαν μέθεπον.

With $\eta \xi'$ $\hat{\eta}s$ $\hat{\epsilon}vi$ $()\eta'\beta\eta s=($ ' raised the walls in his own Thebes') in line 1, we get a contrast with $\hat{\epsilon}\mu\hat{a}s$ $\pi\alpha\tau\rho\hat{\iota}\partial\sigma s$ in line 2. $\hat{\eta}\xi a$ is found in C. ii. 672. a. 2.

C. iii. 175. (Cramer, Anecd. Paris., iv. 133.)

ἄρματος ἡμετέρου τίς ἔβησεν ἐλάστορας ἄλλους δινον ἀειστρεφέα πάντοσ' ἐλαυνομένους :

"Ηλιε, τεθρίπποις νεμεσήσης μηκέτι μούνοις. οιδ' ἐπιτολμῶσιν ἡμετέροις τε δίφροις.

It is clear that τι is to be read in line 4. The epigram is a complaint of the Moon against astronomers who are explaining her movement by a δîros, which some editor understood of the threshing-floor, inventing the lemma, Είς τιτας νυκτὸς ἀλοῶντας ὡς ἀπὸ τῆς Σελήνης. The Moon says, 'who has put new drivers to my car, going round in this eddy? O Sun, you need not be angry: they are attacking my movement.' μούνοις is weak. We should read μοΐνος = 'thou art not alone: I am a sufferer too.'

C. iii. 178.

ρείθρα Κασωλίνου ποταμοῦ βεβορημένα νεκροίς δέξατο Τυριτηνής ἤϊόνος κροκάλη

ήνίκα φραγγικὰ φῦλα κατέκται εν Λὐσονὶς αἰχμὴ ὁππόσα δειλαίφ πείθετο Βουτελίνφ

όλβιον αν τόδε ρεθμα καὶ ἔσσεται ἀντὶ τροπαίου αἴματι βαρβαρικῷ δηρὸν ἐρευθόμενον.

The construction continues in line 5, in which dv is syncopated for $dv\dot{a}$, with $\dot{\rho}\dot{\epsilon}\hat{v}\dot{\rho}'$ \ddot{o} $\kappa a\dot{a}$, $\kappa.\tau.\lambda.$, to follow.

C. iii. 181.

130

Σιμπλίκιος μέγ' ἄισμα κατηγορίαισι φαάνθη έκ δ' ὁ κατηγορίας λῦσεν 'Αριστοτέλους.

αισμα is strange. Should we not read μέγα σημα? Cf. σήματα φαίνων='wonders.'

C. iii. 189.

ἄρια πάντα τέθηλε καὶ ἄμπελος εἰς τόκον ὀργᾳ σμήνεα δ' ἄρτι μέλι χλωρὸν ὑπεκπρορέει· οὕθατα δὲ σφαραγεῦσι καὶ ἄρνες ἀεὶ σκαίροντες αἶγες δ' εὐγλαγέες, λήια κεκλιμένα· ὅρνεα δ' εὐφωνοῦσι, καὶ ἄλσεα εὔσκια δένδρα ὕδασι δὲ κρυεροῖς ἀμφιγέγηθε πέτρα.

In line 3 σφαραγεύσι is barbarous, the verb being deponent. Read σπαργώσι with ἄρτες and αἶγες as subject, οὔθατα accusative of respect. Line 5 should read:

κατ' ἄλσεα δ' εὖσκια δένδρα.

C. iv. 5. Simonides.

τήν ρά ποτ' Οὐλύμποιο περὶ πλευρὰς ἐκάλυψεν ἀκὺς ἀπὸ (-)ρήκης ὀρνύμενος Βορέης ἀνδοών δ' ἀνλαίνων ἔδακς φρόνος πόσος '

ανδρών δ' αχλαίνων έδακε φρένας, αὐτὰρ ἐκάμφθη ζωὴ Πιερίην γῆν ἐπιεσσαμένη.

ἔν τις ἐμοὶ καὶ τῆς χεέτω μέρος· οὐ γὰρ ἔοικε Θερμὴν βαστάζειν ἀνδρὶ φίλω πρόποσιν.

It is difficult to see how snow can be 'alive,' and how it can 'put round itself Pierian land.' The true reading is indicated by the words $\pi\epsilon\rho\hat{i}$ $\pi\lambda\epsilon\nu\rho\hat{a}$: that which goes round the waist is $\zeta\omega\hat{i}$, carelessly corrupted into $\zeta\omega\hat{i}$. $\epsilon\kappa\hat{a}\mu\phi\theta\eta$ is genuine. We may render lines 3-4, 'While a girdle (of it) twists round and covers the Pierian land.'

C. iv. 8. Cleobuline.

ἄνδρ' εἶδον κλέπτοντα καὶ ἐξαπατῶντα βιαίως· καὶ τὸ βία ῥέξαι τοῦτο δικαιότατον.

The second line is tame and immoral: it justifies theft and lies if violent enough. Read $\tau \alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{o}$ accusative after $\dot{\rho} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \alpha \iota = \dot{v}$ Well, it is exact justice to pay him back similarly in violence.

C. iv. 17. 5, 6 (Athen. v. 61). [Socrates and Aspasia.]
 κἀγὼ, ὅπως ἤκουσα, χαρᾶς ὕπο σῶμα λιπαίνω
 ἱδρῶτι, βλεφάρων δὲ γόος πέσεν οὐκ ἀθελήτως.
 For γόος we should read ῥόος='a flood of tears.'

C. iv. 42. 5, 7.

†ζηθι τὸν ἐπίλοιπον ἐν βίω χρόνον καλῶς εἰδῶς ὅτι κάτω Πλουτέως τὰ σώματα Πλούτου γέμουσι, μηδενὸς χρήζονθ' ὅλως. Read τἀσώματα='the incorporeal subjects of Pluto.'

C. iv. 54 (Origen omn. heres. Refut. iv. 32). Ζῆνα πάλαι φθίμενον, πάλιν ἄμβροτον, 'Απόλλωνος

κικλήσκω λοιβαίσι μολείν ἐπίκουρον ἐμαίσιν·
ὅς ποτε καὶ νεκύων ἀμενηνῶν μυρία φῦλα
Ταρτάρου εὐρωέντος ἀεικλαύτοισι μελάθροις
δύσνοστον ἀπλόεντα ῥόον κελάδοντα δίαυλον. κ.τ.λ.

In line 1 $Z\hat{\eta}va$ is genuine; in line 5 we should read:

δυσνόστων ἃ πλέοντι ρόων κελάδοντα δίαυλον.

The editors have apparently failed to understand the construction.

C. iv. 67. 5. 6. [On Narcissus.]

τοῦθ' ὁ βλέπεις στέφωμα παρὰ κρήνησιν ἐρασθὲν ἔκλαυσεν μορφῆς εἰκόνας ἀντιτύπους.

Toêt' is the statue of Narcissus. We should read $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \omega \mu a$, owing to the similarity of ϕ and $\dot{\rho}$. $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \dot{\phi} s$ is the technical word for a 'solid body.'

C. iv. 71.

αὐτοῦ μοι, Κλεόβουλε, παρὰ στροφάλιγγα θυράων κλεπτομένην χάρισαι Κύπριδος εὐφροσύνην.

Βρίξαι ἔρως οὐκ οἶδε ποθούμενος· ἡ γὰρ ἀνάγκης μίξις προστίμοις ἐνδέχεται πάθεσι.

καὶ γὰρ Ζεὺς θεὸς ἦν· ἀλλ' ἡνίκα καιρὸν ἔκλεπτεν αἰετὸς ἢ δαμάλης ἢ κύκνος ἐβλέπετο.

In line 3 we should read $\pi o\theta \hat{\omega} \hat{\omega} \hat{\omega} \hat{\omega}$. The sense of line 4 is fixed by $a\hat{\omega}\tau o\hat{v}$, line 1, and by $\kappa a\iota\rho \hat{\omega} \hat{\omega}$, l. 5; sudden opportunities create sudden necessities. We should then alter to $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\pi a\hat{\omega}\hat{\omega}$: 'The union which necessity offers is possible in sudden fits of passion.'

C. iv. 79.

οὐκ ἀγαθὸς μὲν ἔπεψν', ἀγαθὸν δέ τιν' ἐξενάριξεν ἀγχέμαχον τόνδε μείρακα μακροβόλος.

'Ως ἀδίκως τελέθεις, ".\ρες. ος γ' ἐν ἐαυτῷ κάρτος κρείττοσιν οὐ παρέχεις πῶς ἐτέροις δικάσεις;

Lines 3 and 4 do not make sense as they stand. The idea is clearly 'Ares gives victory not to the better men, but to the inferior.' Should we not read τοῦς ἐτέροις δ' ὁπάσεις = 'but givest it to the baser sort!' See L. and S. ἔτέρος, III. 2.

C. iv. 80.

ώς ἀγαθὸν σταδίη πλατὺ φάσγανον, ἐν δέ γε τείχει τόξον ἀεὶ κρατέει τοῦτ' ἐβόησεν "Αρης.

We should read ἐπόησεν, 'such is Ares' work.'

C. iv. 81. 4-6. [On Christ and the Virgin.]

ἀλλ' οὖς ἐφεῦρον ἐν βίῳ φῶς τοῦ βίου τὸ φῶς τεκοῦσαν φῶς σε τοῦ φωτὸς γόνε, εὕροιμι κἀκεῖ φῶς τε καὶ λύσιν πόνων.

Read $d\lambda\lambda'$ $\dot{\omega}_s = '$ but just as.' We should put a comma between $\phi\hat{\omega}_s$ and σ_ϵ in line 5, making the former vocative, agreeing with $\gamma \dot{\phi} r \dot{\epsilon}$.

C. v. 16 (Athen. viii. 34, p. 344). [Hedylus.]

οψοφάγει, Κλειώ· καταμύομεν· ἢν δὲ θελήσης ἔσθε μόνη· δραχμῆς ἐστιν ὁ γόγγρος ἄπας. θὲς μόνον ἢ ζώνην, ἢ ἐνώτιον, ἤ τι τοιοῦτον σύσσημον· τὸ δὶ ὁρᾶν μὴ μόνον οὐ λέγομεν. Ἡμετέρη σὰ Μέδουσα· λιθούμεθα δὶ ὡς τὰ πάλαι που

οὐ Γοργοῦς, γόγγρου δ' οἱ μέλεοι λοπάδι.

Line 4 cannot mean 'only we tell you not to look.' Its meaning is fixed by $\kappa\alpha\tau\alpha\mu'$ o μ e ν and μ ó $\nu\eta$. The point is not that Cleio should not look at the other guests, but that they must not look at her. All she need do is bring some proof of her identity; if they see her, they are turned to stone. Hence we should read τ ò δ ' $\delta \rho$ â ν μ a ι r $\delta \mu$ e ι ro ι λ é γ o μ e ι r: 'We say that to look at you is the act of a madman.'

134

C. v. 34 (Diog. Laert. 8. 1. 44).

ἢν ἄρα Πυθαγόρας τοῖος σοφός, ὥστε μὲν αὐτὸς μὴ ψαύειν κρειῶν καὶ λέγειν ὡς ἄδικον σιτίζειν δ' ἄλλους·

Read ψαθεν, λέγεν, σίτιζεν, as ην shows.

C. vi. 20. 4 ff.

Ζεὺς ὑμῖν τελετῆς μῆνιν ἔχει ἣν διέχρησεν οὕνεκ' ἀτιμάζοντες 'Ολύμπια πασιάνακτος Ζηνὸς — τοῦ πρῶτος μὲν ἱδρύσατο καὶ θέτο τιμὴν Πεῖσος, καὶ μετὰ τόνδε Πέλοψ, ὅτε δημονναῖαν 'Ελλάδα . . . (11) — τὸν δήτοθεν ὑμῖν λείπεις καὶ τελετήν.

Editors propose δημον ἔναιεν Ἑλλάδα in line 7. We should probably read ὅτε δη νέμεν αἶαν='when he lived in Greece.' Line 4 is clearly ην δι' ἔχρησεν='wherefore he gives you this oracle.' Cf. C. vi. 219. 2: χρήσουσα Διὸς νόον.

Editors correct line 11 to τον δήποθεν ὑμεῖς | λείπετε. δήποθεν cannot possibly mean 'in some way or other.' Should we not read τοῦδ' ἤρατ' ἐν ὑμῖν | λοιβὰς καὶ τελετήν= 'Ye have taken away his sacrifice and mystic rite?'

C. vi. 27 (Pausan. iv. ix. 2).

κούρην ἄχραντον νερτέροισι δαίμοσι κλήρφ λαχούσαν Λίπυτιδών ἀψ' αίματος θυηπολείτε νυκτέροισιν ἐν σφαγαίς. ἤν δὲ σφαγῆ τε καὶ παρ' ἀλλοίου τοτε θύειν διδόντας ἐς σφαγὴν ἑκουσίως.

The correction of this epigram is not difficult. We should read:

ην δέ σφ' ἄγητε καὶ παρ' ἀλλοίου, τότε κ.τ.λ.

'Choose a girl of the Aepytidae by lot. But, if you lead her to sacrifice from another family, then sacrifice her after offering her freely.' A contrast is intended between $\kappa\lambda\eta\rho\phi$ and $\delta\iota\delta\delta\nu\tau\alpha s$.

C. vi. 33 (Pausan. iv. xii. 1).

ἀλλ' ἀπάτη μὲν ἔχει λείαν Μεσσηνίδα λαὸς ταῖς δ' αὐταῖς τέχναισιν ἁλώσεται αἶσπερ ὑπῆρξεν. We should read έλεῖ to balance ἁλώσεται.

C. vi. 34. 3 (Diodor. Sic. viii. 21. 3).

Σατύριον φράζον Τάραντός τ' άγλαὸν ὕδωρ.

We should read τὸ Τάραντός τ'. The fault is due to haplography.

C. vi. 36. 7 (Strabo, vi. 1. 1).

Μύσκελλε βραχύνωτε, παρὲκ θεὸν ἄλλα ματεύων κλαύματα μαστεύσεις.

We should read $\pi a \rho \epsilon i s$ $\theta \epsilon \delta \nu =$ if you neglect the god.' $\kappa = \iota c$.

C. vi. 42. 3, 4 (Schol. ad Pind. Pyth. iv.10). [To Battus.]

ηρώος πρότερον δόλον ἔκβαλε, πείθοι πείθων. Στέρξον γην ὁσίως στερρὸν μισεῖς ἀθεμίστως.

Read $\Pi \nu \theta \delta i \pi \epsilon i \theta \sigma \nu = 'Obey Pytho', and in the next line for <math>\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \delta \nu$, $\theta \dot{\eta} \rho \sigma \nu$, 'Thera.'

C. vi. 75.

Έρμης τ' 'Αλκίδης καὶ ἱμαντόμαχος Πολυδεύκης οί τρείς άθλησαντες άνακτήσουσί σε. Θήβη.

We should read ἀνακτίσσουσι='will found again,' in place of the barbarous ανακτήσουσι.

C. vi. 81, 16-18.

έν πυρί βάλλε δέμας θύσας ζώοιο ποτηνοῦ καὶ μέλι φυρήσας δηίω άλφίτω ἔνθεν άτμούς τε λιβάνοιο καὶ οὐλοχύτας ἐπίβαλλε.

Read δηφω αλφίτω='with Demeter's corn,' and probably ένθευ= 'put in,' to balance βάλλε and ἐπίβαλλε.

C. vi. 100 (Suidas, ' Διογένης').

To a lovesick boy's father.

οργην οθν πρήθνον άμειδέα, μηδ' έπιτείνον κωλύων· πράσσεις γὰρ ἐναντία σοῖσι λογισμοῖς· ην δ' έφ' ήσυχίην έλθης, λήθην τάχος έξει φίλτρων, καὶ νήψας αἰσχρᾶς καταπαύσεται όρμης.

Line 5 is suspicious. May we read he & & & hoveing iλάης='but if you bring him to quietness?'

C. vi. 105 (Suidas. 'Augustus').

παίς Έβραίος κέλεται με θεοίς μακάρεσσιν ανάσσων

τόνδε δόμον προλιπείν καὶ 'Λίδην αδθις ίκέσθαι.

Should we not read kas "Aibos = and to the home of Hades! The Hebrew boy is probably an astronomer, (cf. vi. 165. 3), not Our Lord.

C. vi. 119 (Porphyr. 'Euseb. Praep. Ev.' v. 5).
 σήμερον οὐκ ἐπέοικε λέγειν ἄστρων ὁδοῦ εἴρειν
 θ' ἔδραν ἀμαντοσύνης γὰρ ἐν ἀστράσι νῦν

Read όδον ίρην θάδρανα μαντοσύνης γάρ . . .

πεπέδηται.

- C. vi. 121 (Suidas, αἴκε).
 αἴκε πάθοι τά κ' ἔρεξε δίκη δ' ἰθεῖα γένοιτο.
 Should read τά τ' ἔρεξε, δίκη κ' ἰθεῖα γένοιτο.
- C. vi. 122. 1 (Gallaeus, 'Orac. Vet.' vi. p. 39).
 εἶπατε τῷ βασιλεῖ· χαμαὶ πέσε δαίδαλος αὐλά.
 βασιλῆι would cure the line.
- C. vi. 124. 2 (Porph. Euseb. 'Praep. Ev.' v. 7). αὐλοὶ καὶ τυμπάνων πάταγοι.

τυπάνων should be read; and in line 8 σταχυητρόφα for σταχυιτρόφα.

C. vi. 125 (Lactant. Instit. iv. Apollo is a material).
θνητὸς ἔην κατὰ σάρκα σοφὸς τερατώδεσιν ἔργοις·
ἀλλ' ὑπὸ Χαλδαίων κριτῶν ὅπλοις συναλωθεὶς
γόμφοις καὶ σκολόπεσσι πικρὴν ἀνέτλησε
τελευτήν.

Read κριτέων and συναλισθείς ὅπλοις is explained by γόμφοις καὶ σκολόπεσσι='their means of measuring.' The sun was constrained by these implements, and so was accurately measured.

C. vi. 127 (Julian, Epist. lxi).

όσσοι ἐς ἀρητήρας, ἀτασθαλίησι νόοιο, ἀθανάτων ῥέζουσ' ἀποφώλια καὶ γεράεσσιν ἀντία βουλεύουσιν ἀδεισιθέοισι λογισμοῖς οὐκέθ' ὅλην βιότοιο διεκπερόωσιν ἀταρπὸν ὅσσοι περ μακάρεσσιν ἐλωβήσαντο θεοῖσιν ὧν κεῖνοι θεόσεπτον ἕλον θεραπηίδα τιμήν.

Read ὅσσφπερ='inasmuch as.' (See L. and S. ὅσος, v.)

C. vi. 128 (Lactant. De Ira 23. 12).

ήδε θεον βασιλήα καὶ γεννητήρα προπάντων δν τρέμεται καὶ γαῖα καὶ οὐρανὸς ήδε θάλασσα ταρτάρεοί τε μυχοί, καὶ δαίμονες ἐκφρίττουσιν.

Augustine, Civ. Dei. xix. 23, seems to have had this text with is $\delta \epsilon$ in line 1. In line 1 we should read $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \hat{\eta}^{i}$ ide $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a$. Cf. A. P. iii. 19, where ide has similarly been glossed to $\kappa a i$.

As τρέμω seems not to be used in the Middle voice, we may read οὖ τρέφεται καὶ γαῖα, κ.τ.λ., 'who keepeth earth, sky, and sea; him Tartarus and its gods greatly dread.' τρέμεται looks like an accommodation to ἐκψρίττουσιν.

C. vi. 137 (Euseb. 'Praep. Ev.' 5. 23).

έν τε τοισιν εὐπελέσσιν ἢδ' 'Αχαίοις χρέος θήσεται, τὸ δ' ἐκτεκμαρθὲν οὐδεμίαν σκομφυέζεται.

A retired merchant wishes to learn philosophy. Applying to Apollo Clarius, he learned the above message. We should read $\epsilon \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \omega$ and $\dot{\alpha} \chi \rho \eta \dot{\omega} \omega s =$ 'cheap and useless,' exactly what a philosopher and a merchant call each other's pursuits. $\chi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \omega s =$ 'gain.' The last two words are uncertain.

C. vi. 143 (Amm. Marc. 31. 1).

ἀλλ' ὁπόταν νύμφαι δροσεραὶ κατ' ἄστυ χορείαις τερπόμεναι στροφούφες ἐυστεφέας κατ' ἀγυιὰς καὶ τεῖχος λουτροῖο πολύστονον ἔσσεται ἄλκαρ, δὴ τότε Οὔνια φῦλα πολυσπέρμωνα ἀποροῦσιν *Ιστρου καλλιρόοιο πόρον περάοντα σὺν αἰχμῆ καὶ Σκυθικὴν ὀλέσει χώρην καὶ Μυσίδα γαῖαν.

In line 2 we should read στρωφῶνται. ἔσσεται is strange after ὁπόταν, but not impossible. What is πολύστονον? Should we not read πολυστόμου? the adjective with λουτροΐο = 'a bath with many water-holes.' Οτια is probably Οτια = 'tribes of Huns.' May we read in the same line: πόδα σπέρχοντ' ἐπορούσει=' will hasten and rush on?'

C. vi. 146. 4 (Gallaeus, 'Orac. Vet.' 8. 43).

κλῦτε δαφνηρεφέων χυμάτων ἄπο θέσκελον ὀμφήν. Should we not read $\mu\nu\chi$ άτων, treating δαφ. as=' prophets?'

C. vi. 147 (Euseb. 'Praep. Ev.' 5. 16).

Πυθώνος δ' οὐκ ἔστιν ἀναρρώσαι λάλον ὀμφήν. *Ηδη γὰρ δολιχοῖσιν ἀμαυρωθεῖσα χρόνοισιν βέβληται κληΐδας ἀμαντεύτοιο σιωπῆς.

What is the construction and meaning of κληίδας? Should we not read κηλίδας, to maintain the metaphor of ἀναρρῶσαι?

C, vi. 151. 6, 7.

σὺ δὲ παῦε τὰ μὴ θέμις ἐξερεείνων χείνεκα σῆς φυσικῆς σοφίης τάδ' ὑπέρτερα νωμῶν. i.e. καὶ κενά.

140 NOTES ON THE GREEK ANTHOLOGY

C. vi. 155.

οὐδεὶς ἃν λήθοι τοῖος θεόν, οὐδὲ σοφοῖσιν κέρδεσιν οὐδὲ λόγοισιν ὑπεκφύγοι ἄλκιμον ὅμμα. πάντα θεοῦ πλήρη, πάντη θεὸς ἐστεφάνωται. For ἄλκιμον we should read ἄγχινον='ever present.'

C. vi. 161 (Euseb. 'Praep. Ev.' 9).

Ναϊάδες Νύμφαι Μούσαις μέγα λύετε Φοΐβον ἄδουσαι θειαὶ έκατηβόλον 'Απόλλωνα.

μούσαις='with your strains,' as άδουσαι shows; the next word is μεγαλύνετε.

C. vi. 164 (Gallaeus, 'Orac. Vet.' 8. 42).

κληίζειν Έρμην ήδ' ἸΠέλιον κατὰ ταῦτα, ήμέρη ἸΗελίου

i.e. κατὰ ταύτά=' in the same way.'

C. vi. 187. [Sarapis.]

Μηδε βιάζεσθαι παιδος φύσιν ἄρσενος ἄνδρα εἰς αἰσχρὰν συνέλευσιν, ἐπεὶ φονίκελόν ἐστιν. i.e. φοινικικόν='a Phoenician habit.' Cf. φοινικίζω.

C. vi. 194 | Euseb. 'Praepar. Ev.' v. 7 |.

οὐδὲν ἐν ἀθανάτοισι θεοῖς ποτε δῖα μάταιον οὐδ' ἀκράαντον ἔλεξε σοφοῖς Ἑκάτη θεοφήταις ἀλλ' ἀπὸ παγκράτοιο νόου πατροθὲν κατιοῦσα αἰὲν ἀληθείης σελαγίζεται.

Line 3 is $\tau d\chi \rho d\nu \tau \sigma \omega$: the word is in line 2 of the next oracle.

C. vi. 196 [ib. v. 8].

ἀλλ' οἱ μὲν καθύπερθε μετήοροι οὐρανίωνες σπερχόμενοι κούφησι μεθ' 'Αρπυίαισι φέρονται' ρίμφα δὲ θειοδάμοισιν ἐπιμμύσαντες ἀνάγκαις εἰς χθόν' ἐπειγομένως δ' ἠώϊον ἀΐοσουσι θνητοῖς ἐσουμένως ὑποφήταις . . .

In line 3 read: $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i$ $\nu \epsilon \nu \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$ $\delta \nu \delta \gamma \kappa \alpha \iota s =$ 'yielding to divine necessities,' and in line 4 $\delta \eta \delta \nu \sigma \sigma$ is an adjective agreeing with $\chi \theta \delta \nu \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma$ earth, the Seat of Demeter.'

C. vi. 200 [ib. v. 15].

τίς βροτὸς οὐ πεπόθηκε χαρακτῆρας ὀπάσασθαι χρυσοῦ καὶ χαλκοῦ καὶ ἀργύρου αἰγληέντος; τίς δὲ τάδ' οὐ φιλέει; τῶν δὴ καθύπερθεν ἐφεστῶσ' εἰς ἐν ἀγειρομένων εἴρω πολυμοιρέα φωτῶν . . .

Line 1 should read: $\partial \pi i \sigma \sigma \sigma \theta a \iota =$ 'reverence,' as in A. P. 7. 624. The last lines are explained in the comment which follows the oracle: $\alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\nu} (\chi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \epsilon s) \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$, i.e. the letters were written on the garment of the goddess' image, $i e. \dot{\epsilon} \phi' \ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \sigma s$. $i \sigma \theta \sigma s$ occurs in A. P. 7. 67.

C. vi. 201 [Philopon. 'De Mund. Creat.' iv. 20].

οὐ λαλέω κλείσω δὲ πύλας δολιχοῖο φάρυγγος. Νυκτὸς γὰρ κέντροις ἀχρειστάτοις προσελαύνει Τιτηνὶς κερόεσσα θεὴ κακοὺς ἄρ' ἰδοῦσα.

Read in line 2: ἀρχαιοτάτοις='primeval.' Line 3 is difficult. May we read: κἀκοῦσ' ἄρ' ἰδοῦσα='though she is unwilling to look on them'?

C. vi. 202 [ib.].

λυέσθω φύσεως δεσμά, ΐνα σοῖσι πίθωμαι.

This is the first line of an oracle answering the question whether the gods obey necessity. We should read: θέσμ' aivης οίσι πίθωμαι, the subjunctive being due to the general statement.

C. vi. 204 [Euseb. ib. iii. 7].

σώμα μεν άδρανέσιν βασάνοις άεὶ προβέβληται Ψυχή δ' εὐσεβέων εἰς οὐράνιον πέδον ίζει.

Read dopaves ev Barávois. See L. and S. ev III. for the idiom.

C. vi. 214 [Zosim. ii. 6. Phleg. 'Trall.' iv.]. άλλ' ὁπόταν μήκιστος ίκη χρόνος άνθρώποισι ζωής, εἰς ἐτέων ἐκατὸν δέκα κύκλον ὁδεύων μέμνησ', δ 'Ρωμαίε, καὶ εἰ μάλα λήσεαι αύτοῦ

μεμνησθαι τάδε πάντα.

Vulgate is οὐ μάλα γήσαι ξαυτούς. The true reading is καὶ εὖ μάλ' ὀνήσεαι αὐτός='thou wilt derive great profit thyself in remembering them all.' Lines 10-13:

αδθι δέ Γαίη

Πληθογένη χωροίς ὄις ίερεύοιτο μέλαινα. Ζάλευκοι ταῦροι δὲ Διὸς παρὰ βωμὸν ἀγέσθων ήματι, μηδέ τε νυκτί.

Line 11 has been emended to Πληθομένη χοιρός τε καί θς ίεροίτο. May we not rather read: Κούρη τ' δις ίρεύοιτο? A black sheep is appropriate to Persephone, while ίερεύειν appears for ipeiar in line 15 of this oracle. In line 13 a variant is $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\phi}$ exci $r \nu \kappa \tau i$. We should read: $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\phi} \dot{\epsilon} \tau i =$ and not at all by night.'

C. vi. 215 [Phleg. 'De Longaevis,' iv. The Sibyl's lament].

άλλα τί δη πανόδυρτος ἐπ' άλλοτρίοισι πάθεσσι θέσφατα φοιβάζω λυσσώδεα μοιραν έχουσα οιστρου δε σφετέρου καταγεύομαι άλγινοέντος έκνεαομεν ἀεὶ χαλεπὸν κατὰ γῆρας ἔχουσα μαινομένη μεν ένὶ θνητοῖς καὶ ἄπιστα λέγουσα πάντα δ' ύπερ προϊδούσα βροτών δυσανάσχετα κήδη; καὶ τότε μοι φθονέσας Λητοῦς ἐρικυδέος υίὸς μαντοσύνης, παθέων δὲ κατοικτίσσας όλοὸν κῆρ ψυχην έκλύσει δεσμευομένην ένὶ λυγρώ σώματι σαρκοτύπιδι διστεύσας γεγάιον 10 ένθ' ἄρα μοι ψυχή μεν ές ή έρα πωτηθείσα πνεύματι συγκραθείσα, βροτών δ' είς οὔατα πέμψει κληδόνας έν πυκινοίς αινίγμασι συμπλεχθείσας. σώμα δ' αξικελίως αταφον πρός μητέρος αίης κείσεται ου γάρ τις θνητων έπὶ γαιαν αμήσει, ουδέ τάφω κρύψει κατά γάρ χθονός ευρυοδείης δύσεται αξμα μέλαν τερσαινομένοιο χρόνοιο ένθεν δή πολλής αναδύσεται έρνεα ποίης . . .

In line 3 read: καταχεύομαι='I am confounded.' In line 4 a contrast is intended with γηρας, and some word is requisite upon which οἴστρου is to depend; read then ἀκμαίφ μένει. Lines 7 to 10 are a question; the first should begin with καὶ πότε, while the last should read:

σώματι, σαρκοτυπή διοϊστεύσας κέρα ίον; = 'shooting with his bow an arrow to smite my flesh.' σαρκοτυπεῖ is also possible. The compounds of -τυπής are active or passive indifferently. In line 12 8' e's is an offence against grammar. We should read: δῦσ' (δέσα)= 'sinking into.' Line 17 as it stands is sheer nonsense: 'time' cannot 'be dried up.' The correct reading is: μέλαν τερσαινομένοιο χρόνω δε= and as it dries in time : the subject αὐτοῦ or αίματος can easily be supplied.

144 NOTES ON THE GREEK ANTHOLOGY

C. vi. 216 | Phlegent. 'De Mirabil.' x. 39].

This long and corrupt oracle contains directions given to Rome in B.C. 124 for the avoiding of the wrath of heaven at the birth of an ἀνδρόγενος. Lines 13 and 14 read:

αὐτὰρ δημοσία κέλομαί σε τρὶς ἐννέα ταύρους φανὰς ἠυκέρους θυέμεν λευκότριχας αἴ κεν . . .

If a is sound, we have an instance here of τα νρος feminine. In any case we should read: φάτνας='of the stall.'

Line 16 reads:

παίδας, ὄσας πάρος εἶπα, κέλευ 'Λχαϊστὶ τάδ' ἔρδειν. We should read: ὅσας πάρος, εἶτα=' next, bid, etc.'

Lines 27-34:

έν πάτρα εὐχέσθων μίμνειν, πολεμοῦ κρατέοντος, λήθην Ἑλλήνεσσι πεσεῖν πόλεως τε καὶ αὐτῆς. θησαυρὸν δ' ἔτεραι καὶ παρθένοι ἔνθα φερόντων ἱστῷ θειοπαγεῖ νυμφάσματα ποικίλα σεμνὴν 30 Πλουτωνὶ κοσμεῖτο ὅπως σχεσίησι κακοῖσι. προφρονεώς δ' ὅτι κάλλιστον καὶ εὐκτὸν ἐπ' αἶαν ώς θνητοῖσιν ἰδέσθαι ἐπέπλετο καὶ τὸ φέρεσθαι ἱστῷ σύμμικτον δῶρον βασιληίδι κούρη.

The true reading in line 28 is: "Ελληνος τε ποιεῖν πόλεως τε καὶ αὐδῆς= that she may forget her Greek city and speech.' (f. lines 69, 70 below. In line 34 the true reading is indicated in lines 65, 66: the girls were to bring from their looms ἀμφικαλύπτρας. τυμφάσματα is otherwise unknown. We should read: τε ὑφάσματα= new-woven garments! σεμιή Πλουτονίς κοσμοῖτο is due to Alexander, who suggested σχεσίμσι καλŷσι. We need some word = ἰμφικαλέπτρα. Is not the true reading ξυστίσσι! Λ gorldess would demand stately garments. In line 33

κάπευκτόν (=καὶ ἔπευκτον) would give better sense, though ἔπευκτον only has LXX authority. ἐπέπλετο (which occurs again, line 42) cannot bear the meaning 'was.' May we not read ἐμέμβλετο? This word will exactly continue the idea of εὐκτόν='dear to men's heart.' In line 34 ἱστῷ should be ἔστω.

Lines 35-49 read:

αὐτὰρ ὅτ' ἄν Δήμητρι καὶ άγνη Περσεφονείη 35 γαίας ύμετέρας ἀπερυκέμεναι ζυγὸν ἀεὶ, Λίδωνεί Πλούτωνι βοὸς κυανότριχος αξμα λαμπρούς είμασι κοσμητούς, μετά ποιμένος όστις ήματίω πίσυνος βοὸς ἄρταμος αὐτὸς ὅδ΄ ἔσται όσσοι τ' ἄλλοι όμοῦ πίσυνοι κατὰ πατρίδ' ἔασι. 40 μη γαρ απιστόφιλος θυσίαισιν ανηρ παρεπέσθω. έξω δ' ένθ' ἀνόμιστον ἐπέπλετο φωτὶ τάδ' ἔρδειν. νούπιστοι καταδαιτον έχειν θυσίαν, κατά δ' αὐτὴν όστις αν ήμετέρων χρησμών ίδρις ές τόδ' ίκηται σεμνον Φοίβον ανακτα μετελθέτω έν θυσίαισι 45 προφρονέως βωμοίς έπὶ πίονα μηρία καύσας αίγων παλλεύκων νεατήν άταρ οἴδατε πάντες λισσέσθω Φοίβου Παιήονα, κράτα πυκάσσας ίκτηρες πίπτοντες όπως λυσίης ακάκοιο.

As there is no verb in line 35, read: $\sigma r' \epsilon \nu \Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho \iota = \epsilon$ for-asmuch as it is in the hands of Demeter, etc.' $\Pi \lambda \sigma \psi \tau \nu \nu \iota$ similarly has no verb; read before it $\epsilon \nu \delta \sigma \psi \nu \iota \iota$, jussive. In line 39 read: $\epsilon \nu \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota$, dependent on the last word, $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \iota \iota \iota$ is clothed in a garment.' Lines 42-44 are impossible. Read:

έξω δ', ἔνθα νομιστὸν ἐμέμβλετο φωτὶ τάδ' ἔρδειν νηπιστὸν καὶ ἄδαιτον ἔχειν θυσίαν,

(νομιστόν is an old suggestion.) 'But you must hold a sacrifice without drink and without food outside the city,

where it is the usual custom and duty for human beings to perform them.' $i\eta\pi u\sigma\tau \delta r$ is unknown otherwise, but is probably inevitable. The next lines are a medley of singulars and plurals. The singular is clearly the right case. Line 44 should end with $\ddot{a}\sigma\tau v\delta$ ' $\ddot{\iota}\kappa\eta\tau a\iota=$ 'comes to your city.' Line 47 should be:

αἰγῶν παλλεύκων νέατ' (ἶνα γὰρ οἴδατε πάντες)

='burning the lowest thigh bones of white goats (what the fibrae are ye need not that I tell you).' Line 49 should be:

ίκτηρος πίπτοντος, ὅπως λύση σε κακοῖο = 'while some one falls down beseeching Phoebus to free you from your evils.'

νοστήσας δ' ἀπὸ τοῦ βασιληίδα ποτνίαν Ἡρην 50 ἀργὴν βοῦν θύων πατρίοισι νόμοισι κατ' αἶσαν ὑμνεῖν αἴκε γένει προφερέστεραι ὧσ' ἐνὶ λαοῖς καὶ νήσων ναέται τὴν ἀντιπάλων ὅτ' ἂν αἶαν οὐ δόλω ἀλλὰ βία Κυμαΐδα πρόφρονες αὖται νάσσωνται σεμνῆς βασιληίδος οἷς ἐπίθενται 55 ἐν πατρίοισι νόμοις Ἡροῖς τάδε πάντα τίθηνται σεμνοτάτην βασίλισσαν ἐπέλθης ἐν θυσίαισιν.

The key to this is in lines 57, 58. Hera was to be persuaded to come by the women of Rome. The married women were expected to do their duty to the goddess of marriage, just as the virgins did their part (above, lines 16-31). The last word in line 57 should be $\tau \epsilon \theta \hat{\eta} v a \iota$, with $\epsilon \pi \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \omega \sigma$ in line 58.

The person who has been sacrificing to Phoebus is then directed to sing a hymn to Hera, if perchance (αἴκς, which is clearly ound)—what happens! First, what is the verb to αἴκε! Some persons have been driven from Cumae;

these were to return. νίσσωνται is then right, not νάσσωνται (line 55), after αἴκε. The intervening words tell us who these persons are, viz. the leading women and islanders. Hence:

αἴκε γένει προφερέστεραι οἷς ἐνὶ λαοῖς καὶ νήσων ναέται, τὴν ἀντιπάλων αὶ ἔναιον οὐ δόλω ἀλλὰ βία, Κυμαΐδα πρόφρονες αὐταί νίσσωνται,

='if perchance the leading women in their own peoples and the leading islanders (who used to live in their enemies' land, not through ill-intent but through compulsion) may return to Cumae heartily, of their own free will.' αὐταί is more idiomatic than αῦται='these, I say.'

These women are important because they all possessed some image of Hera $\kappa a \tau'$ olvov, probably = in their temples.' We should read then: $\xi \delta a r \delta v \tau \iota$, with alor $\tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \iota \tau a \iota \iota =$ for whom there has been set up a $\xi \delta a r \delta v$ in accordance with their ancestral laws.' $vo\mu o i s =$ in their ancestral territories' is possible, but $r \delta \mu o \iota \sigma \iota$, in line 51, is decisive.

ημος αν ήδη έχοις μεγαλήτην οὐκ ἀπάντη, ξεστοδόταν ξοάνησιν καὶ τἄλλ' ὅσ' ἔλεξα σαφι ἐν πετάλοισιν ἐμοῖς ὑπὸ κέρκιδος ἀμφὶ καλύπτρας 65 ἱμερτός σε βάλεν γλαύκης ἐλάας πολυκάρπου ἄγλαα φύλλα λαβοῦσα λύσιν κακοῦ ῆμος αν ἔλθη ὑμμὶ χρόνος μάλα κεῖνος ἐν ῷ ποτε τἄλλα νεογνὴ τρώσδητε παύσει σε κακῶν ἄμα δ' Ἑλλάδος ἐκ γῆς αὖταρ σοῦ μεταβᾶσαν ἐποτρύνεις ἀγορεῦσαι. 70

The oracle returns to lines 25-34, where Demeter and Persephone demand certain garments, while the latter is to be besought to forget Greek land and speech. We may then read in line 63: $\mu\epsilon\gamma\dot{a}\lambda a=$ the two great goddesses, with $\tau \dot{\alpha} v=$ to thee '(cf. C. ii. 450. 7, supra), and $o\ddot{v}\kappa\dot{\epsilon}\tau'$ $\dot{a}\pi\dot{\gamma}v\epsilon v=$ 'No longer hostile.' The next line is clearly:

ξεστὰ δ' ὅταν ξόαν ἢσι, καὶ ἄλλ' ὅσ' ἔλεξα

The last word is σαφηνῶς perhaps, as editors say, with:
ἐν πετάλοισιν ἐμοῖς, ἀπὸ κερκίδος ἀμφὶ καλύπτρας
ἱμερτάς σε βαλεῖν, γλαυκῆς ἐλάας πολυκάρπου
ἀγλαὰ φύλλα λαβοῦσα, λύσιν κακοῦ.

γλανκῆς... λαβοῦσα are to be construed with ἔλεξα, while λύσιν κακοῦ is accusative in apposition with βαλεῖν= 'all I said on my leaves, when I took them from the grey olive, namely, that thou shouldst cast round the Goddesses their beauteous cloaks, to free thee from thy evil.'

The meaning of the last clause is settled by line 70. It is not ikely that the constructions are different. $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\sigma\tau\rho\nu\nu\hat{\epsilon}\epsilon\iota s$ (as the word should be) is decisive for some verb in the 2nd person singular lurking under $\tau\rho\hat{\omega}\sigma\delta\eta\tau\epsilon$, and $\mu\epsilon\tau\sigma\beta\hat{a}\sigma\sigma\nu$ for some feminine accusative in $\tau\epsilon\sigma\gamma\tau\hat{\eta}$. This person can only be Persephone, cf. line 28. Read:

έν ὧ ποτὶ τἄλλα νέ' ἁγνὴν προσθήσει παῦσαί σε κακῶν, ἄμα δ' Ἑλλάδος ἐκ γῆς

οδθάρ σου μεταβάσαν εποτρυνέεις άγορεθσαι.

...'in which, in face of the other new evils (véa), thou shalt win over the Pure Goddess to free thee from evils, and urge her to ero-s from Greece to thy soil to speak.'

C. vi. 222. [Paus. x. 9. 11.]

καὶ γὰρ ᾿Λθηναίοισιν ἐπέρχεται ἄγριος ὅμβρος ἡγεμόνων κακότητι· παραιφασίη δέ τις ἔσται ητταλοισ ἡμούσουσι πόλιν, τίσουσι δὲ ποινήν.

The key to the last line lies in the first. Read 'A $\tau\theta$ iõos $d\chi$ λ ύσουσι to balance 'A θ ηναίοισιν and ὅμβρος. 'They shall darken the city of Attica.'

C. vi. 237. [Dio Cass. 77. 15. On Caracalla.] ἐν κρυφίοισι τύποισιν ἔχων δυσαλθέα νοῦσον. i.e. δυσληθέα= 'hard to forget,' on the analogy of ἀληθής.

C. vi. 250. [Polyaen. vi. 53.]

οὐ γὰρ θέοφατόν ἐστι, πρὶν ἃν κομίσητ' ἀπὸ Τροίης Ῥήσου ἀνευρόντες καλάμην, πατρίη δὲ ἀρούρη κρύψητ' ἐναέως· τότε δ' ἃν τότε κῦδος ἄροισθε.

May we not read alarws='for ever'?

C. vi. 247.

θριασίω ήρω έπὶ βωμοῖς ἱρὰ καμόντες ὅρκον πιστώσασθε, καὶ ην ἐπὶ πατρίδ' ἵκεσθε παῦλάν τοι καμάτοιο καὶ ἀνέρος ἀντιπάλοιο ἔσκετε, θρησκεύοντες ἀεὶ θεὸν ὥς σε κελεύω.

In line 1 we should read $\kappa \alpha r \delta r \tau \epsilon s = \epsilon' \text{having slain,'} i \rho \acute{a} = \epsilon' \text{ wittims.'}$ With $\widetilde{\kappa} \kappa \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ (line 2) we should read $\widetilde{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ in line 4.

C. vi. 258 5, 6. | Philostr. 'Apollon. Thyran. Vit.' viii. 313.]

σοὶ δὲ τί τῶνδ' ὄφελος ὅ ποτ' οὐκέτ' ἐων τότε δόξεις;

We should read $\delta\pi\delta\tau'=$ in as much as thou shalt not be alive to theorise. The four preceding lines discuss the fate of the soul after bodily death.

C. vi. 269. [Stobaeus, 'Eclog. Physic.' 1. 52. 42.]
σῶμα λυθὲν ψυχήν τε λιπὸν καὶ ἀγεννηθὲν
οὐκ ἔτι πρὸς βιότοιο παλίνδρομον οἶδε κέλευθον,
ἀλλὰ τὸ μὲν λυθέν ἐστι κενῆς ἡδὲ πρὸς αἴθραν
σκίδναται, ὅπποθεν ἦλθε, μετήορος εἰς αἰθέρ'
ἀπλοῦν.

γαῖα γενηθέν is adopted by editors in line 1. In line 2 we should read πάλιν δρόμον. βιότοιο depends on the latter word='to the race of life.' In line 3 the true reading is κονίη ἡ δἰ, κ.τ.λ. In line 4 editors adopt $ai\theta$ έρ' ἐς ἀπλοῦν. This cannot be right after αἴθραν. C. vi. 258. 3, dealing with a similar subject says the soul

ρηιδίως προθοροῦσα κεράννυται ἢέρι κούφω. We should then read ἐκ δ΄ ἐθορ' ἀπλῶς = 'flies out quite.'

C. i. 174. 6.

τόνδε νέων σοί, ἄναξ, Διονύσιος εἴσατο τῆδε καὶ τέμενος θυόεν καὶ ξόαν' εἴκελά σα καὶ πάντ' οὐ πλοῦτον κρίνας πολυάργυρον αὔξειν ἐν δόμω, ὡς τὸ σέβειν, Βάκχε, τά σοι νόμιμα. For καιπαντ' we should read κῆπόν τ', οὐ.

C. ii. 1826. 5. 11-14. [A father buried by his sons.]

Line 5:

ταῦρον δ' ἀμφιπυρεσφαζαν, τιμαῖσι σέβοντες. i.e. ἀμφὶ πυρὶ σφάξαν.

11-14:

όστεα δ' ἱμερταῖς τεφρανεκόμιζε χέρεσσι τὸν Πάριον Παρία γᾶ καταθεῖς γονέα. ὧ φυσικαὶ τοκέων στοργαὶ πρὸς τέκνα ποθεινά ὡς ὁσίαν υίῶν τὰν χάριν ἀντέλαβον.

We should read $\tau \epsilon \phi \rho a \nu \tau' \epsilon \kappa \delta \mu \iota \xi \epsilon$. The last two lines are exclamatory. δ is the interjection, while $\delta \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda a \beta o \nu$ is 1st singular. 'O affection . . . what a return I have!'

C. ii. 1986.

The first twelve lines contain various eulogies on a girl. Then follow:

Τωραμοτον κλαίοντες έν οἴκοις οὐπο . . . αἰνοπαθη δακρύων πλήσουσι γενετ σὴν ἀρετὴν τεά τ' ἔργα σαοφροσύνην τε π. εἶδός τε ἠγάθεον ἀρέσκουσα Κλυτή.

 $τ\hat{\varphi}$ \vec{p} αμοτον is certain. For πλήσουσι we may read πλήθεϊ σοὶ γενέται. The last word of line 15 is probably ποθοῦντες, while ἀρέσκουσα seems to conceal a vocative, perhaps $\hat{\eta}$ χαριέσσα.

C. ii. 198 δ , line 5.

οὐκ ὅλβῳ ἐρίδοντας ἐριζήλῳ δ' ἐπὶ δόξη.

i.e. βρίθοντας= prevailing (see L. and S. II.); it agrees with 'sons.'

C. ii. 255, c. 9-14.

έστίν τις μέγας ὧν, ἐν κτήμασιν οὐ πλέον οὖτος ταὐτὸ μέτρον γαίης πρὸς τάφον ἐκδέχεται. 10 Σπεύδετε τὴν ψυχὴν εὐφραίνετε πάντοτε . . . ς ἡδὺς βίοτος καὶ μέτρον ἐστι ζόης. ταῦτα λαοί: μετὰ ταῦτα [τί] γὰρ πλέον; οὐκέτι ταῦτα:

στήλη ταῦτα λαλεῖ καὶ λίθος, οὐ γὰρ ἐγώ·

We should put a question mark after $\kappa \tau \eta \mu \alpha \sigma \omega \nu$. 'Is any one great in riches? He gets not more, but the same measure of earth.' The first word of line 12 is probably $\delta t_s = t$, ye, to whom life is sweet.' The next line is clearly $\tau a \hat{\nu} \tau' \dot{a} \lambda a \delta t = t$, ye blind ones.'

C. ii. 3206 [K. 306.]

τὰν μορφὰν φεῦ τάνδε Κύδωνος πλησίον. ὧνδρες, θάμβος ἐοῦσαν ὑμῖν τέξε δόμος Γαλατῶν.

Read φύτλαν δέ (cf. A. P. 7. 744; C. 6. 141. 214)='and growth,' with ἐοῦσαν ἰδεῖν.

C. ii. 3616. B. 9. 10.

τέρμα δ' ἀντηρὸν γήρως ἴδεν ἢ ἡα Μένανδρος ὅλβιος ὃς τοίου πρῶτος ἔθνησκε τέκνου.

 $M'\eta\tau\eta\rho$ is the subject of $i\delta\epsilon\nu$, after which a full stop is necessary. Menander is the father of the dead boy.

C. ii. 371. 6. [Xenophon, 'Ephes.' III. 11.]

ίππόθοος κλείνω τεῦξεν τόδε σημ' 'Υπεράνθη οὐ τάφον ἐκ θανάτου ἀγαθὸν ἱεροῖο πολίτου ἐς βάθος ἐκ γαίης, ἄνθος κλυτόν, ὅν ποτε δαίμων ἤρπασεν ἐν πελάγει μεγάλου πνεύσαντος ἀήτου.

or (line 2), the reading of the Florentine codex, is correct. The contrast is between a mere $\sigma \hat{\eta} \mu a$ and a $\tau \hat{u} \phi o s$. Placing a full stop at the end of line 1, we should read $\tilde{e} \sigma \chi \epsilon \theta \epsilon s$ for $\tilde{e} s \beta \hat{u} \theta o s$, and render $\tilde{e} \kappa \gamma a \hat{u} \eta s$ 'on land.' The inscription then becomes more intelligible.

C. ii. 4476.

ηλθεν ἀπὸ ξείνης Κλεοφῶν χθονός, ηλθε δὲ παιδὸς οἷς Μοῖρα προφάνη σχέτλιος ηδ' ἀλόχου. εἶδε γὰρ οὓς ἐπόθησε καὶ ὀρφυαίην ἀνὰ νύκτα τοὺς τρισσοὺς νεκύας σταθμὸς ἔραζε δόμου. Σώθη δ' εἰς γοεροὺς θρήνους μόνος ἀθρόα μύσας ὀρφανίην, εὖνήν, οἶκον. π. ὁς πολιήν.

Line 3 should be: $\hat{\eta}\delta\epsilon \gamma \hat{\alpha}\rho$ oùs $\hat{\epsilon}\pi \hat{\delta}\theta\eta\sigma'$ $\hat{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon \hat{\epsilon}=$ for here the lintel of the house poured to the ground those whom he loved.' In the next couplet we should read: $\hat{\alpha}\theta\rho\hat{\alpha}\alpha$ $\hat{\alpha}\epsilon\hat{\alpha}\sigma\sigma\hat{\alpha}s$ $\hat{\delta}\rho\hat{\phi}\alpha\hat{\nu}\hat{\eta}\nu$, $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\nu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\eta}\nu$, $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\kappa}\hat{\nu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\nu}$, $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\kappa}\hat{\nu}\hat{\nu}$ $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\kappa}\hat{\nu}\hat{\nu}$ $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}$ $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\nu}\hat{\nu}$ $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\nu}\hat{\nu}$ he saw at once bereavement of child and wife, and his house in the dust.'

The first couplet is difficult because both epitaphs mention three persons: the first (A) says clearly three were killed; (B) in line 4 ($\tau \rho \epsilon \hat{i} s$ $\tilde{a} \mu a$ $\lambda \nu \gamma a i o \nu$ $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \iota \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu o \iota$ $\theta a \lambda \dot{a} \mu o \nu$) implies that three died, but may mean that three fell down, the father being saved. As, however, $\pi a \iota \delta \dot{o} s$ seems to be sound, we should read in line 2, $\dot{o} s$ $M o i \rho a$, $\kappa, \tau, \lambda, = \dot{s}$ he came just when the death of his wife and son came to pass.'

C. ii. 5396.

καὶ δεκετῆ τις ἰδων τύμβω σκεψθέντ' ὑπὸ μοίρης Έρμῆ κομμαγηνὸν ἔπος ψρασάτω τοδ' ὁδίτης. Χαῖρε σύ. παῖ, πάρθλου, κήνπερ θνητὸν βίον ἔρπες ἀκύτατ' ἔπτης γὰρ μερόπων ἐπὶ Κιμμερίων γῆ.

In line 1 we should read $\sigma\kappa\eta\phi\theta\ell\nu\tau'=$ 'struck down by fate.' In line 3 is not $\pi\alpha\rho\delta\delta\sigma\nu$ the reading='because of thy passing'? $\xi\pi\tau\eta$ s and $K\iota\mu\mu\epsilon\rho\iota\omega\nu$ are doubtful. Should we not read $\xi\phi\theta\iota\sigma\sigma$ $\gamma\lambda\rho$... $\chi\epsilon\iota\mu\epsilon\rho\iota\chi$ $\gamma\hat{y}$? The inscription was found at Brough.

C. ii. 6806.

. . . ρινα γονεῖ, ὡς θέμις, εὖ ἔνεμον ὡς ζωῆς γενέτη θέμενοι χρέος ωκαλλουστωι.

The inscription deals with the rites of burial. Persephone is mentioned in the next line. There is then some reference to the mysteries, and we should read: $\chi\rho\dot{\epsilon}os\ o\dot{\nu}\kappa\ d\mu\nu\dot{\eta}\tau\phi=$ to a father not uninitiated.

C. iii. 666. | Tzetz. 'Hist.' vii. 670.|

γαίη δ' ἐν Σικελῶν Τρινακρίδι χεῦμα δέδεικται αἰνότατον, λίμνη καὶ εἰ οὐκ ὀλίγη ἐχθρὸν δὲ νήκτησιν· ὁ πρὶν ποσὶ παῦρα τινάξης αἰψνιδίως ἔηρήν σ' ἤλασεν ἐς ψάμαθον.

ήλασεν should be ἤμεσεν='it vomits you back.' Line 2 probably contains as an antithesis to ἐχθρὸν κάλλεϊ. The cribe perhaps took the first syllable of the word as=καί; he then wrote κ'λει, which has become καὶ ει.

C. iii. 115 c.

δ ξείνοι θηείσθε μετ' εὐκλέ' ἀνάκτορα Δηοῦς καὶ γενεὴν πατέρων εὐκλέα Πραξαγόρας ἢν ἔτεκεν Μελιτεὺς Δημόστρατος ἠδὲ ψιλίστη ψύντες δαδούχων ἀμφότεροι τοκέων.

ἀλλά με και παίδων κοσμεί χορὸς οἱ τὸ προμυστῶν ἄλλων ἐν τελεταῖς στέμμα κόμαισι θέσαν.

Line 2 is suspicious. Praxagora says that, as she was born of δφδοῦχοι, her children were such also. προμυστῶν in line 5 is otherwise unknown. We should read οι τε προμυστῶν δφδῶν='I too am graced with a train of my own children, who, before bearing mystic wishes, platted their hair at the rites of initiation.'

C. vi. 104. 6.

χιλιετες μήνιμα πάτρης Διος εξανέλυσας μειλιχίη, Σεισίχθονι εν άλσεϊ βωμον ενείρας. θύεο — μη διερευνω μ' ω πόλις — Εἰναλίω νῦν εννομα, μη Κρονίδη Φοίβη, χρη δε ἀρητῆρος, πυρων καὶ καρπων τ' ἐπιδράγματα πάντα· καλείσθω

εἰνάλιος, τεμενοῦχος, ἀπότροπος, ἵππιος, ἀργὴς ὧδε· μόλις δὲ ὑμνεῖτε δεδράγμενον ῖφι βεβῶτα . . . κύκνειον ὄσοι γέρας ἀμφινέμεσθε. ἐν χορῷ εὖ αἰνεῖν Σεισίχθονα καὶ Δία μίλαξ.

The key is in the last line, of which the last word is clearly ἐναλλάξ. Line 3 is suspicious. Line 4 should be:

μη Κρονίδη (Φοίβης χρη δ' ἀρητήρος).

'Sacrifice not to Zeus but to Poseidon (and ye need the priest of Phoebe).' Line 7 is clearly &δ' ἄμνδες δ' ὑμνεἶτε=
'Call him by his name Sea-god, etc., even thus; and sing in unison, ye who control the honour of the round dances (κυκλίων ὅσσοι).' The last three words of verse 7 are obscure. δεδραγμέτοι would make sense if the lost word in line 8 were a genitive.

C. vii. 10. 5. [Eubulus, 'Athen.' p. 450. The dice box.] ἔστιν ἄγαλμ' δ βεβηκὸς ἄνω τὰ κάτω δὲ κεχηνὸς εἰς πόδας ἐκ κεφαλῆς τετρημένον, ὀξὺ διαπρό, ἀνθρώπους τίκτει κατὰ τὴν πυγὴν ἕν' ἕκαστον.

In line 1 ő has no construction. We should read $\partial \beta \epsilon \lambda i \sigma \kappa o s$ år $\omega =$ 'sharp-pointed at the top.' In line 3 should we not read $\partial \alpha \kappa o \kappa o s$ as an echo of $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \gamma \rho s$ in line 1?

Archilochus. Bergk. 42.

ἤμβλακον, καί πού τιν' ἄλλον ἡδ' ἄτη κιχήσατο. Read ἄγη, frequently corrupted to ἄτη (L. and S. ἄγη).

Theognis, lines 1127-1128.

Odysseus slew the wooers of Penelope.

ημιν δήθ' ὑπέμεινε φίλω παρὰ παιδὶ μένουσα ὄφρα τε γῆς ἐπέβη δειδαλεους τε μυχούς.

Δουλιχίου τε μυχούς.

Hesiod. W. and D., lines 261-2.

ταῦτα φυλασσόμενοι, βασιλεῖς, ἰθύνετε δίκας δωροφάγοι, σκολιῶν δὲ δικῶν ἐπὶ πάγχυ λάθεσθε.

Inferior MS, emend δίκας to μύθους. Should we not read θύνετ' ἀεικές = 'outrage'?

TRAGIC AND EPIC FRAGMENTS. (Ed. Wagner, Didot.)

Cramer. 'Anec. Gr. ox.' i. 83-30. W. p. 168, lxx.
 ἀλλ' ἀντόμεθα πρὸς Ζηνὸς '()λυμπίου.
 i.e. ἀντόμεσθα πρός σε Διος. Z=σ, N=Δι.

Aelian, 'H. A.' xiv. 14. **W. p. 160**, ix. κεμὰς δραμεῖν ἀκίστη, θυέλλης δίκην. ἄκιστα is obvious ; θυιάδος should follow.

Mich. 'Apostol.' ii. 36. W. p. 162, xxi. ἄκμων μέγιστος οὐ φοβεῖται τοὺς ψόφους καὶ νοῦς ἐχέφρων πᾶσαν ἕλκουσαν βίαν.

i.e. $\hat{\epsilon}$ λκοῦσαν='any violence that wounds.' πâs e. neg. is idiomatic.

Clem.-Alex. 'Paedag.' vii. 7. 34. W. p. 166, lxvii. εὖπιστος ἀνδρῶν ὅστις ἐλπίζει θεοὺς ὀστῶν ἀσάρκων καὶ χολῆς πυρουμένης, ὁ καὶ κυσὶν πεινῶσιν οὐχὶ βρώσιμα, χαίρειν ἀπάτη καὶ γέρας λαχεῖν τόδε;

In line 4 $\tilde{a}\pi \alpha r \tau a$ and $\tilde{a}\pi a r \tau as$ are variants. $\tilde{a}\pi \alpha \rho \chi \hat{g}$ will not explain the three readings. Only the form $\tilde{a}\pi \alpha r \tau a$ will do so. From this it is easy to read: $\tilde{\epsilon}\pi'$ $a\tilde{v}\rho q=$ 'the steam of bones and gall.' L. and S. give ample authority for the word. The fragment is probably comic.

Auctor. Comp. 'Men. et Phil.' 361. W. lxix. p. 167, lines 2, 3.

τὸ πεπρωμένον γὰρ οὐ μόνον βροτοῖς ἄφευκτόν ἐστιν, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὸν οὐρανόν. i.e. τῷ 'ν οὐρανῶ.

Mich. Apost. iii. 46. W. evii. p. 173. $\mathring{\eta}\nu$ οἶνον αἰτ $\mathring{\eta}$, κόνδυλον αὐτ $\mathring{\omega}$ δίδου. i.e. κονδύλων='some blows.'

Plut. 'de adulat.' 14. 58 B. W. cxxvii. p. 176.
The toady.

γίγνεται

ξύνθημ' ἐρώτων, ἄλλο μαστεύων χρέος. Read μιστροπών= 'of pandars.' Plut, uses μαστροπεία.

- Plut. 'de cohib. ira,' 457 C. W. cxliv. p. 178. ἄνδρ' ἠδίκηκας ἀνδρ' ἀνεκτέον τόδε; i.e. ἀνδρὶ δ' οἰστέον τόδε; N=Δ, E=0, K=IC.
- Plut. 'de curios.' 518 C. W. cxlix. p. 178. ἀεὶ δ' ἀείδων μοῦνος ἐν στέγαις ἐμαῖς κωκυτὸς ἐμπέπτωκεν.

i.e. àφοών='my one song is wailing.' Plut. continues: 'this is my one μοῦσα.'

Tatian, 'Orat. ad Graec.' 14. W. celiv. P. 192. αὖρα θεων ὄχημα τιμιώτατον. i.e. ἡχημα.

Choerilus, fr. 12.

ἐπὶ πρὸ δὲ μᾶσσον ἐπὶ ἄκρου Λἰγάλεω θυμόεντος, ἄγων μέγαν ὕετόν, ἔστη. i.e. ἀετόν, the Persian standard. See L. and S. sub. voc.

ibid. fr. 8.

χερσὶν δ' ὅλβον ἔχω, κύλικος τρύφος ἀμφὶς ἐαγός. i.e. ὅλμον. L. and S. II. 4.

Quint. 'Smyrn.' i. 63-5.

ώς δ' ὁπότ' ἀθρήσαντες ἀπ' οὔρεος ἀγροιῶται
³Ιριν ἀνεγρομένην ἐξ εὐρύποροιο θαλάσσης
ὄμβρου ὅτ' ἰσχανόωσι θεουδέος.

i.e. ὀμβρίου ἰ, θεοῦ Διός=Zeus Ombrios, q. v.

Coluthus, line 57. Eris afraid of Ares.

ἀλλὰ καὶ ὁπλοτέρης δολίης ἀνεχάσσατο βουλῆς. i.e. οὐλοτέρης= 'her too baneful plan.'

ib. lines 81, 82.

Κύπρις μὲν δολόμητις ἀναπτύξασα καλύπτρην καὶ περόνην θυοέντα διαστήσασα κομάων.

i.e. διασκήσασα: see L. and S. for an exact parallel. περόνην is of course corrupt.

ib. lines 297, 298.

εἴ με καταισχύνειας ἐμὴν καὶ Κύπριν ἐλέξεις οὐκ ἐρεω' τί δὲ τόσσον, κ.τ.λ.

ομήν and χείρω should be read. Paris says 'if you seern me, you will be scorning an equal wedlock, not one with your inferior. In line 283, he says he is a king's son.

ib. lines 317, 318.

ὕπνον ἐλαφρίζουσα παρήορον ὤπασεν ἐν ἠοῦ ἀρχομένη.

Nè ξ is subject. We should read ès $\hat{\eta}\hat{\omega}$ d $\rho\chi o\mu \hat{\epsilon} v\eta v = \text{'till dawn.'}$

ib. line 364.

ὕπνος ἐπεὶ θανάτοιο συνέμπορος εἴπερ ἐτύχθη. i.e. $\sqrt[6]{\pi\epsilon\rho}$ even as he was created to be.'

Euripides, 'Hippolytus' (Frag. 436).

οί γὰρ κύπριν φεύγοντες ἀνθρώπων ἄγαν νοσοῦσ' ὁμοίως τοῖς διώκουσιν ἄγαν.

Salm.: ἄγαν θηρωμένοις. This is editing, not explaining. The last word should be δύην. The double contrast is effective.

Eurip. 'Antigone' (Fr. 161).

ἀνδρὸς δ' ὁρῶντος εἰς κύπριν νεανίου ἀφύλακος ἡ τήρησις. ὡς κἄν φαῦλος ἦ τάλλ', εἰς ἔρωτας πῶς ἀνὴρ σοφώτερος ἡν δ΄ ἀν προσήται Κύπρις, ἤδιστον λαβεῖν.

Query, $\pi\rho\sigma\theta\dot{\eta}\tau\alpha\iota$ = 'she, whom a man's desire proposes to itself.' Cf. L. and S. 1. 5.

Soph., Frag. The power of Love (678).

τίς οὐχὶ τῆσδε τῆς θεοῦ βόρος ; εἰσέρχεται μὲν ἰχθύων πλώτῳ γένει· ἔνεστι δ' ἐν χέρσου τετρασκελεῖ γονῆ·

ενεστι δ΄ εν χερσου τετρασκελεί γου η· νωμᾶ δ' εν οιωνοίσι τοὐκείνης πτερόν.

Editors read $\beta o \rho \dot{a}$. Should we not read: $\pi \dot{o} \rho o s = '$ where does she not go'?

Aristarchus (2), 'Stobaeus,' $\Xi \Gamma$. 9.

Έρωτος ὅστις μὴ πεπείραται βροτῶν οὐκ οἶδ' ἀνάγκης θεσμόν, ῷ πεισθεὶς ἐγὼ οὕτω κρατηθεὶς τάσδ' ἀπεστάλην ὁδούς.

i.e. ovo & . This lovely passage means: 'necessity generally forces men; that of love does not do so, it persuades them.'

Euripides, 'Danae' (318). Love in idleness.

φεύγει δὲ μόχθους: ἐν δέ μοι τεκμήριον·
οὐδεὶς προσαιτῶν βίοτον ἠράσθη βροτῶν,
ἐν τοῖς δ' ἔχουσιν ἡβητὴς πέφυχ' ὅδε.

i.e. $\epsilon \dot{v}\pi\epsilon\tau\hat{\omega}s$ (cf. Agam. 552), 'in easy things,' contrasted with $\mu \dot{o}\chi\theta ovs$.

Euripides, 'Andromeda' (148).

Έρωτα δεινον ἔχομεν· ἐκ δὲ τῶν λόγων ἐλοῦ τὰ βέλτισθ' ὥς τ' ἄπιστον ἔστ' ἔρως κὰν τῷ κακίστῳ τῶν φρενῶν οἰκεῖν φιλεῖ.

In line 2 ws κάκιστον will add point to the same word in line 3. $\pi = \kappa$, as often.

Euripides, 'Antigone' (Frag. 171).

οὖτ' εἰκὸς ἄρχειν, οὖτ' ἐχρῆν εἶναι νόμον τύραντον εἶναι, μωρία δὲ καὶ θέλειν, ὑς τῶν ὁμοίων βούλεται κρατεῖν μόνος.

In line 1 arequéror, 'unrestrained, absolute,' will hit the sense. Euripides uses it Andr. 728. The scribe divided and altered the word.

Euripides, Alexander (Frag. 53).

"Πλεγχον: οὕτω γὰρ κακὸν δοῦλον γένος, γαστὴρ ἄπαντα, τοὐπίσω δ' οὐδὲν σκοπεῖ.

This meaningless passage becomes clear if we read:

εἴληχεν (οὕτω γὰρ . . . γένος), κ.τ.λ.

Their belly so evil is the race of slaves) is their presiding deity, and they have no forethought.'

Euripides, 'Auge' (Frag. 268).

11οῖ; πῶς δὲ λήσει; τίς δὲ νῷν πιστὸς φίλος; Ζητῶμεν. ἡ δόκησις ἀνθρώποις κακόν, καὶ τοὐπιχειρεῖν ἐξαμαρτάνειν φιλεῖ.

With $Z_{q\tau\sigma\nu\mu\nu\nu\eta}$, $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$, the sense is 'The appearance of iriemiship, if forced, is evil, and the attempt to get it fails.'

Euripides, 'Antiope' (Frag. 196).

ἀνὴρ γὰρ ὅστις εὖ βίον κεκτημένος τὰ μὲν κατ' οἴκους ἀμελία παρεισάσει μολπαῖσι δ' ἡσθεὶς τοῦτ' ἀεὶ θηρεύεται ἀργὸς μὲν οἴκοις καὶ πόλει γενήσεται.

In line 2 πάρεργ' ἄγει makes good sense.

ib. (Frag. 206).

καὶ μὴν ὅσοι μὲν σαρκὸς εἰς εὐεξίαν ἀσκοῦσι βίοτον, ἢν σφαλῶσι χρημάτων κακοὶ πολῖται· δεῖ γὰρ ἄνδρ' εἰθισμένον ἀκόλαστον ἦθος γαστρὸς ἐν ταὐτῷ μένειν.

i.e. ἐν τἀργῷ μένειν='in idleness.'

Euripides, 'Ino' (Frag. 418).

ποῦ καί ποτ' οἰκεῖ σώματος λαχὼν μέρος; ἐν χερσὶν ἢ σπλάγχνοισιν ἢ παρ' ὅμματα ἔσθ' ἡμῖν; ὡς ἦν μόχθος ἰατροῖς μέγας τομαῖς ἀφαιρεῖν ἢ ποτοῖσι φαρμάκοις πασῶν μεγίστην τῶν ἐν ἀνθρώποις νόσων.

Φθόνος is the subject of οἰκεῖ. A question mark after ὅμματα and ησθημένοις in the next line gives better sense: 'Doctors, if they have found its seat, etc.'

Euripides, 'Phrixus' (Frag. 818).

ἀνὴρ δς εἶναι φὴς ἀνέρος οὐκ ἄξιον δειλὸν κεκλῆσθαι καὶ νοσεῖν αἰσχρὰν νόσον.

v. l. ἀνδρός. Read φής, ἄνερ, σ' οὐκ ἄξιον.

'Carcinus,' 8 (l. 3).

λυπεί γὰρ αὐτὸ τὸ κτῆμα τοὺς κεκτημένους. i.e. αὐτούς. τό is a mere misreading for vs.

Eubulus. (Neottis.)

άτὰρ ώς ὅμοιον ούμὸς υίὸς ῷχετο ἔχων φιάλιον, τῷδε πολλὰ γίγνεται ὅμοια.

Should we not read o peior (cf. Soph. O.C. 374)?

Diphilus. (' $\Lambda \pi o \lambda \iota \pi$. 2. l. 4.) A cook speaks.

ή φυλλὰς ή δριμεῖα περιισθήσεται.

i.e. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota =$ 'will be served up.' The verb is common enough.

$(\Theta \eta \sigma a v \rho.).$

ύπολαμβάνω το ψεῦδος ἐπὶ σωτηρία λεγόμενον οὐδὲν περιποιεῖσθαι δυσχερές.

(?) περιπεσείσθαι. Cf. Ar. Thesm. 271.

($\Pi a \rho \acute{a} \sigma \iota \tau o \varsigma$, i. 11, 12.) The belly an evil.

κού προστίθημι τάλλα, διότι πανταχοῦ διὰ τὴν τάλαιναν πάντα ταύτην γίγνεται. i.e. πάντ' ἄτλητ' ἐγγίγνεται.

(ib. ii. lines 5-8.) The smoke betrays the dinner.

καν μεν σφοδρος γενόμενος είς όρθον τρέχη, γέγηθα, καὶ χαίρω τι, καὶ πτέρυττομαι· αν δε πλάγιος καὶ λεπτός, εὐθέως νοῶ ὅτι τοῦτο μοι τὸ δεῖπνον ἀλλ' οὐδ' αῖμ' ἔχει.

In line 6 σκαίρω, as the next verb shows, = 'pant with jey.' In line & αὐτο δείμ' έχει='is Terror itself.'

(Incert. 5.) Life is an evil.

Τούτων ὁ θάνατος καθάπερ ἰατρὸς φανεὶς ἀνέπαυσε τοὺς ἔχοντας ἀναπαύσας ὕπνω.

i.e. ἀναλύσας.

Anon. Fr. (Mein. 2b.)

Δᾶος πάρεστι τί ποτ' ἀγγελῶν ἄρα ; i.e. ἀναγγελῶν.

ib. Mein. 8. (Stob. Fl. 67. 25.)

ού κακῶς καὶ ὁ κωμικὸς ἐπιτέμνει.

Mein. was compelled to insert an accusative with the verb. Read δν καλώς.

ib. Mein. 19 b.

γαστέρας | αὐταῖσι μήτραις καὶ καλῶν ζώμων πλέας.

ί.ε. ποικίλων.

Chionides. ('H ρ . 2.)

έπὶ τῷ ταρίχει τῷδε τοίνυν κόπτετον. κύπτετον will at least translate.

Cratinus. $(\Delta \eta \lambda. 1.)$

μήποτε οὖν καὶ εἰς τὸ αὐτὸ Κρ. Δηλιάσι. i.e. αἰνίττεται οὖν (cf. Ar. Pax, 47).

ib. 4.

ἔρραζε πρὸς τὴν γῆν \cdot ὁ δ' ἐσκάριζε κἀπέπαρδεν. Should we not read γενέτην?

$(\Delta \iota o \nu. 7.)$

ώς ἐν Δίον. Κρ. '' ὁ δὲ ταρίχους Ποντικούς." i.e. ἰδέ='look!'

166

(Apud. \(\rightarrow a\pi. \) Bekk. Anecd. 225. 6.)

Βαλανειόμφαλοι: τὰς φιάλας τὰς ἐχούσας ὀμφαλοὺς ἄνευ τῶν προσώπων ὁποῖοι οἱ τῶν βαλανείων θόλοι.

άνω will restore perfect sense.

Schol. Aristoph. 'Eccl.' 1121, το ἄνθος.

καὶ ὁ Κρ. ἐν τοῖς 'Ιδαίοις τὰς θείας μορφὰς ἐν ἀρχῆ φανείσας.

This passage explains αμφορείδια. Hence έν υρχη.

Ε. Μ., Κάβαισος.

έστι δὲ κύριον πεποιημένον παρὰ τὴν αἶσαν. i.e. τὴν ἴσην.

Clem.-Alex. Paed.' 7. 302. (M. 40.)

εἰκότως οὖν δεισιδαίμωνες περὶ τοὺς εὐοργήτους γιγνόμενοι πάντα σημεῖα ἡγοῦνται εἶναι τὰ συμβαίνοντα.

i.e. πέραν τοῦ εὐοργήτου.

Hesychius. 'Ακρότητα. (Μ. 19 α.)

πολυκρότητα, αὐτοῖς γενόμενα, ἄστε μηδὲ συγκροτεῖσθαι, μηδὲ συμπεσεῖν.

i.e. "avισα γενόμενα. ν=ν, τ=ι, ο=σ."

E. M. "Αχερδος. (M. 63).

Μοχθηρὸς ὧν καὶ τὴν γνώμην ἀχερδούσιος. Should we not read τάγνωμον $d\chi$ = 'in mercilessness'?

Athenio. ($\sum a\mu \delta\theta$.), 14-16.

ώς δ' ἄπαξ τῆς ἡδονῆς ἐμπειρίαν τιν ἔλαβον, ἀρχῆς γενομένης, ἐπὶ πλείον ηὕξαν τὴν μαγειρικὴν τέχνην. i.e. ἦξαν, aor. of ἄγω.

Dio. Chrys. 'Or.' 2. 664. (M. 48.)

Δημος ἄστατον κακόν, καὶ θαλάττη πάνθ' όμοῖον ὑπ' ἀνέμου ῥιπίζεται, καὶ γαληνὸς ἐντ' ἠχῷ πᾶν πνεῦμα βραχὺ κορύσσεται.

i.e. ἀντικόπτων = 'if it is calm, it raises its head when it resists a slight breeze.' L. and S. quote ample parallels.

Menander (Stob. EH. 4, Il. 7, 8).

χειμαζόμενος ζῆ, τῶν ἀνιαρῶν ἔχων τὸ μέρος ἀπάντων, τῶν δ' ἀγαθῶν οὐ δυνάμενος. i.e. οὐδ' ὀνάμενος, 'never having enjoyed.'

ib. (Incert. xv.).

Εἴπερ τὸν ἀδικοῦντα μεν ὡς ἢμύνετο . . . οὐκ ἄν ἐπὶ πλεῖον τὸ κακὸν ἡμῖν ηὖξετο. (5) i.e. ἀδικοῦντ' ἀλκίμως.

ib., Έπαγγελλόμενος (1).

τὸ σὸν ταπεινόν, ἄν συ σεμνύνη, καλὸν ἔξω φανεῖται, φίλ' ἄνερ· ἃν δ' αὐτὸς ποιῆς ταπεινον αὐτο καὶ τιθῆς ἐν μηδενί, οἰκείος οὖτος καταγέλως νομίζεται.

All difficulties vanish if we read καλοῦ ἔξω, φανεῖται='Your state (τὸ σόν), if you give yourself airs beyond what is right, will appear mean,' i.e. do not be too proud, but do not despise yourself.

ib., 'Υμνίς (8).

Νὴ τῆν ' Λθηνᾶν, μακάριόν γ' ἡ χρηστότης... 1 πρὸς τοῦτ' ἂν είποι τις μάλιστα τῶν σοφῶν 5 τί οὖν ἑτέρους λαλοῦντας οὐ βδελύττομαι ;

i.e. ετέρως, 'who talk otherwise than simply.'

Philemon (Incert. iii.).

Τί ποτε Προμηθεὺς . . . (2) τοῖς μὲν θηρίοις ἔδωχ ἐκάστῷ κατὰ γένος μίαν φύσιν . . . ήμῶν δ' ὅσα καὶ τὰ σώματ' ἐστὶ τὸν ἀριθμὸν (10) καθενος, τοσούτους ἔστι καὶ τρόπους ἰδεῖν ; i.e. κατ' ἔθνος to balance κατὰ γένος.

Eupolis, $\Delta \hat{\eta} \mu o \iota$ (18).

καὶ τοῦ μὲν ἐν κύκλῳ γε παύσομαι λόγου φράσω δέ σοι τὸ πρᾶγμα διὰ τῶν χωρίων. i.e. κυρίων, 'in the vernacular.'

Philetas (Stob. EH. 20).

βίον καλὸν ζῆς ἃν γυναῖκα μὴ ἔχης. i.e. μὴ 'γάγης (μἀγάγης).

















AA 000 537 293 3

